

# Object Oriented Design

Serhiy Oplakanets @ Lohika, 2010

# Agenda

- Symptoms of Bad Design
- Basic Principles of Object Oriented Design

# Symptoms of Bad Design

- Rigidity
- Fragility
- Immobility
- Viscosity

# Rigidity

- Changes are difficult and painful.
- Every change requires cascade of changes in dependent modules.
- Scope of change is unpredictable.
- Your manager has a favorite scope multiplier, usually more than 2.

# Fragility

- Closely related to *Rigidity*.
- You can never predict the impact of change.
- You never know what will break.
- The “christmas tree” (*Hi, Jilles!*)

# Basic Principles of OOD

- **S**ingle Responsibility Principles
- **O**pen-Closed Principle
- **L**iskov Substitution Principle
- **I**nterface Segregation Principle
- **D**ependency Inversion Principle

# Single Responsibility Principle

- A class should have one and only one reason to change.

# How to Spot SRP Violation?

- Member groups or even coalitions :)
- Boolean flags
- Hard to name classes
- Monster classes: \*Manager, \*Controller, \*Util, Context, ...
- Long unit tests
- Hard to test-double units



# Open-Closed Principle

- Modules should be open for extension but closed for modification.

# Friends of OCP

- Design Patterns:
  - Visitor
  - Decorator

# Liskov Substitution Principle

- *Let  $q(x)$  be a property provable about objects  $x$  of type  $T$ . Then  $q(y)$  should be provable for objects  $y$  of type  $S$  where  $S$  is a subtype of  $T$ .*
- Basically means that children classes should not break parent's interface

# How to Spot LSP Violation?

- Derivative that tries to do less than base class
- *instanceof* checks
- Hiding or stubbing parent methods
  - `void someMethod() {}`
  - `void someMethod() {throw new ShouldNotBeCalledException("...");}`
- *Polymorphic if statements :)*

# Dependency Inversion Principle

- High-level modules should not depend on low-level modules. Both should depend on abstractions.
- Abstractions should not depend upon details. Details should depend upon abstractions.

# DIP Violation Example

```
class UserDao {  
    User find(String login, String password) {  
        Database database = new MySQLDatabase();  
        // run queries and so on to create user ...  
        return user;  
    }  
}
```

# DIP Example. Refactored

```
class UserDao {  
    DataSource dataSource;  
  
    UserDao(DataSource dataSource) {  
        this.dataSource = dataSource;  
    }  
  
    User find(String login, String password) {  
        // use dataSource to create user object ...  
        return user;  
    }  
}
```

# DIP Example.Continued

```
interface DataSource {  
    Map<Key, Value> read(String container);  
    void update(String container, Map<Key, Value> fields);  
    void create(String container, Map<Key, Value> fields);  
}
```



Why adhere to DIP?

# Friends of DIP

- Abstractions(Interfaces/Abstract Classes/...)
- Patterns
  - Factory, Abstract Factory
  - Adapter
  - Service Locator
  - Dependency Injection
- Inversion of Control Principle

# Questions?

- Have I mentioned good OO Design is hard to implement, btw?