Poor man's linear response theory by Prof. Kun Din

Jinyuan Wu

October 27, 2021

In the previous lecture we discussed the K-K relation and its generalization in metals, the analytic structure of electrodynamic response functions, and sum rules. We also introduced a poor man's linear response theory.

1 Poor man's linear response theory

The ground state is determined by

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta n}\Big|_{0} + e\phi_{0} = \mu, \quad \nabla^{2}\phi_{0} = \frac{e}{\epsilon_{0}}(n_{\text{lattice}} - n_{0}). \tag{1}$$

The excitation is determined by

$$\frac{\partial \rho_1}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_1 = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{j}_1}{\partial t} = -\frac{e n_0}{m} \nabla \left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta n} \right)_1 + \frac{e^2 n_0}{m} \boldsymbol{E}_1. \tag{2}$$

Note that since the system can be charged (for example in the case of capacitors), maybe

$$\int d^3 \mathbf{r} \left(n_{\text{lattice}} - n_0 \right) \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

By the Fourier transformation of t we have

$$(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \gamma)\boldsymbol{j}_1 = -\frac{en_0}{m}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta n}\right)_1 + \frac{e^2n_0}{m}\boldsymbol{E}(\omega), \quad \boldsymbol{\nabla}\cdot\boldsymbol{j}_1 - \mathrm{i}\omega\rho_1 = 0. \tag{4}$$

Adding the wave function

$$\nabla \times (\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}_1(\omega)) - \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 \boldsymbol{E}_1(\omega) = i\omega \mu_0 \boldsymbol{j}_1(\omega),$$
 (5)

the electrodynamic behavior can be found.

A specific case can be immediately seen from these equations. If the G[n] term is not important, we already find that

$$\epsilon_{\rm r}(\infty) = 1 - \frac{\omega_0^2}{\omega^2},\tag{6}$$

which is the prediction of Drude model. Let

$$G[n] = \int d^3 \mathbf{r} \, g(n, \mathbf{\nabla} n, \dots). \tag{7}$$

The leading term of g is the famous **Thomas-Fermi energy**

$$g(n, \nabla n, \ldots) = \frac{3\hbar^2}{10m_e} (3\pi)^{2/3} n^{5/3} + \cdots,$$
 (8)

and we can add the **von-Weizsäcker energy** and **exchange-correlation terms** (the exact meaning of which can be found in Section 7.1.3 in the solid state physics note), for example

$$g(n, \nabla n, \ldots) = \frac{3\hbar^2}{10m_e} (3\pi)^{2/3} n^{5/3} + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda \hbar^2}{8m_e} \frac{|\nabla n|^2}{n}}_{E_{vw}} + E_{XC}.$$
 (9)

The exact expression of g does not matter, since if the wave function does not appear explicitly, phenomena that are purely quantum mechanical are hard to repeat in our model. For example, if somehow the *phase* of the electron wave function influences the electric field, then the prediction of our fluid dynamic approach is *qualitatively wrong*.

2 Thomas-Fermi screening

We consider a static example. The problem is

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D} = \rho_{\text{ext}}, \quad \nabla^2 \phi_1 = -\frac{\rho_{\text{ext}} + \rho_1}{\epsilon_0}, \quad \epsilon_0 \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = \underbrace{\rho_{\text{ext}} + \rho_1}_{\rho_{\text{total}}}.$$
 (10)

Switching to the momentum space, we have

$$i\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon_0 |\mathbf{k}|^2 \phi_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{k}) = \rho_{\text{ext}}(\mathbf{k}),$$

and by definition we have

$$\epsilon_{\rm r}(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0) = \frac{\phi_{\rm ext}(\mathbf{k})}{\phi_1(\mathbf{k})} = \frac{\rho_{\rm ext}}{\rho_{\rm total}} = 1 - \frac{\rho_1(\mathbf{k})}{\rho_{\rm total}(\mathbf{k})}.$$
 (11)

If we just consider the Thomas-Fermi term, we have

$$\frac{\delta G}{\delta n} = \frac{\partial g}{\partial n} - \nabla \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \nabla n} = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_e} (3\pi^2 n)^{2/3},$$

and therefore

$$\left(\frac{\delta G}{\delta n}\right)_1 = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\rm e}} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} ((n_1 + n_0)^{3/2} - n_0^{3/2}) \approx \frac{\hbar^2}{m_{\rm e}} (3\pi^2)^{2/3} \frac{n_1}{n_0} n_0^{2/3} = \frac{2\epsilon_{\rm F}^0}{3n_0} n_1,$$

where we define

$$\epsilon_{\rm F}^1 := \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_{\rm e}} (3\pi^2 n_0)^{2/3}.$$
(12)

So finally we have

$$\epsilon(\mathbf{k}, \omega = 0) = 1 + \frac{k_{\rm s}^2}{|\mathbf{k}|^2},\tag{13}$$

where

$$k_{\rm s} = \frac{3e^2n_0}{2\epsilon_{\rm F}^0\epsilon_0} \tag{14}$$

is called the Thomas-Fermi wave number.

Here is the magnitude of k_s : We have

$$\frac{k_{\rm s}}{k_{\rm F}} = \sqrt{r_{\rm s} \left(\frac{16}{3\pi^2}\right)^{2/3}} = 0.814\sqrt{r_{\rm s}},$$
 (15)

where r_s is usually between 2 and 6. Therefore k_s is of the same magnitude of k_s , and is quite a large momentum compared to ordinary electromagnetic waves.

Switching back to the real space, we have

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q}{\epsilon_0 (2\pi)^3} \int d^3 \mathbf{k} \frac{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}}{|\mathbf{k}|^2 + k_S^2}$$
$$= \frac{q}{\epsilon_0 (2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2ir} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk \frac{k(e^{ikr} - e^{-ikr})}{(k + ik_S)(k - ik_S)},$$

Note that there is no causality in the spacial dimensions, so there may be poles on the upper plane. Competing the contour integrals we have

$$\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{q}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} e^{-k_s r},\tag{16}$$

which is called the **Yukawa potential**. Usually the screening length is $1/k_s \sim 1 \text{ Å}$, so the electric field in a metal is screened almost perfectly.

3 Dynamic screening

Now we consider a scenario where both the charge and the current are perturbed. We have

$$(-\mathrm{i}\omega + \gamma)\boldsymbol{j}_1 + \frac{v_\mathrm{p}^2}{3}\boldsymbol{\nabla}\rho_1 = \epsilon_0\omega_\mathrm{p}^2\boldsymbol{E}_1(\omega),\tag{17}$$

or to eliminate the dependence on ρ_1 , we take the time derivative and obtain

$$\omega(\omega + i\gamma)\boldsymbol{j}_1 + \beta^2 \nabla(\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{j}_1) = i\omega\epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \boldsymbol{E}_1(\omega). \tag{18}$$

Now we perform the Helmholtz decomposition. Let

$$\boldsymbol{E}_1 = \boldsymbol{E}_1 + \boldsymbol{E}_t, \quad \boldsymbol{j}_1 = \boldsymbol{j}_1 + \boldsymbol{j}_t, \tag{19}$$

where the subscript l means "longitude" and t means "transverse". The equations for the longitude modes are

$$\omega(\omega + i\gamma) \mathbf{j}_1 - \beta^2 \mathbf{k} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{j}_1) = i\omega \epsilon_0 \omega_p^2 \mathbf{E}_1, \tag{20}$$

while the equations for the transverse modes are

$$\omega(\omega + i\gamma)\boldsymbol{j}_{t} = i\omega\epsilon_{0}\omega_{p}^{2}\boldsymbol{E}_{t}, \quad \boldsymbol{k}\times(\boldsymbol{k}\times\boldsymbol{E}_{t}) + \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^{2}\boldsymbol{E}_{t} = -i\omega\epsilon_{0}\omega_{p}^{2}\boldsymbol{E}_{t}(\omega). \tag{21}$$

By the routine mentioned in the last section we have

$$\epsilon_{l}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{p}^{2}}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma) - \beta^{2} |\mathbf{k}|^{2}},$$
(22)

and

$$\epsilon_{\rm t}(\mathbf{k},\omega) = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\rm p}^2}{\omega(\omega + \mathrm{i}\gamma)}.$$
 (23)

The pole in ϵ_{l} is given by

$$|\mathbf{k}|^2 = \left(\frac{\omega}{c}\right)^2 \left(1 - \frac{\omega_{\rm p}^2}{\omega(\omega + i\gamma)}\right),$$
 (24)

and when there is no dissipation we get

$$\omega^2 = \omega_{\rm p}^2 + \mathbf{k}^2 c^2,\tag{25}$$

again the prediction of Drude model.

When $k/k_{\rm s}$ is small, there is almost no propagating modes in metals: the longitude mode is gapped, and the frequency of the transverse mode is just too low to be noticed. Therefore, in the $k/k_{\rm s} \ll 1$ limit, a metal essentially blocks any propagating modes, and screens any external sources. When $k/k_{\rm s} \gg 1$, the frequency of the longitude mode is just too high to be relevant, and now we have propagating transverse modes in the metal. In this limit the metal looks "transparent".

4 Boundaries and other inhomogeneous systems

The Thomas-Fermi term is definitely not enough for inhomogeneous systems. An extreme case is a boundary, where on one side we have no electrons at all and therefore the electron density has a sharp decay with a big ∇n , which must be included into the energy functional G[n]. Actually in the 10 nm to 1 nm region, violations of continuum electromagnetism most frequently come from boundaries. Violations of the continuum theory appear usually in the < 1 nm region in bulks.

With an energy functional G[n] that is accurate enough, it can be found that.

5 A summary of continuum electromagnetism

- Microscopic and macroscopic Maxwell equations; continuum of approximation.
- Spacial averaging; test functions.
- Long wave length condition.
- Constitutive relations.
- Macroscopic description of materials.
- Weak field approximation: only linear susceptibility.
- Low speed movement.
- Multipole expansion.
- Response functions in the frequency domain.
- The K-K relations.
- Causality.
- Poor man's linear response theory.