Scattering in Relativistic Quantum Field Theories by Prof. Dingyu Shao

Jinyuan Wu

December 24, 2021

1 Interaction correlation functions of scalar field

Suppose the vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$ of a field theory with interaction is not orthogonal to the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ of the free theory. In order to build connection between the two states, we imaginarily set $|0\rangle$ to be the initial state and turn on the interaction, and make the excited components in $|0\rangle$ "relaxed" back to $|\Omega\rangle$. We add an imaginary part to the time to make this happen and we have

Peskin Section 4.2

$$|\Omega\rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty(1 - i\epsilon)} \left(e^{-iE_0 T} \langle \Omega \mid 0 \rangle \right)^{-1} e^{-iHT} |0\rangle.$$

$$|\Omega\rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty(1 - i\epsilon)} \left(e^{-iE_0(t - (-T))} \langle \Omega | 0 \rangle \right)^{-1} U(t_0, -T) |0\rangle, \tag{1}$$

Suppose $x^0 > y^0 > t_0$, we have

$$\langle \Omega | \mathcal{T}[\phi(x)\phi(y)] | \Omega \rangle$$

In the end we have

$$\langle \Omega | \mathcal{T}[\phi(x)\phi(y)] | \Omega \rangle = \lim_{T \to \infty(1 - i\epsilon)} \frac{\langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \phi_{I}(x)\phi_{I}(y) \exp\left(-i \int_{-T}^{T} dt \, H_{I}(t)\right) | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | \mathcal{T} \exp\left(-i \int_{-T}^{T} dt \, H_{I}(t)\right) | 0 \rangle}. \tag{2}$$

2 S-matrices of scalar fields

The correlation function and the S-matrix can be related using the LSZ reduction formula. We only need to calculate connected (here the word means every subdiagram is connected to at least one external line; It is not necessary to require the whole diagram being connected) and amputated diagrams.

Peskin Section 7.2

To remove trivial "nothing happening" processes, we make the decomposition

Peskin (4.72) and (4.73)

$$S = 1 + iT$$
.

and we define

$${}_{0}\langle p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{m} | T | q_{1}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{n} \rangle_{0} = (2\pi)^{m+n} \delta^{(4)} (\sum p - \sum q) \mathcal{M}(p_{1}, p_{2}, \dots, p_{m} \to q_{1}, q_{2}, \dots, q_{n}).$$

$$(4)$$

3 Cross sections

A scattering experiment involves a large number of independent processes, each of which is like the following:

Peskin 4.5

$$k_{\mathcal{A}} + k_{\mathcal{B}} \longrightarrow \sum_{i} p_{i}.$$
 (5)

Since the absolute position is not important, in the (macroscopic) description of the scattering experiment, we have the concept of **scattering parameter**, which is depicted in Peskin Figure 4.2 or in Figure 1. We see that the possible values of \boldsymbol{b} form a two-dimensional plane. Usually, the length scale of the probabilistic distribution of \boldsymbol{b} is much larger than the typical length scale of particle scattering, and in this way, the scatter experiment can be characterized



Figure 1: A typical scattering scenario, where b is the scattering parameter

by the **cross section**. Suppose we generate a series of independent scattering events, in which v's distribute uniformly in an area of A. Suppose the number of events is N(total), we have

$$N(\text{total}) = n_{\mathcal{B}}A,$$

where $n_{\mathcal{B}}$ is the density of incident \mathcal{B} particles on the plane of \boldsymbol{b} . Suppose among the N(total) events, N(C) events of type C occurs, and we have

$$N(C) = \int \mathrm{d}^2 \boldsymbol{b} \, n_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P}_C(\boldsymbol{b}).$$

If the scattering events are merely "shooting a classical target", then we just have

$$N(C) = \sigma n_{\mathcal{B}},\tag{6}$$

where σ is the cross section of the target. We can still calculate the σ in (6) for other kind of scattering events, and the most general form of σ is therefore

Peskin (4.75)

$$\sigma = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{v} \, \mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{b}). \tag{7}$$

Of course, if there are uncountably types of events, then we have

$$d\sigma = \int d^2 \boldsymbol{v} \, d\mathcal{P}(\boldsymbol{b}). \tag{8}$$

For a scattering experiment in particle physics, the output states are distributed in the momentum space. What can be measured by detectors are just momentum eigenstates, since their resolution of length is rough. We therefore have

Peskin (4.74)

$$d\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{AB} \to 12\dots n) = \left(\prod_{f} \frac{d^{3} p_{f}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{1}{2E_{f}}\right) |_{\text{out}} \langle p_{1} \cdots p_{n} | \phi_{\mathcal{A}} \phi_{\mathcal{B}} \rangle_{\text{in}} |^{2}.$$
 (9)

The significance of the scattering parameter means we cannot consider the input state as momentum eigenstates, because if so, the positional uncertainty will be infinity. That is why the input state in (9) is written as a wave packet state, where

Peskin (4.68)

$$|\phi_{\mathcal{A}}\phi_{\mathcal{B}}\rangle_{\text{in}} = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{A}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{3}\mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{B}}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \frac{\phi_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{A}})\phi_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{B}}) e^{-i\mathbf{b}\cdot\mathbf{k}_{\mathcal{B}}}}{\sqrt{(2E_{\mathcal{A}})(2E_{\mathcal{B}})}} |k_{\mathcal{A}}k_{\mathcal{B}}\rangle_{\text{in}} , \qquad (10)$$

where the $\sqrt{2E}$ factors are used to guarantee that

Peskin (4.66)

$$\langle \phi \mid \phi \rangle = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} |\phi(\mathbf{k})|^2 = 1.$$
 (11)

Note that here we are using the Lorentz covariant integral measure (see here) in the momentum space. Now we see that according to (8), we have Peskin (4.76).

Here we do not repeat the derivation in Peskin from (4.76) to (4.85), but just highlight some subtle details About the discussion around (4.77). There are $2 \times (3+3) = 12$ integral variables in (4.76), and the δ -function arising from integrating \boldsymbol{b} and the two δ -functions from the definition

of \mathcal{M} pose in total 2+4+4=10 constraints. Therefore, we need to integrating two variables after these constraints are taken into account. This can also be seen in (4.78), where there are six integral variables and a four-dimensional δ -function.

What we want to do is to derive (4.78) from (4.76). Therefore, we need to integrate \bar{k}_i variables. That is why we can see words like "all six of the \bar{k} integrals in (4.76)". Also, it seems the authors use k^{\perp} to denote (k_x, k_y) .

the authors use k^{\perp} to denote (k_x, k_y) . The two δ -functions from \mathcal{M} requires $\sum k_i = \sum \bar{k}_i$, and we know $k_{\mathcal{B}}^{\perp} = \bar{k}_{\mathcal{B}}^{\perp}$, so $k_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp} = \bar{k}_{\mathcal{A}}^{\perp}$, and so do momenta of \mathcal{B} .

Note that the LHS of (4.77) is just a shorthand of

$$\int d\bar{k}_{\mathcal{A}}^{z} \int d\bar{k}_{\mathcal{B}}^{z} \, \delta(\bar{k}_{\mathcal{A}}^{z} + \bar{k}_{\mathcal{B}}^{z} - \sum_{k_{\mathcal{A}} + k_{\mathcal{B}}} p_{f}^{z}) \delta(\bar{E}_{\mathcal{A}} + \bar{E}_{\mathcal{B}} - \sum_{E_{\mathcal{A}} + E_{\mathcal{B}}} p_{\mathcal{A}}^{*}(\bar{k}_{\mathcal{A}}) \phi_{\mathcal{B}}^{*}(\bar{k}_{\mathcal{B}}).$$

After evaluating this integral, \bar{k}_i^z in ϕ_i^* 's are replaced by the solution of

$$\bar{k}_{\mathcal{A}}^z + \bar{k}_{\mathcal{B}}^z - \underbrace{\sum_{k_{\mathcal{A}} + k_{\mathcal{B}}} p_f^z}_{k_{\mathcal{A}} + k_{\mathcal{B}}} = 0, \quad \bar{E}_{\mathcal{A}} + \bar{E}_{\mathcal{B}} - \underbrace{\sum_{E_{\mathcal{A}} + E_{\mathcal{B}}}}_{E_{\mathcal{A}} + E_{\mathcal{B}}}$$

which is just $\bar{k}_i^z = k_i^z$. That is why in (4.78) we just see $|\phi_i(\mathbf{k}_i)|^2$. (4.86) is proved in (7.49).