Miles Roman writes:

Dear Jamie,

Thank you for your questions. I have tried my best to respond to each
point below. Should you have any further questions, please don't
hesitate to contact me in the usual way.

Sincerely,

Miles Roman
Director of Human Resources
Yuconz

On 01/02/2019 16:22, J.D.Ghoorbin wrote:
> Dear Miles,
>
> We would like to ask some questions about a few points discussed in our
> recent meeting. The questions discussed were as follows:
>
> The original Yuconz description (Dated 2018/11/04) mentioned numerous
> record types not stated within the Use Case Scenarios provided for this
> Stage.
>
> The record types omitted from the use case scenarios include the following:
>
>   * Initial employment details
>   * Salary increase record
>   * Promotion record
>   * Probation record
>   * Termination record
>
> Should we create classes representing each of these record types for the
> class diagram, and add additional use cases for those records?
>
> Or should we submit a class diagram that only includes the record types
> stated in documents provided for this stage?

For this part of the overall project, we do not require you to model
those other records.

> Clarifying a past question
>

> We previously asked the following question, though the response shows it
> was poorly worded and ambiguous:
>
>   * "/Can the HR director manage HR themselves or must they delegate to
>   their employees? (Since currently they're just a director, so their
>   only write-privilege is when reviewing and cannot amend records
>   themselves)/"
>
> We meant to ask,"Since the HR director is a member of the HR section,
> they can carry out any operation their employees can carry out. For
> example, since an HREmployee can create a personal details record, so
> can the HR director. Could you please confirm if our interpretation is
> correct?"

In theory, yes, but in practice I leave that work to the staff in my
department.

> Since "Authentication server" and "Authorisation" will be part of our
> implementation, should our class diagram also model adding new users to
> the system?

No, that won't be necessary, but you will need to have a way - in your
prototype system - of creating users.

> In our previous email we asked the question:
>
>   /""Allocate reviewer" alternate flow says, "Allocation remains
>   incomplete"./
>   /* Is it stored but not acted upon? - That sounds like it would clog
>   up the filesystem./
>   /* Can they edit it after it fails and resubmit it?/
>   /* Can they cancel the action, so that it is not made?"/
>
>   //You responded with the following:
>
>   /"It is an acknowledgement of what sometimes happens. HR goes to
>   assign reviewers//and kind find anyone. They will try again another
>   time."/
>
> We understand this is what sometimes happens and would like you clarify
> what should happen in the event of this from the two options as follows:
>
>   * A draft of the review remains on system so the user can pick up from
>   where they left off.

>   * The incomplete review is lost if not submitted.

Allocating reviewers is not related to creating the review document. It happens before the Perform review use case, but the reviewee creates the review document independent of reviewer assignment.

> We have noticed that sections/departments in the company are referred to
> sections in the requirements but have been referred to as departments in
> presentations you've given. Can you clarify how we should refer to this
> going forward or if sections and departments are two separateentities?

In my presentation, I noted that there are 4 departments in Yuconz but some of these have sections within them. For instance, the Services Delivery department has three separate sections.

> Thank you for your time and we gladly await your response.
> Kind regards,
>
> Jamie Ghoorbin
>
> Team Leader – Untitled Solutions
>
> 07940 074 293
>
> Jdg23@kent.ac.uk