

leDear Miles,

We would like to ask some questions about a few points discussed in our recent meeting. The questions discussed were as follows:

The original Yuconz description (Dated 2018/11/04) mentioned numerous record types not stated within the Use Case Scenarios provided for this Stage. The record types omitted from the use case scenarios include the following:

- Initial employment details
- Salary increase record
- Promotion record
- Probation record
- Termination record

Should we create classes representing each of these record types for the class diagram, and add additional use cases for those records?  
Or should we submit a class diagram that only includes the record types stated in documents provided for this stage?

Clarifying a past question

We previously asked the following question, though the response shows it was poorly worded and ambiguous:

- *“Can the HR director manage HR themselves or must they delegate to their employees? (Since currently they're just a director, so their only write-privilege is when reviewing and cannot amend records themselves)”*

We meant

to ask, “Since the HR director is a member of the HR section, they can carry out any operation their employees can carry out. For example, since an HREmployee can create a personal details record, so can the HR director. Could you please confirm if our interpretation is correct?”

Since "Authentication server" and "Authorisation" will be part of our implementation, should our class diagram also model adding new users to the system?

In our previous email we asked the question:

*"Allocate reviewer" alternate flow says, "Allocation remains incomplete".*

*\* Is it stored but not acted upon? - That sounds like it would clog up the filesystem.*

*\* Can they edit it after it fails and resubmit it?*

*\* Can they cancel the action, so that it is not made?"*

You responded with the following:

*"It is an acknowledgement of what sometimes happens. HR goes to assign reviewers and kind find anyone. They will try again another time."*

We understand this is what sometimes happens and would like you clarify what should happen in the event of this from the two options as follows:

- A draft of the review remains on system so the user can pick up from where they left off.
- The incomplete review is lost if not submitted.

We have noticed that sections/departments in the company are referred to sections in the requirements but have been referred to as departments in presentations you've given. Can you clarify how we should refer to this going forward or if sections and departments are two separate entities?

Thank you for your time and we gladly await your response.

Kind regards,



Jamie Ghoorbin

Team Leader – Untitled Solutions

07940 074 293

Jdg23@kent.ac.uk