Let $X^{(2)}$ drawn from a 2-power Generalized Pareto distribution, the estimate of the location is biased

$$2E(\mu_1^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{N^{(2)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(2)}} E[X_i^{(2)}]$$
$$= \frac{1}{N^{(2)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(2)}} (\mu + \frac{\sigma}{2})$$
$$= \mu + \frac{\sigma}{2}$$

then the estimate of the location is unbiased. Let $X^{(3)}$ drawn from a 3-power Generalized Pareto distribution, the estimate of the scale is unbiased:

$$\begin{split} \mu_2^{(3)} &= \frac{2\sigma^2}{3(3+k)} \\ 3(3+k)\mu_2^{(3)} &= 2.\sigma^2 \\ \sigma^2 &= \frac{3(3+\kappa)\mu_2^{(3)}}{2} \\ &= \frac{3(3+\kappa)E(\mu_2^{(3)})}{2} \\ &= \frac{3(3+\kappa)}{2N^{(3)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(3)}} E[X_i^{(3)}] \\ &= \frac{3(3+\kappa)}{2N^{(2)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(3)}} (\frac{2\sigma^2}{3(3+k)} \\ &= \sigma^2 \end{split}$$

the estimate of the scale is unbiased. and

$$2E(\mu_1^{(2)}) = \frac{2}{N^{(2)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(2)}} E[X_i^{(2)}]$$
$$= 2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}$$
$$= \sigma$$

To prove consistency we will use Chebyshev inequality:

$$\begin{split} \lim P\left\{|\mu_{1}^{(2)} - \sigma^{2}| > \epsilon\right\} &\leq Var(\mu_{1}^{(2)}) \\ &\leq Var(2\mu_{1}^{(2)}) \\ &\leq 4Var(\frac{1}{N^{(2)}}\sum [X_{i}^{(2)}]) \\ &\leq 4\frac{1}{(N^{(2)})^{2}}\sum .Var(X_{i}^{(2)}) \\ &\leq \frac{4}{(N^{(2)})^{2}}\sum_{i=1}^{N_{(3)}} Var(X_{i}^{(2)})^{2} \\ &\leq \frac{4}{N^{(2)}}\sigma^{2} \\ &\leq 0 \end{split}$$

Similarly, we prove consistency for scale parameter:

$$\begin{split} \lim P\left\{|\mu_{2}^{(3)} - \sigma^{2}| > \epsilon\right\} &\leq Var(\mu_{2}^{(3)}) \\ &\leq Var(\frac{3(3 + \kappa)\mu_{2}^{(3)}}{\epsilon^{2}}) \\ &\leq \frac{9(3 + \kappa)}{4\epsilon^{2}} \\ &\leq C.Var(\frac{1}{N^{(3)}} \sum_{i=1}^{N^{(3)}} X_{i}^{(3)}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(N^{(3)})^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N_{(3)}} Var(X_{i}^{(3)}) \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N^{(3)}} \sigma^{2} \\ &< 0 \end{split}$$

 σ is a consistent estimator of the population σ , where $C = \frac{9(3+\kappa)^2}{4\epsilon^2}$.

In both cases, I used pairs because I got good previous estimation results, but so far I'm looking for good approximation results for triples because according to my previous report, I told you the approximation was good, but not to the required level.

Regarding the reference (Maximum likelihood estimation for q-exponential (Tsallis) distributions." arXiv preprint math/0701854 (2007).) I am trying to apply the formula $\hat{\sigma} = \frac{\theta+1}{n} \sum \frac{x_i}{1+\frac{x_i}{\hat{\sigma}}}$ using the following code:

$$NSolve[\hat{\sigma} == 2/n * Sum[x[[i]]/(1+x[[i]]/\hat{\sigma}), i, 1, n], u, Reals],$$

where n = 95, but I got a vector of results?