
Introduction to Machine Learning

Evaluation: Measures for Binary Classification: ROC Visualization





Learning goals

- Understand ROC curve
- Be able to compute a ROC curve manually
- Understand that ROC curve is invariant to class priors at test-time
- Discuss threshold selection
- Understand AUC

LABELS: ROC SPACE

- For comparing classifiers, we characterize them by their TPR and FPR values and plot them in a coordinate system.
- We could also use two different ROC metrics which define a trade-off, for instance, TPR and PPV.






$$\mathsf{TPR} = \frac{\mathsf{TP}}{\mathsf{TP} + \mathsf{FN}}$$

$$\mathsf{FPR} = \frac{\mathsf{FP}}{\mathsf{FP} + \mathsf{TN}}$$

LABELS: ROC SPACE

- The best classifier lies on the top-left corner, where FPR equals 0 and TPR is maximal.
- The diagonal is worst as it corresponds to a classifier producing random labels (with different proportions).


- If each positive x will be randomly classified with 25% as "pos", TPR = 0.25.
- If we assign each negative x randomly to "pos",
 FPR = 0.25.



LABELS: ROC SPACE

- In practice, we should never obtain a classifier below the diagonal.
- Inverting the predicted labels (0 \mapsto 1 and 1 \mapsto 0) will result in a reflection at the diagonal.

 \Rightarrow TPR_{new} = 1 - TPR and FPR_{new} = 1 - FPR.



LABEL DISTRIBUTION IN TPR AND FPR

TPR and FPR (ROC curves) are insensitive to the class distribution in the sense that they are not affected by changes in the ratio n_+/n_- (at prediction).

Example 1:

Proportion $n_+/n_-=1$

Example 2:

Proportion $n_{+}/n_{-}=2$

| | Actual Positive | Actual Negative |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pred. Positive | 40 | 25 |
| Pred. Negative | 10 | 25 |

| | Actual Positive | Actual Negative |
|----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Pred. Positive | 80 | 25 |
| Pred. Negative | 20 | 25 |

MCE = 35/100 = 0.35

TPR = 0.8FPR = 0.5 MCE = 45/150 = 0.3TPR = 0.8

FPR = 0.5

Note: If class proportions differ during training, the above is not true. Estimated posterior probabilities can change!


FROM PROBABILITIES TO LABELS: ROC CURVE

Remember: Both probabilistic and scoring classifiers can output classes by thresholding:


$$h(\mathbf{x}) = [\pi(\mathbf{x})) \ge c]$$
 or $h(\mathbf{x}) = [f(\mathbf{x}) \ge c_f]$.


To draw a ROC curve:


- Rank test observations on decreasing score.
- Start with c = 1, so we start in (0,0); we predict everything as negative.
- 3 Iterate through all possible thresholds *c* and proceed for each observation *x* as follows:
 - If x is positive, move TPR $1/n_+$ up, as we have one TP more.
 - If x is negative, move FPR 1/n_ right, as we have one FP more.




| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |








| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |




$$c = 0.85$$


 $\rightarrow \text{TPR} = 0.333$
 $\rightarrow \text{FPR} = 0$




| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |








| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |










| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |









| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |







| # | Truth | Score |
|----|-------|-------|
| 1 | Pos | 0.95 |
| 2 | Pos | 0.86 |
| 3 | Pos | 0.69 |
| 4 | Neg | 0.65 |
| 5 | Pos | 0.59 |
| 6 | Neg | 0.52 |
| 7 | Pos | 0.51 |
| 8 | Neg | 0.39 |
| 9 | Neg | 0.28 |
| 10 | Neg | 0.18 |
| 11 | Pos | 0.15 |
| 12 | Neg | 0.06 |








ROC CURVE PROPERTIES

- The closer the curve to the top-left corner, the better.
- If ROC curves cross, a different model might be better in different parts of the ROC space.




- Small thresholds will very liberally predict the positive class, and result in a potentially higher FPR, but also higher TPR.
- High thresholds will very conservatively predict the positive class, and result in a lower FPR and TPR.
- As we have not defined the trade-off between false positive and false negative costs, we cannot easily select the "best" threshold.
 → Visual inspection of all possible results seems useful.

CHOOSING THRESHOLD / OPERATING POINT


Often done visually and post-hoc, as class imbalances or costs are unknown a-priori.

- Identify non-dominated points
- Assess TPR / FPR
- Decide which combo is best for task
- Pick associated threshold



AUC: AREA UNDER ROC CURVE

- AUC ∈ [0, 1] is a single metric to evaluate scoring classifiers independent of the chosen threshold.
 - AUC = 1: perfect classifier
 - AUC = 0.5: random, non-discriminant classifier
 - AUC = 0: perfect, with inverted labels



AUC AS A RANK-BASED METRIC

- We can also interpret the AUC as the probability of our classifier ranking a random positive observation higher than a random negative one.
- A perfect classifier will rank all positive above all negative observations, achieving AUC = 1.

