



**MACQUARIE UNIVERSITY**  
**Faculty of Science and Engineering**  
**Department of Computing**

**COMP3160 Artificial Intelligence 2020 (Semester 2)**

**Assignment 2 (Report)**

**Evolutionary Algorithms for Adversarial Game Playing**  
**(worth 20%)**

**Student Name: Liam Phillips**

**Student Number: 45234817**

**Student Declaration:**

*I declare that the work reported here is my own. Any help received, from any person, through discussion or other means, has been acknowledged in the last section of this report.*

**Student Signature:**

**Student Name and Date: Liam Phillips, 29/10/2020**

## 1. Background Knowledge Assessment

a. The Nash equilibrium for the 3PD is P,P,P, this is because for any given actor if you hold the other actors actions constant the score (payoff) will always be higher if the actor chooses P. Therefore it will always end up with all 3 choosing P

Payoff matrix, scores are in order  $P_i, P_j, P_k$

		$P_k$			
		B		P	
		$P_j$		$P_j$	
		B	P	B	P
	$P_i$				
	B	4,4,4	2,5,2	2,2,5	0,3,3
	P	5,2,2	3,3,0	3,0,3	1,1,1

b. 2 bits for 2 remembered moves, for 3 players. 6 total bits, for 2 bits there are 4 possible arrangements, so there are  $4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64$  possibilities. Each individual would be 64 bits representing the responses to each possibility + 7 bits for presumes pregame moves. Total 71 bits

c.

It can be just a string of 1's for defects and 0 for cooperation. With this we will have a 71bit long unique binary number as in every different strategy will result in a different number, we can then store the strategy as this number as a decimal or hex. The first bit is the move it will make when there is no history aka round 0. The next 6 bits are the different possibilities for the 2 opponents' first opening moves. Then the 64 bits correspond to each of the 64 possibilities for a 3 player 2 memory size game. With the 2 bits of history combined for all the actors we get a 6 bit long binary number, this corresponds to the index at which the response is selected. The order of the histories when combined doesn't matter as long as it is consistent.

## 2. Implementation

```
#!/usr/bin/env python
```

```
# coding: utf-8
```

```
# ### COMP 3160 Assignment 2
```

```
import random
```

```
import copy
```

```
def payoff_to_ind1(individual1, individual2, individual3, game):
```

```
    payoff = 0
```

```
    x1 = individual1
```

```
    x2 = individual2
```

```
    x3 = individual3
```

```
    if x1 == "1": ##means they selected P
```

```
        if x2 != x3:
```

```
            return 3
```

```
        elif x2 == "1":
```

```
            return 1
```

```
        else:
```

```
            return 5
```

```
    else:
```

```
        if x2 != x3:
```

```
            return 2
```

```
elif x2 == "1":  
    return 0  
else:  
    return 4
```

##strategies are respresented by there 70 responses to the 70 possible histories.

##we can store this as a hex numberto save space

```
def move_by_ind1(individual1, individual2, individual3, round):
```

```
    move = 0 #return var
```

```
    #strat bits
```

```
    x1 = individual1[2:]
```

```
    x2 = individual2[2:]
```

```
    x3 = individual3[2:]
```

```
    ##get history bits
```

```
    h1 = individual1[:2]
```

```
    h2 = individual2[:2]
```

```
    h3 = individual3[:2]
```

```
    ##base cases
```

```
    if round < 2:
```

```
        if round == 0:
```

```
            return x1[0] ##first move
```

```
        else:
```

```

    if x1[6] == 1:
        if x2 != x3:
            return x1[5]
        elif x2 == 1:
            return x1[6]
        else:
            return x1[4]
    else:
        if x2 != x3:
            return x1[2]
        elif x2 == 1:
            return x1[3]
        else:
            return x1[1]
else:

history = h1 + h2 + h3

his = ".join(str(i) for i in history)

index = (int(his, 2)) + 6 ###the pregame moves are the first 6 bits

## print(index)

##we need to get the bit at index whatever is above

string = str(x1)

move = x1[index]

return move ###individual1's move

```

#updates the actors memory bits

```
def process_move(individual, move, memory_depth):
```

```
    ind1 = str(individual)
```

```
    i = 0
```

```
    for i in range(memory_depth - 1):
```

```
        individual[i+1] = individual[i]
```

```
        individual[i] = move
```

```
    ind1 = str(individual) + str(ind1[memory_depth:])
```

```
    return 1
```

#this function allows me to specify memory depth and

# n\_rounds aswell as get the 2 individuals my actor goes against

#then i pass into the eval\_function that gets the actual score

```
def eval_init(individual):
```

```
    score = 0
```

```
    mem_depth = 2
```

```
    maxSize = len(population) - 1
```

```
    one = random.randint(0, maxSize)
```

```
    two = random.randint(0, maxSize)
```

```
    score = eval_function(individual, population[one], population[two], mem_depth, n_rounds)
```

```
    return score,
```

```
def eval_function(individual1, individual2, individual3, m_depth, n_rounds):
```

```
    score = 0
```

```
    i = 0
```

```

ind1 = copy.copy(individual1)
ind2 = copy.copy(individual2)
ind3 = copy.copy(individual3)

for i in range(n_rounds):

    p1 = str(move_by_ind1(ind1,ind2,ind3, i))
    p2 = str(move_by_ind1(ind2,ind3,ind1, i))
    p3 = str(move_by_ind1(ind3,ind1,ind2, i))
    score = score + payoff_to_ind1(p1,p2,p3, 0)
    process_move(ind1, p1, m_depth)
    process_move(ind2, p2, m_depth)
    process_move(ind3, p3, m_depth)

return score ##score to individual1

```

```

from deap import base
from deap import creator
from deap import tools

```

```

def create_toolbox(num_bits):

    creator.create("FitnessMax", base.Fitness, weights=(1.0,))
    creator.create("Individual", list, fitness=creator.FitnessMax)

    # Initialize the toolbox

    toolbox = base.Toolbox()

```

```
# Generate attributes
```

```
toolbox.register("attr_bool", random.randint, 0, 1)
```

```
# Initialize structures
```

```
toolbox.register("individual", tools.initRepeat, creator.Individual, toolbox.attr_bool, num_bits)
```

```
# Define the population to be a list of individuals
```

```
toolbox.register("population", tools.initRepeat, list, toolbox.individual)
```

```
# Register the evaluation operator
```

```
toolbox.register("evaluate", eval_init)
```

```
# Register the crossover operator
```

```
toolbox.register("mate", tools.cxTwoPoint)
```

```
# Register a mutation operator
```

```
toolbox.register("mutate", tools.mutFlipBit, indpb=0.05)
```

```
# Operator for selecting individuals for breeding
```

```
toolbox.register("select", tools.selTournament, tournsize=3)
```

```
return toolbox
```

```
if __name__ == "__main__":
```

```
    # Define the number of bits
```

```
    num_bits = 73
```



```
#define rounds played

n_rounds = 10


# Create a toolbox using the above parameter

toolbox = create_toolbox(num_bits)


# Seed the random number generator

random.seed(42)


# Create an initial population of 99 individuals

population = toolbox.population(n=99)


# Define probabilities of crossing and mutating

probab_crossing, probab_mutating = 0.5, 0.05


# Define the number of generations

num_generations = 1000


print('\nStarting the evolution process')

fitnesses = list(map(toolbox.evaluate, population))

for ind, fit in zip(population, fitnesses):

    ind.fitness.values = fit


print('\nEvaluated', len(population), 'individuals')

for g in range(num_generations):

    print("\n==== Generation", g)


# Select the next generation individuals
```

```

offspring = toolbox.select(population, len(population))

# Clone the selected individuals
offspring = list(map(toolbox.clone, offspring))

# Apply crossover and mutation on the offspring
for child1, child2 in zip(offspring[::2], offspring[1::2]):

    # Cross two individuals

    if random.random() < probabab_crossing:

        toolbox.mate(child1, child2)

        # "Forget" the fitness values of the children
        del child1.fitness.values
        del child2.fitness.values

# Apply mutation
for mutant in offspring:

    # Mutate an individual

    if random.random() < probabab_mutating:

        toolbox.mutate(mutant)

        del mutant.fitness.values

# Evaluate the individuals with an invalid fitness
invalid_ind = [ind for ind in offspring if not ind.fitness.valid]
fitnesses = map(toolbox.evaluate, invalid_ind)

for ind, fit in zip(invalid_ind, fitnesses):

    ind.fitness.values = fit

```

```

print('Evaluated', len(invalid_ind), 'individuals')

# The population is entirely replaced by the offspring
population[:] = offspring

# Gather all the fitnesses in one list and print the stats
fits = [ind.fitness.values[0] for ind in population]

length = len(population)
mean = sum(fits) / length
sum2 = sum(x*x for x in fits)
std = abs(sum2 / length - mean**2)**0.5

print("  Min %s" % min(fits))
print("  Max %s" % max(fits))
print("  Avg %s" % mean)
print("  Std %s" % std)

print("\n==== End of evolution")

best_ind = tools.selBest(population, 1)[0]
print('\nBest individual:\n', best_ind)

```

### 3. Analysis

- a. One thing to note is that the actor with the highest score has a balance between 0's and 1's, this shows us that just responding 1 to all outcomes like expected in the non-iterative prisoner's dilemma. The average score stays similar but could be said to swing back and forth. This is likely the result of certain actors being more greedy and thus getting a higher score, but then in response to that other actors also need to get greedy which brings the average back down to an equilibrium.
- b. Tit for tat is a strategy in which the actor responds with whatever its opponent plays. In nIPD this is harder to completely replicate as you are supposed to respond to an opponent with what they play and thus cant completely correctly respond to all opponents unless they all do the same thing. It is interesting to note that in a situation where both opponents do the same thing such as the responses to where they both chose 0 twice in a row is 0 for all 4 cases is also to pick 0 exactly like in tit for tat, which shows us that cooperation does end up coming out on top after enough generations.

5.

#### 4. Notes (Optional)

Wasn't sure which method for representing strategies we should use, even though the 2nd mentioned in the paper is most efficient for player counts that are powers of two in theory it should work for other numbers, so i originally answers 1b,1c using that:

1b. 2bits represents the 4 possible last 2 moves of the player recent on the left. 2 other players have 3 possibilities, which is 2bits per move remembered. So 9 possibilities for other actors, multiplied by 4 moves of the player = 36 bits + 6 for the presumed pregame moves is 42

1c. The individual's previous 2 moves are represented by the first 2 bits on the left then the total defections for the other actors for each move on the right, most recent games are furthest to the left. It would look like:

00 01 10

“00” being the left is the player's last two moves, so neither move did the player defect. Then 01 is the most recent move of the players, and 1 player defected, finally on the right 10 in binary is 2, so both the 2 other players defected. So the strategy would be the response it takes to each possible combination, which as i calculated in b is 36 possibilities.

*Mention here anything worth noting, e.g., whether you faced any particular difficulty in completing any of these tasks, the nature and extent of any help you received from anyone, and why.*