

Conservative Intuitionistic Epsilon Calculus based on Predicate Abstraction and S4 Embedding

It is well known that extending Intuitionistic Predicate logic (**IP**) with the $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms of Epsilon Calculus (**$\varepsilon\mathbf{P}$**) results in an extension which is non-conservative with respect to \forall and \exists intuitionistic quantifiers. Conservative fragments have been proposed in the literature which are based on different restrictions: linguistic (not all $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms are accepted as well-formed terms); interpretational ($\varepsilon\tau$ -terms may partially denote, and an existence predicate is added); inferential (the ‘critical axiom’ of **$\varepsilon\mathbf{P}$** is restricted).¹

Despite not acknowledged in the literature, a different strategy is implicitly suggested in Fitting [2]. There, an extension of **$\varepsilon\mathbf{P}$** is developed including a predicate abstraction operator λ and **S4** modalities. By λ , the scope of $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms naturally disambiguates in an extended $\varepsilon\tau$ -translation of quantifiers, for x not occurring in B (Cf. [1], Table 3):

$$\begin{aligned} (B \rightarrow \exists x A) \rightarrow \exists x (B \rightarrow A) &\rightsquigarrow_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon\tau} (B \rightarrow [\lambda x A](\varepsilon x A)) \rightarrow [\lambda x (B \rightarrow A)](\varepsilon x (B \rightarrow A)) \\ \forall x \neg\neg A \rightarrow \neg\neg\forall x A &\rightsquigarrow_{\lambda}^{\varepsilon\tau} [\lambda x \neg\neg A](\tau x \neg\neg A) \rightarrow \neg\neg[\lambda x A](\tau x A) \end{aligned}$$

By **S4** modalities, an extended translation $(\cdot)^\square$ of Intuitionistic formulas extended by λ and $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms can be provided:

$$\begin{aligned} ([\lambda x A](\varepsilon x B))^\square &:= [\lambda x (A)^\square](\varepsilon x (B)^\square) \\ ([\lambda x A](\tau x B))^\square &:= \square[\lambda x (A)^\square](\tau x (B)^\square) \end{aligned}$$

In this presentation, I show that these translations induces indeed such a conservative extension of **I** with $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms and λ . I prove this by adapting Yasuhara’s [5, 6] cut-free complete sequent calculus for the (extensional version of) **$\varepsilon\mathbf{P}$** and his completeness proof by countermodel extraction from a failed proof-search. The first system **$\varepsilon\lambda\mathbf{S4}$** includes G3-style rules for **S4** and λ abstraction. The second system **$\varepsilon\lambda\mathbf{I}$** includes a G3-style multisuccedent rules for intuitionistic connectives and λ abstraction which internalize the $(\cdot)^\square$ translation. Both system are shown sound and complete for their respective semantics by adapting Yasuhara’s completeness proofs to Mints’ [3] proof-search method for multisuccedent intuitionistic sequent calculi, and the extended $(\cdot)^\square$ embedding of **$\varepsilon\lambda\mathbf{I}$** in **$\varepsilon\lambda\mathbf{S4}$** proven.

- [1] Baaz, M. and Zach, R. (2022). “Epsilon theorems in intermediate logics”. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic* 87(2), 682–720.
- [2] Fitting, M. (1975). “A Modal Logic ε -Calculus”. *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic* 16(1), 1–16.
- [3] Mints, G. (2000). *A short introduction to intuitionistic logic*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, New York.
- [4] Mints, G. (2015). “Intuitionistic Existential Instantiation and Epsilon Symbol”. In Wansing, H. (Ed.), *Dag Prawitz on Proofs and Meaning*, Springer, Cham, 225–238.
- [5] Yasuhara, M. (1982). “Cut Elimination in ε -Calculi”. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 28(20-21), 311–316.
- [6] Yasuhara, M. (1989). “An Addition to “Cut Elimination in ε -Calculi””. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly* 35(6), 483–484.
- [7] Zach, R. (2017). “Semantics and Proof Theory of the Epsilon Calculus”. In Ghosh, S., Prasad, S. (Eds.), *Indian Conference on Logic and Its Applications*, Springer, Berlin, 27–47.

¹Conservativity involving $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms are known as ‘Epsilon Theorems’. See [7] for an introduction to Epsilon Calculus, and [1, 4] for references on conservative fragments of extensions of Intuitionistic and Intermediate logics with $\varepsilon\tau$ -terms.