

Phylogenetic Biology

Week 9

Biology 1425
Professor: Casey Dunn, dunnlab.org
Brown University
2013

Front matter...

All original content in this document is distributed under the following license:




Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-
ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/deed.en_US)


See sources for copyright of non-original content

Sources


Some non-original content is drawn from:



Baum, D and S. Smith (2012) Tree Thinking: and Introduction to Phylogenetic Biology. Roberts and Company Publishers. ISBN 9781936221165



Swofford, D. L., Olsen, G. J., Waddell, P. J., & Hillis, D. M. (1996). Phylogenetic inference. In: Molecular Systematics, Second Edition. eds: D. M. Hillis, C Moritz, & B. K. Mable. Sinauer Associates. ISBN 9780878932825



Felsenstein, J. (2003) Inferring Phylogenies. Sinauer Associates. ISBN 978-0878931774


Other non-original content is referenced by url.

Phylogenies are chronicles - they tell us who, what, when, where...


We often want **narratives** - the why. For example, does a change in one character facilitate change in another character?

You need to know the chronicle
before you can test ideas about
the narrative

Is an opposable thumb an
adaptation for more effective tool
use?



Is the dorsal scapula an
adaptation that improves hunting
for food with projectiles?




**The comparative method -
looking across species for
statistically significant
associations between traits**

**Biological insight requires
biological variation**


Experiments

Induce variation through
perturbation of organism function



Within-organism

Variation between cells, tissues,
organs, development, environment,
behavior



Mutant screens

Induced genetic variation




(Manvir Singh)

Population genetics


Standing heritable variation in a population

Comparative Biology

Variation between species



Concentrated change - a parsimony approach to testing the association between a selective regime and changes in a character of interest



Black branch -
male genital
mutilation

Black bar - male
sacrifice to
females

Model-based approaches to character evolution

Equal rates


TABLE 10.2 Symmetric rate matrix
for a binary character


From	To	
	0	1
0	$-q$	q
1	q	$-q$

TABLE 10.3 Substitution probability matrix
for a binary character


From	To	
	0	1
0	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-qt}$	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-qt}$
1	$\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}e^{-qt}$	$\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{-qt}$

$$0 \xleftrightarrow[q]{} 1$$







Unequal rates



Continuous models



Continuous models



Vol. 125, No. 1

The American Naturalist


January 1985

PHYLOGENIES AND THE COMPARATIVE METHOD

JOSEPH FELSENSTEIN

Department of Genetics SK-50, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195

Submitted November 30, 1983; Accepted May 23, 1984






FIG. 2.—One phylogeny for the 8 species, showing a burst of adaptive radiation with each lineage evolving independently from a common starting point.

Felsenstein, 1985




FIG. 3.—Another phylogeny for the 8 species, showing a radiation that gives rise to 4 pairs of closely related species.

Felsenstein, 1985




FIG. 4.—A data set simulated using the phylogeny of fig. 3, under a model of random, normally distributed, independent change in each character, where the change in each branch is drawn independently from a normal distribution with mean zero and variance proportional to the length of the branch.




FIG. 5.—A “worst case” phylogeny for 40 species, in which there prove to be 2 groups each of 20 close relatives.




FIG. 6.—A typical data set that might be generated for the phylogeny in fig. 5 using the model of independent Brownian motion (normal increments) in each character.

Felsenstein, 1985




FIG. 7.—The same data set, with the points distinguished to show the members of the 2 monophyletic taxa. It can immediately be seen that the apparently significant relationship of fig. 6 is illusory.

Observations across species are
not independent, but contrasts
across internal nodes are




FIG. 8.—An example of a phylogeny, assumed known, from which we can define independent contrasts between taxa. This tree is highly symmetric, so that $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = v_4 = v_5 = v_6 = v_7 = v_8$, $v_9 = v_{10} = v_{11} = v_{12}$, and $v_{13} = v_{14}$.




FIG. 9.—A less symmetrical phylogeny. The independent contrasts for this phylogeny are given in table 1.

TABLE 1
THE FOUR CONTRASTS EXTRACTED FROM THE PHYLOGENY SHOWN IN FIGURE 9, EACH WITH ITS VARIANCE, ALL COMPUTED USING STEPS 1–4 IN THE TEXT

CONTRAST	VARIANCE
$X_1 - X_2$	$v_1 + v_2$
$X_4 - X_5$	$v_4 + v_5$
$X_3 - X_6$	$v_3 + v'_6$
$X_7 - X_8$	$v'_7 + v'_8$

where

$$X_6 = \frac{v_4 X_5 + v_5 X_4}{v_4 + v_5}$$

$$v'_6 = v_6 + v_4 v_5 / (v_4 + v_5)$$

$$X_7 = \frac{v_2 X_1 + v_1 X_2}{v_1 + v_2}$$

$$v'_7 = v_7 + v_1 v_2 / (v_1 + v_2)$$

$$X_8 = \frac{v'_6 X_3 + v_3 X_6}{v_3 + v_6}$$

$$v'_8 = v'_7 + v_3 v'_6 / (v_3 + v'_6)$$

What if We Do Not Take the Phylogeny into Consideration?

Some reviewers of this paper felt that the message was “rather nihilistic,” and suggested that it would be much improved if I could present a simple and robust method that obviated the need to have an accurate knowledge of the phylogeny. I entirely sympathize, but do not have a method that solves the problem. The best we can do is perhaps to use pairs of close relatives as suggested above, although this discards at least half of the data. Comparative biologists may understandably feel frustrated upon being told that they need to know the phylogenies of their groups in great detail, when this is not something they had much interest in knowing. Nevertheless, efforts to cope with the effects of the phylogeny will have to be made. Phylogenies are fundamental to comparative biology; there is no doing it without taking them into account.