# Speciation & the origin of communities

### Philippe Desjardins-Proulx<sup>12</sup>

<sup>1</sup>College of Engineering, University of Illinois at Chicago, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Canada Research Chair on Terrestrial Ecosystems, Université du Québec, Canada.

June 25, 2011

## Contents

- Question & Objectives
- Methodology
- Results
- Mext ?
- Epilogue

# The big question

What is the effect of speciation on the structure of communities?


Inspired by the theory of island biogeography.


- Inspired by the theory of island biogeography.
- Highly influential (more than 2700 citations on GoogleScholar!).


- Inspired by the theory of island biogeography.
- Highly influential (more than 2700 citations on GoogleScholar!).
- Emphasizes the role of drift, dispersal & speciation.

- Inspired by the theory of island biogeography.
- Highly influential (more than 2700 citations on GoogleScholar!).
- Emphasizes the role of drift, dispersal & speciation.
- Ecological equivalence.

- Inspired by the theory of island biogeography.
- Highly influential (more than 2700 citations on GoogleScholar!).
- Emphasizes the role of drift, dispersal & speciation.
- Ecological equivalence.







Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:



### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

• Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).

### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.

### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.
- ...not a population process.

### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.
- ...not a population process.

### **Primary objectives:**

#### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.
- ...not a population process.

### **Primary objectives:**

Seeing how far we can go with neutral ecology & speciation.

### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.
- ...not a population process.

#### **Primary objectives:**

- Seeing how far we can go with neutral ecology & speciation.
- The integration of a sound model of speciation in community ecology.

### Problems with speciation in neutral ecology:

- Behaves like a mutation (i.e.: instantaneous).
- The inhibiting effect of gene flow is ignored.
- ...not a population process.

### **Primary objectives:**


- Seeing how far we can go with neutral ecology & speciation.
- The integration of a sound model of speciation in community ecology.
- Analyzing the effect of complex spatial structures on speciation.

• Applications: Internet, social networks, data structures.

- Applications: Internet, social networks, data structures.
- In biology: brains, biochemical reactions, protein structures, phylogenetics, food webs.

- Applications: Internet, social networks, data structures.
- In biology: brains, biochemical reactions, protein structures, phylogenetics, food webs.
- Mostly analyzed with algorithms.

- Applications: Internet, social networks, data structures.
- In biology: brains, biochemical reactions, protein structures, phylogenetics, food webs.
- Mostly analyzed with algorithms.




• Used in conservation biology (Dale & Fortin, 2010).

- Used in conservation biology (Dale & Fortin, 2010).
- Follow the principle of locality.

- Used in conservation biology (Dale & Fortin, 2010).
- Follow the principle of locality.
- Random geometric graphs (Penrose, 2003):

- Used in conservation biology (Dale & Fortin, 2010).
- Follow the principle of locality.
- Random geometric graphs (Penrose, 2003):



• The metacommunity as a graph of local communities.


- The metacommunity as a graph of local communities.
- The graph is used to distinguish populations.



- The metacommunity as a graph of local communities.
- The graph is used to distinguish populations.
- Allopatric / parapatric speciation based on the BDM.

- The metacommunity as a graph of local communities.
- The graph is used to distinguish populations.
- Allopatric / parapatric speciation based on the BDM.
- Implementation in C with algorithms to analyze the graphs.

- The metacommunity as a graph of local communities.
- The graph is used to distinguish populations.
- Allopatric / parapatric speciation based on the BDM.
- Implementation in C with algorithms to analyze the graphs.



• Almost no species unless selection is added.




- Almost no species unless selection is added.
- Assumptions very favorable to speciation + selection required.

- Almost no species unless selection is added.
- Assumptions very favorable to speciation + selection required.
- Very hard to fit the BCI dataset.



- Almost no species unless selection is added.
- Assumptions very favorable to speciation + selection required.
- Very hard to fit the BCI dataset.
- Complex relationship between the graph and diversity.

- Almost no species unless selection is added.
- Assumptions very favorable to speciation + selection required.
- Very hard to fit the BCI dataset.
- Complex relationship between the graph and diversity.




• A more flexible approach with graphs.



- A more flexible approach with graphs.
- Speciation in spatial food webs (Dunne, 2006):

- A more flexible approach with graphs.
- Speciation in spatial food webs (Dunne, 2006):



### Questions?

## Tools used

- Beamer (LATEX),
- Inkscape (Vector graphics),
- Geany (editor),
- ...and Linux !





M. R. T. Dale and M.-J. Fortin.

From graphs to spatial graphs.

Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst., 41:21-38, 2010.

P. Desjardins-Proulx and D. Gravel.
How likely is speciation in neutral ecology?

arXiv:1102.2634, 2011.



The network structure of food webs.

In M. Pascual and J. A. Dunne, editors, *Ecological Networks: Linking Structure to Dynamics in Food Webs*, pages 27–86. Oxford University Press, 2006.

E. P. Economo and T. H. Keitt.

Network isolation and local diversity in neutral metacommunities. *Oikos*, 10:1–9, 2010.

S. P. Hubbell.

The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography, volume 32 of *Monographs in population biology*. Princeton University Press, 2001.

R. H. MacArthur and E. O. Wilson. *The theory of island biogeography.* Princeton University Press, 1967.

M. Newman.

Networks: An Introduction.

Oxford University Press, 2010.

M. Penrose.

Random geometric graphs.

Oxford University Press, 2003.

J. Rosindell, S. P. Hubbell, and R. S. Etienne.

The unified neutral theory of biodiversity and biogeography at age ten.

Trends Ecol. Evol., 26(7):340-348, 2011.

