

# Week13InClassLecture

Peyton Hall

04/04/2024

```
library(stats)
library(readxl)
```

$H_0 : P = 0.2$  vs  $H_a : P > 0.2$

```
prop.test(310,1000,p=0.2,alternative = "greater")
```

```
##
## 1-sample proportions test with continuity correction
##
## data: 310 out of 1000, null probability 0.2
## X-squared = 74.939, df = 1, p-value < 2.2e-16
## alternative hypothesis: true p is greater than 0.2
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.2859952 1.0000000
## sample estimates:
## p
## 0.31
```

the p-value < 2.2e-16, which is extremely low. Therefore, we should reject the  $H_0$  and go with  $H_a$ .

$H_0 : P = 0.5$  vs  $H_a : P > 0.5$  write down the null and alternative. after the R chunk, write down the conclusion.

```
prop.test(8,8,0.5,alternative = "greater")
```

```
## Warning in prop.test(8, 8, 0.5, alternative = "greater"): Chi-squared
## approximation may be incorrect
```

```
##
## 1-sample proportions test with continuity correction
##
## data: 8 out of 8, null probability 0.5
## X-squared = 6.125, df = 1, p-value = 0.006664
## alternative hypothesis: true p is greater than 0.5
## 95 percent confidence interval:
## 0.6625163 1.0000000
## sample estimates:
## p
## 1
```

the p-value = 0.006664. If the significance level is  $< 0.001$ , then we reject the p-value.

$H_0 : P = 0.75$  vs  $H_a : P > 0.75$

```
# how many people can roll their tongues?  
prop.test(14,16,0.75,alternative = "greater")
```

```
## Warning in prop.test(14, 16, 0.75, alternative = "greater"): Chi-squared  
## approximation may be incorrect
```

```
##  
## 1-sample proportions test with continuity correction  
##  
## data: 14 out of 16, null probability 0.75  
## X-squared = 0.75, df = 1, p-value = 0.1932  
## alternative hypothesis: true p is greater than 0.75  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
## 0.6472633 1.0000000  
## sample estimates:  
## p  
## 0.875
```

p-value=0.1932>0.05 We fail to reject the  $H_0$ . At 5% significance level data do not provide enough evidence to conclude proportion of students who can roll their tongue is greater than 75%

p:Proportion of drivers who feels comfortable driving self driving car  $H_0 : P = 0.5$  vs  $H_a : P < 0.5$

```
selfDriving <- read_excel("~/Desktop/Data211/Week 13/Responses_to_Self-driving_Cars.xlsx")  
selfDriving
```

```
## # A tibble: 5,980 x 5  
##   Comfortable When Crashes Sex Age  
##   <chr> <chr> <chr> <chr> <dbl>  
## 1 Yes 10 Decrease Male 30  
## 2 Yes 10 Decrease Male 48  
## 3 No 50 Stay the same Female 27  
## 4 No 5 Stay the same Male 19  
## 5 Yes 10 Decrease Male 21  
## 6 Yes 5 Stay the same Female 20  
## 7 No 50 Increase Male 65  
## 8 Yes 15 Decrease Female 21  
## 9 Yes 7 Decrease Male 18  
## 10 Yes 10 Decrease Male 61  
## # i 5,970 more rows
```

```
table(selfDriving$Comfortable)
```

```
##  
## No Yes  
## 3102 2860
```

```
length(selfDriving$Comfortable)#this will include NAs
```

```
## [1] 5980
```

```
prop.test(2860,5962,0.5,alternative = "less")
```

```
##  
## 1-sample proportions test with continuity correction  
##  
## data: 2860 out of 5962, null probability 0.5  
## X-squared = 9.7419, df = 1, p-value = 0.0009006  
## alternative hypothesis: true p is less than 0.5  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
## 0.000000 0.490438  
## sample estimates:  
## p  
## 0.4797048
```

p-value = 0.000906 < 0.01 We reject  $H_0$  at 1% significance level At 1% s.l, we have enough evidence to conclude that the  $P < 50\%$

```
prop.test(x=c(51,19),n=c(303,303),alternative = "greater")
```

```
##  
## 2-sample test for equality of proportions with continuity correction  
##  
## data: c(51, 19) out of c(303, 303)  
## X-squared = 15.521, df = 1, p-value = 4.079e-05  
## alternative hypothesis: greater  
## 95 percent confidence interval:  
## 0.06018222 1.00000000  
## sample estimates:  
## prop 1 prop 2  
## 0.16831683 0.06270627
```

p=4.079e-05<0.01

Reject the  $H_0$ . At 1% s.l we have enough evidence to conclude that the proportion of voters who favor in YS is significantly higher than those who favor on Yo.