# On the Selection of Finite Element Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs based on their Stabilization and Convergence Properties

Philip Zwanenburg and Siva Nadarajah

Computational Aerodynamics Group McGill University

July 11, 2018

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overviev

I heory

Abstract Setti

Methods

DPG

DG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

Test Norms for

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract S

Model P Methods

OPG

DG

Results

References

The success of Bubnov-Galerkin finite element methods for the solution of elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) arises from the relation between the variational formulations and the minimization of an energy functional.

In the case of convection-dominated or purely hyperbolic PDEs, additional stabilization is required in regions of high local Péclet number and is most commonly introduced either through the introduction of a suitably chosen numerical flux or through the modification of the test space (resulting in a Petrov-Galerkin method).

Taking the linear advection equation as a model problem, our goal is to present our initial investigation into determining the *optimal* form of stabilization.

#### Outline

- Presentation of abstract functional setting and methods considered:
  - Discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin method with various test norms [1];
  - Optimal Trial Petrov-Galerkin method [2];
  - Discontinuous Galerkin method with upwind numerical flux.
- Numerical results in one and two dimensions.
- Discussion of limitations and future directions.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

#### Overview

Theory Abstract Set

Model Problem

DPG OPG

DG

Discrete inf-sup Testin

Results

Find 
$$u \in U$$
 such that  $b(v, u) = l(v) \ \forall v \in V$ .

We have the standard requirements:

$$|b(v,u)| \leq M||v||_V||u||_U,$$

$$\exists \gamma > 0 : \inf_{u \in U} \sup_{v \in V} \frac{b(v, u)}{||v||_{V}||u||_{U}} \ge \gamma,$$

and

$$I(v) = 0 \ \forall v \in V_0$$
, where  $V_0 := \{v \in V : b(v, u) = 0 \ \forall u \in U\}$ .

Then by the Banach-Nečas-Babuška theorem,

$$||u||_{U} \leq \frac{M}{\gamma}||I||_{V'}.$$

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting

Methods

----

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results



#### Abstract Functional Setting - Discrete

Choosing finite dimensional subsets we have the discrete variational problem,

Find 
$$u_h \in U_h$$
 such that  $b(v_h, u_h) = l(v_h) \ \forall v_h \in V_h$ .

If a discrete version of the inf-sup condition holds,

$$||u-u_h||_U \leq \frac{M}{\gamma_h} \inf_{w_h \in U_h} ||u-w_h||_U.$$

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting Model Problem

Methods

OPG

Discrete inf-sun Testing

Results



# Abstract Functional Setting - Quick Proof

Beginning with the discrete inf-sup condition we have, for all  $w_h \in U_h$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{h}||w_{h} - u_{h}||_{U} &\leq \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{b(v_{h}, w_{h} - u_{h})}{||v_{h}||_{V}} \\ &= \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{b(v_{h}, w_{h} - u) + b(v_{h}, u - u_{h})}{||v_{h}||_{V}} \\ &= \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{b(v_{h}, w_{h} - u)}{||v_{h}||_{V}} \\ &\leq \sup_{v_{h} \in V_{h}} \frac{M||v_{h}||_{V}||w_{h} - u||_{U}}{||v_{h}||_{V}} \\ &= M||w_{h} - u||_{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting

Iviodei Pi

ivietnous

DC

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results



## Abstract Functional Setting - Quick Proof

Using the triangle inequality and that above we thus find

$$\begin{aligned} ||u_h - u||_U &\leq ||u_h - w_h||_U + ||w_h - u||_U & \forall w_h \in U_h \\ &\leq \frac{M}{\gamma_h} ||w_h - u||_U + ||w_h - u||_U & \forall w_h \in U_h \\ &= \left(1 + \frac{M}{\gamma_h}\right) ||w_h - u||_U & \forall w_h \in U_h \\ &\rightarrow ||u_h - u||_U &\leq \left(1 + \frac{M}{\gamma_h}\right) \inf_{w_h \in U_h} ||w_h - u||_U, \end{aligned}$$

where the 1 can be removed in the Hilbert space setting [3].

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting

Methods

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting Model Problem

Methods

DG

Results

References

A case of particular interest:

$$M = \gamma_h$$
.

Error incurred by the discrete approximation is smallest.

Bui-Thanh et al. [4, Theorem 2.6] have proven that

$$M = \gamma_h = 1 \iff \exists v_u \in V \setminus \{0\} : b(v_u, u) = ||v_u||_V ||u||_U \ \forall u \in U,$$

where  $v_u$  is termed an optimal test function for the trial function u.

Markada

DPG

DC O

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

References

One choice of strategy is to select the norm which is naturally induced by the problem. Defining the map from trial to test space,  $T: U \ni u \to Tu := v_{Tu} \in V$ , by

$$(v_{Tu}, Tu)_V = b(v, u),$$

then

$$V_{\text{opt}} = \{ v_{Tu} \in V : u \in U \}.$$

In the discrete trial space optimal test functions are determined according to

Find  $v_{Tu_h} \in V$  such that  $(w, v_{Tu_h})_V = b(w, u_h), \ \forall w \in V$ .

# Model Problem - Steady Linear Advection

Weak formulation:

$$b(v, \boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} -\boldsymbol{\nabla} v \cdot \boldsymbol{b} u \ d\omega + \int_{\partial \mathcal{V} \setminus \Gamma^{-}} v f^{*} \ d\gamma,$$
$$I(v) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} v s \ d\omega + \int_{\mathcal{F} \cap \Gamma^{-}} v f_{\Gamma^{-}} \ d\gamma.$$

Convenient reformulation:

$$b(v, \boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} -\boldsymbol{\nabla} v \cdot \boldsymbol{b} u \ d\omega + \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \llbracket v \rrbracket f^* \ d\gamma,$$
$$l(v) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} v s \ d\omega.$$

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theo

Abstract Sett

Model Problem

DPG

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results



Demkowicz et al. (2010) [1].

Motivated by optimal solution convergence in the  $L^2$  norm, we would like to move gradients in the bilinear form to the test space.

From the formal  $L^2$ -adjoint and a bilinear form representing the boundary terms

$$b(v,u) = b^*(v,u) + c(\mathsf{tr}_A^* v, \mathsf{tr}_A u)$$

we obtrain the graph space for the adjoint

$$H_b^*(\Omega) := \{ v \in (L^2(\Omega)) : B^*v \in (L^2(\Omega)) \ \forall u \in U \}.$$

When setting  $V = H_b^*(\Omega)$ , we say that the test space is  $H_b$ -conforming.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Model Problem

DPG

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

esults

The goal of the methodology is to solve for the solution over a tessellation,  $\mathcal{T}_h$ , of the discretized domain,  $\Omega_h$ , consisting of elements (referred to as volumes),  $\mathcal{V}$ .

To make the method practical, the DPG method uses broken test spaces such that test functions can be computed elementwise,

Find 
$$v_{Tu_h} \in V(\mathcal{V})$$
 such that  $(w, v_{Tu_h})_{V(\mathcal{V})} = b(w, u_h), \ \forall w \in V(\mathcal{V})$ 

where

$$V(\Omega_h) := \{ v \in L^2(\Omega) : v|_{\mathcal{V}} \in H_b^*(\mathcal{V}) \ \forall \mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{T}_h \},$$
  
$$(w, v)_{V(\Omega_h)} := \sum_{\mathcal{V}} (w|_{\mathcal{V}}, v|_{\mathcal{V}})_{V(\mathcal{V})}.$$

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Settin

Methods DPG

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

## The DPG Method - Investigated Norms

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Settin

Methods

DPG

010

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

$$L^2:(w|_{\mathcal{V}},v|_{\mathcal{V}})_{V(\mathcal{V})}=(w|_{\mathcal{V}},v|_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

$$H^1:(w|_{\mathcal{V}},v|_{\mathcal{V}})_{V(\mathcal{V})}=(w|_{\mathcal{V}},v|_{\mathcal{V}})+(\nabla w|_{\mathcal{V}},\nabla v|_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

$$H^1_{\boldsymbol{b}}: (w|_{\mathcal{V}}, v|_{\mathcal{V}})_{V(\mathcal{V})} = (w|_{\mathcal{V}}, v|_{\mathcal{V}}) + (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla w|_{\mathcal{V}}, \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \nabla v|_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

$$H_{\boldsymbol{b}}^{+}:(w|_{\mathcal{V}},v|_{\mathcal{V}})_{V(\mathcal{V})}=\langle w|_{\mathcal{V}},|\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\hat{n}}|v_{\mathcal{V}}\rangle_{\partial\mathcal{V}^{+}}+(\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}w|_{\mathcal{V}},\boldsymbol{b}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla}v|_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

#### The Discontinuous Petrov-Galerkin Method

#### Additional characteristics:

- General Petrov-Galerkin methodology outlined in the abstract setting is a subset of the practical DPG methodology. When both formulations are uniquely solvable, their solutions coincide.
- ► The required selection of a suitable numerical flux has been replaced with the required selection of a suitable test norm.
- When also using a discontinuous trial space, additional trace unknowns are introduced allowing for static condensation of volume unknowns in the global solve.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

#### Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting Model Problem

DPG

OPG DG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

# The Optimal Trial Petrov-Galerkin (OPG) Method

Brunken et al. (2018) [1].

Similar motivation to that of the DPG method but with test norm chosen as that which is naturally induced by the problem such that the method is optimal in the sense of having unity continuity and inf-sup constants, and a trial norm corresponding to the L2 norm.

Main difference, global solve for the optimal test space followed by computation of discrete trial space through the application of the adjoint operator.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overviev

Theory

Abstract Sett

Methods

OPG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results

Cauchy-Schwarz on bilinear form:

$$b(v, \mathbf{u}) \leq \sum_{\mathcal{V}} || - \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{b}||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{V})} ||u||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{V})} + ||[v]||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F})} ||f^{*}||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F})}$$

$$\leq \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathcal{V}} || - \nabla v \cdot \mathbf{b}||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{V})}^{2} + ||[v]||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F})}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{||v||_{V}} \times \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\mathcal{V}} ||u||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{V})}^{2} + ||f^{*}||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{F})}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}_{||u||_{U}}.$$

Equality obtained (unity continuity and inf-sup constants) for the choice of test functions:

$$u = -\nabla v_u \cdot \boldsymbol{b}$$
 in  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  
 $f^* = [\![v_u]\!]$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Settin

Methods

OPG

DG

Results



Results

In this case, a conforming test space is selected and the common flux component of the group variable eliminated.

Imposing sufficient constraints on the test space,

 $\exists$  a unique  $v_u \in V$  such that  $u = B^*v$ ,  $\forall u \in U$ .

The equivalent problem can then be obtained:

Find  $w_h(u_h) \in V_h$  such that

$$b(v_h, u_h) := b(v_h, B^*w_h) := (B^*v_h, B^*w_h) = I(v_h) \ \forall v_h \in V_h,$$

and subsequently compute the solution.

The DG method with upwind numerical flux corresponds to:

$$b(v,u) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} -\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{b} u \ d\omega + \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \llbracket v \rrbracket f^* \ d\gamma,$$

where  $f^* = \hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{b} u_{\text{upwind}}$ . Equivalently,

$$b(v, u) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \int_{\mathcal{V}} -\nabla v \cdot \boldsymbol{b} u \ d\omega$$
$$+ \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} [v] \left( (\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}) \{ \{u\} \} + \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}| [\![u]\!] \right) d\gamma.$$

- ► Central flux term for discrete coercivity in  $||v||_{cf}^2 = ||v||_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\boldsymbol{b} \cdot \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}| v^2 d\Gamma$ .
- Additional penalization term added to strengthen the stability such that improved error estimates are obtained.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theor

Abstract Set

Methods

DPG

DG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results



### The DG Method - Coercivity Norms

Discrete coercivity leading to a quasi-optimal error estimate can be proven using the following norm

$$||\mathbf{v}||_{\mathsf{uw}_{1}}^{2} = ||\mathbf{v}||_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2} + \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}| v^{2} d\Gamma$$

$$+ \sum_{\mathcal{F}} \int_{\mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{b} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}| [\![v]\!]^{2} d\gamma + \sum_{\mathcal{V}} h_{\mathcal{V}} ||\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla v||_{L^{2}(\mathcal{V})}^{2}.$$

Continuity requires the addtional terms

$$||v||_{uw_2}^2 = ||v||_{uw_1}^2 + \sum_{\mathcal{V}} \left( h_{\mathcal{V}}^{-1} ||v||_{L^2(\mathcal{V})}^2 + ||v||_{L^2(\partial \mathcal{V})}^2 \right).$$

Reference: Di Pietro et al. [5].

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S. Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setti

Methods

OPG DG

Discrete inf-sup Testing

Results



#### References I



L. Demkowicz and J. Gopalakrishnan, "A class of discontinuous petrov-Galerkin methods. ii. optimal test functions," *Numerical Methods for Partial Differential Equations*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 70–105, 2011.



J. Brunken, K. Smetana, and K. Urban, "(Parametrized) First Order Transport Equations: Realization of Optimally Stable Petrov-Galerkin Methods," *ArXiv e-prints*, Mar. 2018.



J. Xu and L. Zikatanov, "Some observations on babuÄąka and brezzi theories," vol. 94, pp. 195–202, 03 2003.



T. Bui-Thanh, L. Demkowicz, and O. Ghattas, "Constructively well-posed approximation methods with unity inf-sup and continuity constants for partial differential equations," *Mathematics of Computation*, vol. 82, p. 1923–1952, 2013.



D. Di Pietro and A. Ern, *Mathematical Aspects of Discontinuous Galerkin Methods*.

Mathématiques et Applications, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2011.

Test Norms for Hyperbolic PDEs -Stabilization and Convergence

P. Zwanenburg and S Nadarajah

Overview

Theory

Abstract Setting Model Problem

DPG

DPG DG

Discrete inf-sup Testin

Courts