

Exercise 1: Splitting criteria

Given are the data set

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| x | 1.0 | 2.0 | 7.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 |
| y | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 11.0 |

and the same with log-transformed feature x :

| | | | | | |
|----------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|
| $\log x$ | 0.0 | 0.7 | 1.9 | 2.3 | 3.0 |
| y | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 10.0 | 11.0 |

- Compute the first split point the CART algorithm would find for each data set (with pen and paper or in R).
- State the optimal constant predictor for a node \mathcal{N} when minimizing the empirical risk under $L2$ loss and explain why this is equivalent to minimizing “variance impurity”.

Exercise 2: Impurity reduction

The fractions of the classes $k = 1, \dots, g$ in node \mathcal{N} of a decision tree are $\pi_1^{(\mathcal{N})}, \dots, \pi_g^{(\mathcal{N})}$. Assume we replace the classification rule in node \mathcal{N}

$$\hat{k} \mid \mathcal{N} = \arg \max_k \pi_k^{(\mathcal{N})}$$

with a randomizing rule

$$\hat{k} \sim \text{Cat} \left(\pi_1^{(\mathcal{N})}, \dots, \pi_g^{(\mathcal{N})} \right),$$

in which we draw the classes in one node from the categorical distribution of their estimated probabilities (i.e., class k is predicted with probability $\pi_k^{(\mathcal{N})}$).

Compute the expected MCE in node \mathcal{N} for data distributed i.i.d. like the training data. What do you notice?

(*Hint*: The observations and the predictions using the randomizing rule follow the same distribution.)