```
\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}
In [9]:
        Importing the Dependencies
        import numpy as np
        import pandas as pd
        import sklearn.datasets
        from sklearn.model_selection import train test split
        from sklearn.linear model import LogisticRegression
        from sklearn.metrics import accuracy score
        """Data Collection & Processing"""
        # loading the data from sklearn
        breast cancer dataset = sklearn.datasets.load breast cancer()
        print(breast cancer dataset)
        # loading the data to a data frame
        data frame = pd.DataFrame(breast cancer dataset.data, columns = breast cancer dataset.fe
        # print the first 5 rows of the dataframe
        data frame.head()
        # adding the 'target' column to the data frame
        data frame['label'] = breast cancer dataset.target
        # print last 5 rows of the dataframe
        data frame.tail()
        # number of rows and columns in the dataset
        data frame.shape
        # getting some information about the data
        data frame.info()
        # checking for missing values
        data frame.isnull().sum()
        # statistical measures about the data
        data frame.describe()
        # checking the distribution of Target Varibale
        data frame['label'].value counts()
        """1 --> Benign
        0 --> Malignant
        data frame.groupby('label').mean()
        """Separating the features and target"""
        X = data frame.drop(columns='label', axis=1)
        Y = data frame['label']
        print(X)
       print(Y)
        """Splitting the data into training data & Testing data"""
        X train, X test, Y train, Y test = train test split(X, Y, test size=0.2, random state=2)
```

```
print(X.shape, X train.shape, X test.shape)
"""Model Training
Logistic Regression
model = LogisticRegression()
# training the Logistic Regression model using Training data
model.fit(X train, Y train)
"""Model Evaluation
Accuracy Score
# accuracy on training data
X train prediction = model.predict(X train)
training_data_accuracy = accuracy_score(Y_train, X train prediction)
print('Accuracy on training data = ', training data accuracy)
# accuracy on test data
X test prediction = model.predict(X test)
test data accuracy = accuracy score(Y test, X test prediction)
print('Accuracy on test data = ', test data accuracy)
"""Building a Predictive System"""
input data = (13.54, 14.36, 87.46, 566.3, 0.09779, 0.08129, 0.06664, 0.04781, 0.1885, 0.05766, 0.2
# change the input data to a numpy array
input data as numpy array = np.asarray(input data)
# reshape the numpy array as we are predicting for one datapoint
input data reshaped = input data as numpy array.reshape(1,-1)
prediction = model.predict(input data reshaped)
print (prediction)
if (prediction[0] == 0):
 print('The Breast cancer is Malignant')
else:
 print('The Breast Cancer is Benign')
{'data': array([[1.799e+01, 1.038e+01, 1.228e+02, ..., 2.654e-01, 4.601e-01,
       1.189e-01],
      [2.057e+01, 1.777e+01, 1.329e+02, ..., 1.860e-01, 2.750e-01,
       8.902e-02],
      [1.969e+01, 2.125e+01, 1.300e+02, ..., 2.430e-01, 3.613e-01,
      8.758e-021,
      [1.660e+01, 2.808e+01, 1.083e+02, ..., 1.418e-01, 2.218e-01,
       7.820e-021,
      [2.060e+01, 2.933e+01, 1.401e+02, ..., 2.650e-01, 4.087e-01,
      1.240e-01],
      [7.760e+00, 2.454e+01, 4.792e+01, ..., 0.000e+00, 2.871e-01,
       0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
      0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0,
```

```
1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0,
      1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0,
      0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
      0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0,
      1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0,
      0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
      0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0,
      0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1,
      1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1]), 'frame': None, 'target
names': array(['malignant', 'benign'], dtype='<U9'), 'DESCR': '.. _breast_cancer_datase</pre>
t:\n\nBreast cancer wisconsin (diagnostic) dataset\n-----
-----\n\n**Data Set Characteristics:**\n\n :Number of Instances: 569\n\n
r of Attributes: 30 numeric, predictive attributes and the class\n\n :Attribute Infor
mation:\n - radius (mean of distances from center to points on the perimeter)\n
    - texture (standard deviation of gray-scale values) \n - perimeter \n
- area\n - smoothness (local variation in radius lengths)\n - compactness
(perimeter^2 / area - 1.0)\n - concavity (severity of concave portions of the con
tour)\n - concave points (number of concave portions of the contour)\n - s
ymmetry\n
           - fractal dimension ("coastline approximation" - 1)\n\n The mea
n, standard error, and "worst" or largest (mean of the three\n worst/largest valu
es) of these features were computed for each image,\n resulting in 30 features.
For instance, field 0 is Mean Radius, field\n 10 is Radius SE, field 20 is Worst
Min Max\n ============
======== =====\n radius (mean):
                                                       6.981 28.11\n
                            9.71 39.28\n perimeter (mean):
texture (mean):
   43.79 188.5\n area (mean):
                                                143.5 2501.0\n smoothn
ess (mean): 0.053 \quad 0.163\n compactness (mean):
0.019 0.345\n concavity (mean): 0.0 0.427\n concave points (mean): 0.0 0.201\n symmetry (mean): 0.05 0.097\n radius (standard erro
       0.112 2.873\n texture (standard error):
                                                       0.36 4.885\n
r):
                             0.757 21.98\n area (standard error):
  perimeter (standard error):
      6.802 542.2\n smoothness (standard error): 0.002 0.031\n compa
ctness (standard error): 0.002 0.135\n concavity (standard error):
0.0 0.396\n concave points (standard error): 0.0 0.053\n symmetry (standard error): 0.008 0.079\n fractal dimension (standard error): 0.001
                                         7.93 36.04\n texture (worst):
0.03\n radius (worst):
         12.02 49.54\n perimeter (worst):
                                                         50.41 251.2\n
                                 185.2 4254.0\n smoothness (worst):
 area (worst):
      vity (worst):
====\n\n :Missing Attribute Values: None\n\n :Class Distribution: 212 - Malignan
t, 357 - Benign\n\n :Creator: Dr. William H. Wolberg, W. Nick Street, Olvi L. Mangas
arian\n\n :Donor: Nick Street\n\n :Date: November, 1995\n\nThis is a copy of UCI M
L Breast Cancer Wisconsin (Diagnostic) datasets.\nhttps://goo.ql/U2Uwz2\n\nFeatures are
computed from a digitized image of a fine needle\naspirate (FNA) of a breast mass. They
describe\ncharacteristics of the cell nuclei present in the image.\n\nSeparating plane d
escribed above was obtained using \nMultisurface Method-Tree (MSM-T) [K. P. Bennett, "Dec
```

ision Tree\nConstruction Via Linear Programming." Proceedings of the 4th\nMidwest Artifi cial Intelligence and Cognitive Science Society, \npp. 97-101, 1992], a classification me thod which uses linear\nprogramming to construct a decision tree. Relevant features\nwe re selected using an exhaustive search in the space of 1-4\nfeatures and 1-3 separating planes.\n\nThe actual linear program used to obtain the separating plane\nin the 3-dimen sional space is that described in:\n[K. P. Bennett and O. L. Mangasarian: "Robust Linear \nProgramming Discrimination of Two Linearly Inseparable Sets",\nOptimization Methods an d Software 1, 1992, 23-34].\n\nThis database is also available through the UW CS ftp ser ver:\n\nftp ftp.cs.wisc.edu\ncd math-prog/cpo-dataset/machine-learn/WDBC/\n\n.. topic:: References\n\n - W.N. Street, W.H. Wolberg and O.L. Mangasarian. Nuclear feature extra ction \n for breast tumor diagnosis. IS&T/SPIE 1993 International Symposium on \n Electronic Imaging: Science and Technology, volume 1905, pages 861-870,\n San Jos e, CA, 1993.\n - O.L. Mangasarian, W.N. Street and W.H. Wolberg. Breast cancer diagnos is and \n prognosis via linear programming. Operations Research, 43(4), pages 570-57 July-August 1995.\n - W.H. Wolberg, W.N. Street, and O.L. Mangasarian. Machi ne learning techniques\n to diagnose breast cancer from fine-needle aspirates. Cance r Letters 77 (1994) \n 163-171.', 'feature names': array(['mean radius', 'mean textu re', 'mean perimeter', 'mean area', 'mean smoothness', 'mean compactness', 'mean concavity', 'mean concave points', 'mean symmetry', 'mean fractal dimension', 'radius error', 'texture error', 'perimeter error', 'area error', 'smoothness error', 'compactness error', 'concavity error', 'concave points error', 'symmetry error', 'fractal dimension error', 'worst radius', 'worst texture', 'worst perimeter', 'worst area', 'worst smoothness', 'worst compactness', 'worst concavity', 'worst concave points', 'worst symmetry', 'worst fractal dimension'], dtype='<U23'), 'filename': 'breast cancer.csv', 'data module': 'sklearn.datasets.data'} <class 'pandas.core.frame.DataFrame'> RangeIndex: 569 entries, 0 to 568 Data columns (total 31 columns): Non-Null Count Dtype ____ _____ mean radius 569 non-null float64 1 mean texture 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64
569 non-null float64
569 non-null float64
569 non-null float64 2 mean perimeter 3 mean area mean smoothness 4 5 mean compactness 569 non-null float64 6 mean concavity mean concavity 569 non-null float64
mean concave points 569 non-null float64
mean symmetry 569 non-null float64
mean fractal dimension 569 non-null float64
radius error 569 non-null float64
texture error 569 non-null float64
perimeter error 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 13 area error 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 14 smoothness error 15 compactness error 16 concavity error 569 non-null float64
17 concave points error 569 non-null float64
18 symmetry error 569 non-null float64
19 fractal dimension error 569 non-null float64 16 concavity error 20 worst radius 569 non-null float64 569 non-null float64 21 worst texture 569 non-null float64 22 worst perimeter 23 worst area 569 non-null float64 23 worst area 569 non-null float64
24 worst smoothness 569 non-null float64
25 worst compactness 569 non-null float64
26 worst concavity 569 non-null float64
27 worst concave points 569 non-null float64
28 worst symmetry 569 non-null float64

dtypes: float64(30), int32(1) memory usage: 135.7 KB

30 label

29 worst fractal dimension 569 non-null float64

569 non-null int32

	mean radius mea	an texture m	ean perimeter	mean area	mean smoothness \
0	17.99	10.38	122.80	1001.0	0.11840
1	20.57	17.77	132.90	1326.0	0.08474
2	19.69	21.25	130.00	1203.0	0.10960
3	11.42	20.38	77.58	386.1	0.14250
4	20.29	14.34	135.10	1297.0	0.10030
		• • •		• • •	• • •
564	21.56	22.39	142.00	1479.0	0.11100
565	20.13	28.25	131.20	1261.0	0.09780
566	16.60	28.08	108.30	858.1	0.08455
567	20.60	29.33	140.10	1265.0	0.11780
568	7.76	24.54	47.92	181.0	0.05263
0					mean symmetry \
0	0.2776			0.14710	0.2419
1	0.0786			0.07017	0.1812
2	0.1599			0.12790	0.2069
3	0.2839			0.10520	0.2597
4	0.1328			0.10430	0.1809
· ·	0 1150		4200	0 12000	0.1726
564	0.1159			0.13890	0.1726 0.1752
565	0.1034			0.09791	
566	0.1023			0.05302	0.1590
567 568	0.2770 0.0436			0.15200 0.00000	0.2397 0.1587
300	0.0430	2 0.0	0000	0.00000	0.1367
	mean fractal din	mension	worst radius	worst textil	re \
0		0.07871	25.380	17.	
1		0.05667	24.990	23.	
2		0.05999	23.570	25.	
3		0.09744	14.910	26.	
4		0.05883	22.540	16.	
••					
564		0.05623	25.450	26.	
565		0.05533	23.690	38.	
566		0.05648	18.980	34.	
567		0.07016	25.740	39.	
568		0.05884	9.456	30.	
	worst perimeter	worst area	worst smoothn	ess worst c	ompactness \
0	184.60	2019.0	0.16		0.66560
1	158.80	1956.0	0.12	380	0.18660
2	152.50	1709.0	0.14	440	0.42450
3	98.87	567.7	0.20	980	0.86630
4	152.20	1575.0	0.13	740	0.20500
					• • •
564	166.10	2027.0	0.14	100	0.21130
565	155.00	1731.0	0.11	.660	0.19220
566	126.70	1124.0	0.11	.390	0.30940
567	184.60	1821.0	0.16	5500	0.86810
568	59.16	268.6	0.08	996	0.06444
	worst concavity	worst conca			\
0	0.7119		0.2654	0.4601	
1	0.2416		0.1860	0.2750	
2	0.4504		0.2430	0.3613	
3	0.6869		0.2575	0.6638	
4	0.4000		0.1625	0.2364	
564	0.4107		0.2216	0.2060	
565	0.3215		0.1628	0.2572	
566	0.3403		0.1418	0.2218	
567	0.9387		0.2650	0.4087	
568	0.0000		0.0000	0.2871	

```
0
                             0.11890
        1
                             0.08902
        2
                             0.08758
        3
                             0.17300
        4
                             0.07678
        . .
                                 . . .
        564
                             0.07115
        565
                             0.06637
        566
                             0.07820
        567
                             0.12400
        568
                             0.07039
       [569 rows x 30 columns]
              0
        1
               0
               0
        3
               0
              0
              . .
             0
        564
        565
             0
        566
             0
        567
              0
        568
              1
       Name: label, Length: 569, dtype: int32
        (569, 30) (455, 30) (114, 30)
        Accuracy on training data = 0.9384615384615385
        Accuracy on test data = 0.9298245614035088
        [1]
        The Breast Cancer is Benign
        C:\Users\vakap\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\linear model\ logistic.py:814: Conver
        genceWarning: lbfgs failed to converge (status=1):
        STOP: TOTAL NO. of ITERATIONS REACHED LIMIT.
        Increase the number of iterations (max iter) or scale the data as shown in:
           https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html
        Please also refer to the documentation for alternative solver options:
           https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/linear model.html#logistic-regression
         n iter i = check optimize result(
        C:\Users\vakap\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\base.py:450: UserWarning: X does not
        have valid feature names, but LogisticRegression was fitted with feature names
         warnings.warn(
In [ ]:
```