

# CSC311 Non-programming

David Pham: 1002597825

Oct 15 2020

## Question 4 (e).

Given that  $x_j^{(i)} = X_{ij}$ ,  $y^{(i)} = [y]_i$ ,  $t^{(i)} = [t]_i$ ,  $[X^T]_{ji} = X_{ij}$  and  $[\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial w}]_j = \frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial w_j}$

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}}{\partial w_j} = \sum_{i=1}^N (y^{(i)} - t^{(i)}) x_j^{(i)} / N \iff \sum_{i=1}^N ([y]_i - [t]_i) X_{ij} / N \iff \sum_{i=1}^N [y - t]_i [X^T]_{ji} / N$$

I AM LOST FROM HERE

## Question 4 (f).

We are trying to prove

$$\mathcal{L}_{LCE}(z, t) = \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(z), t) = t \log(1 + e^{-z}) + (1 - t) \log(1 + e^{-z})$$

We know from the previous slide, we know that

$$\mathcal{L}_{CE}(y, t) = -t \log y - (1 - t) \log(1 - y)$$

We know that  $y = \sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}}$ . Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{CE}(\sigma(z), t) &= -t \log(\sigma(z)) - (1 - t) \log(1 - \sigma(z)) \\ &= -t \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \right) - (1 - t) \log \left( 1 - \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \right) \right) \\ &= -t (\log 1 - \log(1 + e^{-z})) - (1 - t) \log \left( \frac{1}{1 + e^{-z}} \right) \\ &= -t (\log 1 - \log(1 + e^{-z})) - (1 - t) (\log 1 - \log(1 + e^{-z})) \\ &= -t (-\log(1 + e^{-z})) - (1 - t) (-\log(1 + e^{-z})) \\ &= t \log(1 + e^{-z}) + (1 - t) \log(1 + e^{-z}) \end{aligned}$$

as needed

## Question 6(e).

The reason that the validation accuracy is higher for the digits 4 and 7 is that the clusters of the data is more split and definitive therefore when going into a higher  $k$ , it can refine the correctness. The problem with 5 and 6 is that they have tighter clusters so it has a more likely chance to pick

the wrong image as  $k$  increases. When analyzing more ambiguous data, 4 and 7 would get marked correctly while 5 and 6 would be less accurate in its result.

**Question 6(f).**

The reason why we choose odd values of  $K$  is that if we were to choose even values of  $K$ , you will run the risk that there exists a tie in the decision which can cause problems. Therefore in order to guarantee no ties, we choose odd values of  $K$

**Question 6(g).**

With MINST data, there is not an extensive analysis on the data set. We either have the number we desire or we do not. Let us take 0 for an example, if we are trying to determine whether a number is 0, we only have to check if there exists values that in a ring. Therefore it produces high accuracies.