

Model Predictive Control — Lecture 4 Integral Action in MPC

Ling KV (ekvling@ntu.edu.sg)

January 2025

School of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

Integral Action in MPC

To get offset free tracking of a constant set-point in the presence of an unknown but constant disturbance, we need:

- In steady state, the minimum of the MPC cost function must be consistent with zero tracking errors
- 2. The predictions must be unbiased, i.e. the prediction model should give, in steady state, $\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \begin{bmatrix} I, & I, & \dots, & I \end{bmatrix}^T y_{\text{SS}}^{\text{REAL}}$, regardless of any differences between the model and the process due to uncertainty and disturbances.



MPC Cost Function, 1/2

The use of incremental control in the cost function, i.e.

$$J = \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} (\hat{y}(k+i|k) - w(k+i|k))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} (\Delta \hat{u}(k+i-1|k))^2$$

satisfies the first criteria since J=0 is achieved when y-w=0 and $\Delta u=0$.

This cost function, however,

$$J = \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} (\hat{y}(k+i|k) - w(k+i|k))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} (\hat{u}(k+i-1|k))^2$$

will not give offset-free tracking as y-w=0 and u=0 will be inconsistent most of the time. The best minimum in the steady state would be a compromise between the norms of y-w and u and hence $y-w\neq 0$ in general.



MPC Cost Function, 2/2

If one wants to avoid the use of input increments, then one alternative is to include weights on the distance of the inputs from their steady state values $u_{\rm SS}$, e.g.

$$J = \sum_{i=N_1}^{N_2} (\hat{y}(k+i|k) - w(k+i|k))^2 + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N_u} (\hat{u}(k+i-1|k) - u_{ss})^2$$

as then y - w = 0 and $u = u_{SS}$ are consistent and the minimum J = 0 occurs with no offset.

However, one then needs a model that gives unbiased predictions for the pair $\hat{y} = w$, $u_{\rm SS}$.



Unbiased Predictions, 1/5

The basic idea of this criteria can be illustrated using the prediction model given by

$$\hat{\mathbf{Y}} = \underbrace{\Phi \xi(k)}_{\text{past}} + \underbrace{G\hat{\mathbf{U}}}_{\text{future}} \tag{1}$$

and the MPC control law

$$\hat{\mathbf{U}} = (G^T G + \lambda I)^{-1} G^T (\hat{\mathbf{W}} - \Phi \xi(k))$$
 (2)

We assume that the state vector x(k) and the actual plant output $y(k)^{REAL}$ are measured.

At steady state, $\hat{U} = 0$. This implies that, from Eq 2, we must have

$$\mathbf{\hat{W}}_{SS} - \Phi \xi_{SS} = 0.$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{SS} = \begin{bmatrix} I, & I, & \dots, & I \end{bmatrix}^T w_{SS}$. Thus, for offset free tracking, we require

$$\Phi \xi_{ss} = \begin{bmatrix} I, & I, & \dots, & I \end{bmatrix}^T y_{ss}^{REAL}$$
(3)



Unbiased Predictions, 2/5

With state space model given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x(k+1) \\ u(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & B_p \\ 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u(k-1) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_p \\ I \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} C_p & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u(k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(4)

we have

$$A \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} A_p & B_p \\ 0 & I \end{array} \right], \quad B \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} B_p \\ I \end{array} \right], \quad C \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} C_p & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

giving

$$CA^{i} \leftarrow \begin{bmatrix} C_{p}A_{p}^{i} & \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} C_{p}A_{p}^{i}B_{p} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\xi(k) = \begin{bmatrix} x(k) \\ u(k-1) \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \xi_{SS} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{SS} \\ u_{SS} \end{bmatrix}$$



Unbiased Predictions, 3/5

The unbiased preduction condition (Eq 3) would only be satisfied if

$$(C_{
ho}A_{
ho}^i)x_{
m SS}+(\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}C_{
ho}A_{
ho}^iB_{
ho})u_{
m SS}=y_{
m SS}^{
m REAL}$$

and this is only possible if (i) the model is accurate, and (ii) there is no disturbance on the process.



Unbiased Predictions, 4/5

On the other hand, with the state space model given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k+1) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & 0 \\ C_p & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ y(k-1) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_p \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(k)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} C_p & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ y(k-1) \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

we have

$$A \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} A_p & 0 \\ C_p & I \end{array} \right], \quad B \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} B_p \\ 0 \end{array} \right], \quad C \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} C_p & I \end{array} \right]$$

giving

$$CA^{i} \leftarrow \left[\begin{array}{cc} \sum_{i=0}^{N} C_{p}A_{p}^{i} & I \end{array}\right]$$

and

$$\xi(k) = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ y(k-1) \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \xi_{SS} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ y_{SS}^{REAL} \end{bmatrix}$$



Unbiased Predictions, 5/5

The condition (Eq 3) is thus satisfied even if the model is inaccurate and/or disturbance is present.

Similarly, the state space model given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k+1) \\ y(k+1) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A_p & 0 \\ C_p A_p & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} B_p \\ C_p B_p \end{bmatrix} \Delta u(t)$$

$$y(k) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x(k) \\ y(k) \end{bmatrix}$$
(6)

also gives offset free tracking.



Example

Does the control law has integral action?

Ex 3.3: Swimming Pool Example

The water temperature in a heated swimming pool, θ , is related to the heater input power, q, and the ambient air temperature, θ_a , according to the equation

$$T\frac{d\theta}{dt} = kq + \theta_a - \theta$$

where T=1 hour and $k=0.2^{\circ}\mathrm{C}/kW$. Predictive control is to be applied to keep the water at a desired temperature, and a sampling interval $T_s=0.25$ hour is to be used. The control update interval is to be the same as T_s .

 Use MATLAB to show that the corresponding discrete-time model is

$$\theta(k+1) = 0.7788\theta(k) + 0.0442q(k) + 0.2212\theta_a(k)$$

2. Verify that if the horizons $N_1 = 1$, $N_2 = 10$ and $N_u = 3$ are used, then

$$\Delta q(k) = 22.604w(k) - 17.604\Delta\theta(k) - 22.604\theta(k)$$

