

# Entanglement entropy

Paolo Stornati

## 1 Von-Neumann entanglement entropy

Let us suppose we have the ground state of a gapped model on a lattice  $V$  described by the density matrix  $\rho$ . The von Neumann entropy is defined as:

$$S(\rho) = -Tr(\rho \log(\rho)) \quad (1)$$

The entropy of the ground-state is zero since it is a product state. We can not state this for subset of the system. We define a subset  $A$  of  $V$  such that  $B := V/A$ . We define the reduced density matrix of the sub-system as:

$$\rho_A = Tr_B(\rho) \quad (2)$$

We define the von-Neumann entropy of the subsystem  $A$  as:

$$S(\rho_A) = -Tr(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A)) \quad (3)$$

Now, the entropy  $S(\rho_A)$  is null if the subsystems  $A$  and  $B$  are product states. This is not true if there is quantum correlations between  $A$  and  $B$ . Quantum correlations can lead to non-vanishing values of  $S(\rho_A)$ . In fact, the von-Neumann entropy is a index of the entanglement for pure states. This is also why the von-Neumann entropy is also called entanglement entropy.

## 2 Matrix product states

We define the wavefunction of a quantum state as [1]:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n} c_{j_1, \dots, j_n} |j_1\rangle \otimes \dots \otimes |j_n\rangle \quad (4)$$

The matrix product state (MPS) Ansatz defines  $c_{j_1, \dots, j_n}$  as:

$$c_{j_1, \dots, j_n} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta, \dots, \gamma} A_{\alpha, \beta, j_1}^1 A_{\beta, \delta, j_2}^2 \dots A_{\gamma, \alpha, j_n}^N \quad (5)$$

We can now make a comparison between the number of parameters there are in the exact description and the MPS Ansatz. If we have a system of  $n$  spin physical dimension  $d$  we have  $\mathcal{O}(d^n)$

parameters in the exact description. If we decide to truncate the dimension of the greek(i.e.  $\alpha \beta \dots$ ) to be at most  $D$  ( $D$  is usually called *bond dimension* in the literature ) indexes in the definition of the MPS Ansatz we have  $\mathcal{O}(ndD^2)$  parameters.

## 2.1 Gauge degree of freedom

A MPS is defined univocally but the tensors  $A^{(i)}$ . On the other the set of tensors  $A^{(i)}$  that define a generic  $\Psi$  is not unique.  $\Psi$  is defined as the contraction of the tensors  $A^{(i)}$ . Given a element of  $Gl_D(\mathbb{C})$   $M$ , a MPS is invariant under the insertions:

$$A_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)} A_{\beta,\gamma}^{(i+1)} = A_{\alpha,\beta}^{(i)} M_{\beta,\delta} M_{\delta,\xi}^{-1} A_{\xi,\gamma}^{(i+1)} \quad (6)$$

At this point it is very important to remind the reader a fundamental too in linear algebra: The singular value decomposition (SVD). Given a generic rectangular matrix  $N$  is is always possible to find matrices  $U$ ,  $S$ ,  $V$  such that:

$$N = U S V^\dagger \quad (7)$$

$U$  is a matrix containing the left singular vectors of  $N$ . Since  $U$  has orthonormal columns it is also unitary  $UU^\dagger = U^\dagger U = 1$ .  $S$  is a diagonal matrix with non-negative entries. Those numbers  $s_a$  are called the singular values of  $N$ . The number of non-zero singular values is the rank of  $N$ .  $V^\dagger$  is a matrix that contains the right singular vectors. In the same way as  $U$ ,  $V^\dagger$  has orthonormal columns it is also unitary  $VV^\dagger = V^\dagger V = 1$ . The singular values contained in  $S$  has lot of interesting properties. Let us suppose we have a state  $|\psi\rangle$ . For any partition  $A$  and  $B$  of the Hilbert space in which  $|\psi\rangle$  is defined it is always possible to write:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} c_{\alpha,\beta} |\alpha\rangle_A |\beta\rangle_B \quad (8)$$

If perform a SVD of the matrix  $c$  in Equation 8, we can write:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{s_a} U_{\alpha,s_a} S_{s_a,s_a} V_{s_a,\beta}^\dagger |\alpha\rangle_A |\beta\rangle_B \quad (9)$$

We can absorb  $U$  and  $V$  in  $A$  and  $B$  due to their orthonormality in those spaces write:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_a} s_a |\alpha\rangle_A |\beta\rangle_B \quad (10)$$

In this decoposition it is trivial to derive the reduced density matrix for the sub-system  $A$  in Equation 2:

$$\rho_A = \sum_{s_a} s_a^2 (|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|)_A \quad (11)$$

The von-Neumann entanglement entropy can be computed directly from here:

$$S(\rho_A) = -Tr(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A)) = - \sum_a s_a^2 \log_2 s_a^2 \quad (12)$$

## 2.2 Canonical form

Fixing those matrices  $M$  or, more generally, fixing  $M$  such that the MPS satisfies certain relations is referred to as *fixing a gauge*. Two particular gauge, called *canonical forms* are particularly useful when computing expectation values of operators (i.e. the Hamiltonian  $H$  of a quantum system). Those gauge consist into choosing the matrices  $M$  such that the tensors  $A^{(i)}$  satisfy the following relations:

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^D \sum_{s=1}^d \left( A_{\alpha, \beta}^{[s](i)} \right)^* A_{\beta, \gamma}^{[s](i)} = \delta_{\alpha, \gamma} \quad (13)$$

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^D \sum_{s=1}^d A_{\alpha, \beta}^{[s](i)} \left( A_{\beta, \gamma}^{[s](i)} \right)^* = \delta_{\alpha, \gamma} \quad (14)$$

If a MPS satisfies the relation in Equation 13 it is called to be in the *left canonical form*. If a MPS satisfies the relation in Equation 14 it is called to be in the *right canonical form*.

There is also a very useful notation introduced by [2] that highlights the singular values of the matrices of a MPS:

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} U^{s_1} S_1 U^{s_2} S_2 \dots U^{s_N} S_N |s_1, \dots, s_N\rangle \quad (15)$$

## 2.3 Computation of the Von-Neumann entropy in the MPS formalism

Let us suppose we have a spin chain of local Physical dimension  $d$  and  $N$  sites described by a MPS in the *left canonical form*. The partition function  $\rho$  is defined as:

$$\rho = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} \sum_{s'_1, \dots, s'_N} Tr \left[ M^{1, s_1, s'_1} M^{2, s_2, s'_2} \dots M^{N, s_N, s'_N} \right] |s_1, \dots, s_N\rangle \langle s'_1, \dots, s'_N| \quad (16)$$

where:

$$M^{i, s_i, s'_i} = A^{[s_i](i)} \otimes \left( A^{[s'_i](i)} \right)^\dagger \quad (17)$$

We now partition the system in two sub-system  $A$  and  $B$ .  $A$  includes all the sites up to  $k$  and  $B$  its complementary.  $\rho(A)$ , defined as in Equation 2, can now be written as:

$$\tilde{\rho}_A^{[l]} = Tr_B(\rho) = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_l} \sum_{s'_1, \dots, s'_l} A^{1, s_1} \dots A^{l, s_l} \rho_{[A]}^{(l)} \left( A^{1, s'_1} \right)^\dagger \dots \left( A^{l, s'_l} \right)^\dagger |s_1, \dots, s_l\rangle \langle s'_1, \dots, s'_l| \quad (18)$$

where  $\rho_{[A]}^l$  is defined as:

$$\rho_{[A]}^{(l)} = \sum_{s_{l+1}, \dots, s_N}^d \sum_{s'_{l+1}, \dots, s'_N}^d A^{l+1, s_{l+1}} \dots A^{N, s_N} \left( A^{l+, s'_{l+1}} \right)^\dagger \dots \left( A^{N, s'_N} \right)^\dagger \quad (19)$$

Equation 19 denotes a recursive relation:

$$\rho_{[A]}^{(l+1)} = A^{l+1, s_{l+1}} \rho_{[A]}^{(l)} \left( A^{l+, s'_{l+1}} \right)^\dagger \quad (20)$$

We can always rewrite a MPS such in the form of Equation 15. From this form it is trivial to derive the von-Neumann entanglement entropy in the same fashion as in Equation 12. We just need to identify:

$$|\alpha\rangle_A = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_l} U^{s_1} S_1 U^{s_2} S_2 \dots S_{l-1} U^{s_l} |s_1, \dots, s_l\rangle \quad (21)$$

$$|\alpha\rangle_B = \sum_{s_1, \dots, s_N} U^{s_{l+1}} S_{l+1} U^{s_{l+2}} S_{l+2} \dots U^{s_N} S_N |s_{l+1}, \dots, s_N\rangle \quad (22)$$

At this point we can write:

$$\rho_A = \sum_{s_a} s_a^2 (|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|)_A = \sum_a (S_l)_{a,a}^2 (|\alpha\rangle \langle \alpha|)_A \quad (23)$$

Since we have the coefficients of the reduced density matrix  $\rho_A$  we can compute the von-Neumann entropy as:

$$S(\rho_A) = -Tr(\rho_A \log_2(\rho_A)) = - \sum_a (S_l)_{a,a}^2 \log_2 (S_l)_{a,a}^2 \quad (24)$$

## References

- [1] Ulrich Schollwöck. The density-matrix renormalization group in the age of matrix product states. *Annals of Physics*, 326(1):96–192, Jan 2011.
- [2] Guifré Vidal. Efficient classical simulation of slightly entangled quantum computations. *Physical Review Letters*, 91(14), Oct 2003.