Quantitative Pairs Trading Development Report (Day 36 – Day 40)

Executive Summary

This report documents **Days 36 through 40** of the *Quantitative Pairs Trading Development Project*, focusing on the statistical foundation, model enhancement, and practical implementation of a cointegration-based trading strategy.

The objective of this development phase was to progress from theoretical understanding to the construction of a **fully adaptive and risk-managed trading framework**. Over five consecutive days, the work evolved from foundational econometric testing (Engle–Granger and Johansen methodologies) to sophisticated model refinements involving **Vector Error Correction Models (VECM)**, **dynamic hedge ratio estimation**, and **realistic trade simulations** that account for market frictions.

Each stage systematically addressed a critical layer of the trading architecture:

- **Day 36–37:** Establishing robust statistical relationships and validating cointegration.
- Day 38–39: Implementing, testing, and refining the trading logic through rolling backtests and performance tracking.
- **Day 40:** Integrating advanced multivariate modeling and dynamic hedging to align with real-world trading dynamics.

Collectively, these developments represent a significant step toward a **scalable**, **data-driven pairs trading system** capable of adapting to changing market conditions while maintaining disciplined risk management and performance transparency.

Day 36: Cointegration Foundations via Engle-Granger

Day 36 established the foundational statistical requirement for pairs trading: identifying a long-term equilibrium relationship between two non-stationary price series.

Methodology: Engle-Granger (EG) Two-Step Cointegration Test

1. Step 1: OLS Regression

The regression

$$y_t = \alpha + \beta x_t + \epsilon_t$$

was performed to determine the linear relationship.

- The coefficient β serves as the hedge ratio.
- The residual ∈ defines the "spread."

2. Step 2: Stationarity Test

The residuals ($\epsilon \Box$) were tested for stationarity using the **Augmented Dickey–Fuller** (ADF) test.

 If residuals are stationary (I(0)), the assets are considered cointegrated, meaning the spread reverts to a stable mean.

Key Findings and Trading Relevance

- Successful identification of cointegrated pairs:
 - ICICIBANK.NS HDFCBANK.NS
 - HDFCBANK.NS *NSEI
 - HDFCBANK.NS GLD
- Indicates suitability for mean-reversion strategies.
- Highlighted the importance of calculating the **half-life of mean reversion** a critical metric for position sizing and optimal holding periods.

Day 37: Advanced Cointegration Testing via Johansen Multivariate Framework

The limitations of the bivariate EG approach were addressed by implementing the **Johansen cointegration framework**.

Methodology and Advantages

1. Lag Selection

- Automated optimal lag selection using AIC/BIC criteria on differenced price data.
- Ensures appropriate model complexity.

2. Multivariate Testing

 Uses trace and maximum eigenvalue (max-eig) statistics to determine the cointegration rank (r) — i.e., the number of cointegrating relationships.

3. Output

 \circ Extracts the **normalized cointegrating vector (\beta)**, defining the stationary spread's linear combination.

Actionable Insights

- Strong consensus pairs: GLD-HDFCBANK.NS, GLD-RELIANCE.NS.
- "Mixed evidence" pairs (where tests conflicted) were flagged for **visual inspection** and **robustness checks**.

Day 38: Classic Pairs Trading Framework Implementation

Building upon confirmed cointegration relationships, Day 38 implemented a **basic systematic trading logic**.

Implementation Steps

1. Spread Construction

$$S_t = \text{StockA} - \beta \cdot \text{StockB}$$

2. Normalization

 The spread was standardized into a **Z-score**, enabling consistent entry/exit thresholds.

3. Trading Rules

- o Entry:
 - Go **Short** the spread when z > 2
 - Go Long the spread when z < -2
- Exit:
 - Close the position when $z \rightarrow 0$ (mean reversion).

Framework Limitations

- Static hedge ratio (β) estimated over entire history.
- Arbitrary **±2σ** thresholds.
- No inclusion of transaction costs or slippage.

Day 39: Rolling Backtest and Trade Tracking Enhancement

To improve robustness, Day 39 introduced **adaptive methodologies** and **realistic trade management**.

1. Rolling Backtest

- The hedge ratio (β), spread mean, and standard deviation were recalculated using a rolling window (e.g., 252 days).
- Ensures adaptability to time-varying cointegration.

2. Enhanced Trade Management

- Risk Limits:
 - o max_holding_days, target_pnl, and stop_loss to manage open positions.
- Exit Reason Logging:
 - o Trades categorized by exit type:

■ Z-Exit, Hit Target, Stop Loss, Time Limit.

3. Performance Metrics

- Outputs included:
 - Cumulative PnL
 - Drawdown analysis
 - Hit ratio

Day 40: VAR/VECM Modeling and Dynamic Hedging

Day 40 integrated advanced **time-series modeling** and **realistic simulation features** to deepen analytical precision.

1. VECM/VAR Modeling

- The analytical function (cointF()) employed:
 - **VECM** if cointegration detected $(r \ge 1)$.
 - **VAR** (on differenced data) otherwise.
- Captures long-run equilibrium corrections and enables joint asset forecasting.

2. Dynamic Beta Implementation

- Rolling OLS: Moving-window β estimation.
- Kalman Filter:
 - Recursive, time-varying β updates.
 - Ideal for intraday or regime-shifting markets.

3. Real-World Frictions

- Transaction Costs: Fixed cost_per_trade.
- **Slippage:** Percentage-based *slippage_pct*, proportional to spread magnitude.
- Net PnL: Computed after all frictions.

4. Drawdown Enforcement

- A Drawdown Enforcement Threshold (percentage of historical max drawdown) was introduced.
- Forces all positions flat and halts trading if breached, ensuring capital preservation.

Conclusion

The five-day development cycle from **Day 36 to Day 40** marked a decisive evolution in the quantitative pairs trading framework — transforming it from a theoretical construct into a robust, data-driven trading system.

The journey began with the establishment of **cointegration foundations** through the Engle–Granger methodology, ensuring that selected asset pairs shared stable long-term relationships suitable for mean reversion strategies. Building upon this, the **Johansen framework** expanded analytical depth by incorporating multivariate testing, enabling the identification of multiple cointegrating vectors and improving statistical reliability.

The project then transitioned from theory to practice with the **implementation of a systematic trading framework**, featuring Z-score normalization and rule-based entries and exits. Through the introduction of **rolling backtests** and **enhanced trade management**, the system evolved into a dynamic model capable of adapting to time-varying market structures while maintaining clear risk and performance tracking.

Finally, the integration of **VECM/VAR modeling** and **dynamic hedge ratio estimation** (via rolling OLS and Kalman filtering) positioned the model closer to real-world trading conditions. Incorporation of transaction costs, slippage, and drawdown enforcement further possibly strengthen its realism and capital protection mechanisms.

Overall, this development phase successfully established a **scalable**, **statistically grounded**, **and risk-aware framework** for pairs trading. The next phase will focus on **multi-pair portfolio optimization**, **live market calibration**, **and execution efficiency**, with the goal of transitioning from research to fully automated deployment.