

Digital World (2018)

Week 5, SI: Dictionaries; Modularity

Chris Poskitt



Refresher: from lists to dictionaries

- a **list** maps **indices** to values
- a **dictionary** maps **(immutable) keys** to values

d =

<i>'Rowan Atkinson'</i>	→	<i>'+44 1356 345867'</i>
<i>'John Cleese'</i>	→	<i>'+44 1904 534534'</i>
<i>'Cersei Lannister'</i>	→	<i>'+353 112 112'</i>
<i>'Chris Poskitt'</i>	→	<i>'+65 8888 8888'</i>

d['key'] = value

d.keys()

d.values()

d.items()

d.copy()

copy.deepcopy(d)

x in d.keys()

x not in d.values()



Refresher: lists vs. dictionaries

b.socrative.com, POSKITT5665

Which of the following **is** a difference between lists and dictionaries?

- ▶ A. List elements cannot be mutable, but dictionary values can be mutable
- ▶ B. Assigning to an index that does not exist in a list is an error, but assigning a value to a key that does not exist in a dictionary is not
- ▶ C. A list can contain a dictionary as one of its elements, but a dictionary cannot contain a list as one of its values
- ▶ D. There is a `dict` constructor that creates a dictionary from a suitable object, but there is no `list` constructor that similarly creates lists

Dictionaries: get function

b.socrative.com, POSKIT5665

```
36 my_dd = {'a': 5}
37 my_dd['b'] = my_dd.get('c', 9)
38 my_dd['k'] = my_dd.get('a', 2)
```

After this code, my_dd is

- A. {'a': 5, 'b': 9, 'k': 2}
- B. {'a': 5, 'b': 9, 'k': 5}
- C. {'a': 5, 'b': 5, 'k': 2}
- D. {'a': 5, 'b': 5, 'k': 5}
- E. Error

Dictionaries: get function

b.socrative.com, POSKIT5665

```
36 my_dd = {'a': 5}
37 my_dd['b'] = my_dd.get('c', 9)
38 my_dd['k'] = my_dd.get('a', 2)
```

After this code, my_dd is

- A. {'a': 5, 'b': 9, 'k': 2}
- B. {'a': 5, 'b': 9, 'k': 5}
- C. {'a': 5, 'b': 5, 'k': 2}
- D. {'a': 5, 'b': 5, 'k': 5}
- E. Error



if there is an “a” key, return its value; if not, return 2

What is the `get` function good for?

- suppose we want to represent a **sparse matrix**

What is the `get` function good for?

- suppose we want to represent a **sparse matrix**

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 0, 1, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 2, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 3, 0] \end{bmatrix}$$

What is the `get` function good for?

- suppose we want to represent a **sparse matrix**

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 0, 1, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 2, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 3, 0] \end{bmatrix}$$

`M[row_idx][col_idx]`

What is the `get` function good for?

- suppose we want to represent a **sparse matrix**

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 0, 1, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 2, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 3, 0] \end{bmatrix}$$

`M[row_idx][col_idx]`

M =

$(0, 3) \longrightarrow 1$
 $(2, 1) \longrightarrow 2$
 $(4, 3) \longrightarrow 3$

What is the `get` function good for?

- suppose we want to represent a **sparse matrix**

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} [0, 0, 0, 1, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 2, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 0, 0], \\ [0, 0, 0, 3, 0] \end{bmatrix}$$

`M[row_idx][col_idx]`

M =

(0, 3)	→	1
(2, 1)	→	2
(4, 3)	→	3

`M.get((row_idx, col_idx), 0)`

Building more complex programs

Building more complex programs

- a complex problem may initially seem overwhelming

Building more complex programs

- a **complex problem** may initially seem overwhelming
- **stop!** identify simpler, self-contained **subproblems**
=> separate subproblems into their own functions

Building more complex programs

- a **complex problem** may initially seem overwhelming
- **stop!** identify simpler, self-contained **subproblems**
 - => separate subproblems into their own functions*
- implement the **overall problem** by calling the functions for those subproblems
 - => more readable; more manageable; more maintainable*

Building more complex programs

- a **complex problem** may initially seem overwhelming
- **stop!** identify simpler, self-contained **subproblems**
=> separate subproblems into their own functions
- implement the **overall problem** by calling the functions for those subproblems
=> more readable; more manageable; more maintainable



modularity: degree by which complex program can be separated and recombined

Libraries provide you with *modular* code

Libraries provide you with *modular* code

```
import random
```

Libraries provide you with *modular* code

```
import random
```

```
from math import sqrt
```

Libraries provide you with *modular* code

```
import random
```

```
from math import sqrt
```

```
from math import *
```

Libraries provide you with *modular* code

```
import random
```

```
from math import sqrt
```

```
from math import *
```



you can write your own

```
import yourlib
```

Question CS I, aka *SUTDCraps*



- in **round one**, roll two standard dice
 - => if the sum is 2, 3, or 12 (“craps”), you **lose**
 - => if the sum is 7 or 11 (“natural”), you **win**
 - => if the sum is another value X , you get X points; next round
- in **every subsequent round**, roll two standard dice
 - => if the sum is 7, you **lose**
 - => if the sum is equal to your X points, you **win**
 - => if the sum is another value, go to the next round

Question CS I, aka *SUTDCraps*



- in **round one**, roll two standard dice
 - => if the sum is 2, 3, or 12 (“craps”), you **lose**
 - => if the sum is 7 or 11 (“natural”), you **win**
 - => if the sum is another value X , you get X points; next round
- in **every subsequent round**, roll two standard dice
 - => if the sum is 7, you **lose**
 - => if the sum is equal to your X points, you **win**
 - => if the sum is another value, go to the next round



make life easier now: “factor out” smaller tasks into separate functions
make life easier in the future: maximise re-use of those functions

Summary

- the `get` function can define a default `value` for when a dictionary `key does not exist`
- solve `complex problems` by dividing them into `smaller tasks`
- `function composition` leads to more `readable code`
 - => create separate functions for smaller, self-contained tasks*
- `re-using functions` leads to more `maintainable code`
 - => “updating once updates it everywhere”*