# Quantum Field Theory

3 - scattering processes

Matteo Zandi

April 6, 2024

#### Abstract

In this note, we will study the mathematical devolopment to compute the S-matrix.

# Contents

~	atrix
	Transition amplitudes
1.2	Cross section
	2 to n process
1.4	2 to 2 scattering
1.5	Decay rates
1.6	1 to n process
1.7	LSZ reduction formula
1.8	Interaction picture
1.9	Vacuum matrix elements

## 1 S-matrix

In this section, we will define the S-matrix and we will relate its elements to physical quantities, like cross sections and decay rates.

# 1.1 Transition amplitudes

In quantum mechanics, experimentally measureable quantities are related to transition amplitudes.

#### **Definition 1.1** (Transition amplitude)

Let  $|a\rangle$  be a generic initial state and  $|b\rangle$  a generic final state. Then, in the most generic case in which states are not normalised, the probability of the transition between the initial and the final state is given by

$$\mathcal{P}(a \to b) = \frac{|\langle b|a\rangle|^2}{|\langle b|b\rangle|^2|\langle a|a\rangle|^2} .$$

In Schroedinger picture, states depend on time while operators do not.

#### **Definition 1.2** (Transition amplitude in Schroedinger picture)

Let  $|i, t_i\rangle$  be a initial state at time  $t_i$ ,  $|f, t_f\rangle$  be a final state at time  $t_f$ . Then the probability of the transition between the initial and the final state is

$$\mathcal{P}(i, t_i \to f, t_f) = \frac{|\langle f, t_f | i, t_i \rangle|^2}{|\langle f, t_f | f, t_f \rangle|^2 |\langle i, t_i | i, t_i \rangle|^2} \ .$$

In Heisenberg picture, states are time-independent while operators do not. Braket products in different pictures are related by

$$\langle f, t_f | i, t_i \rangle_S = \langle f | \hat{S} | i \rangle_H ,$$

where S is an operator that carries information about time evolution, called the S-matrix.

#### **Definition 1.3** (Transition amplitude in Heisenberg picture)

Let  $|i\rangle$  be a initial state,  $|f\rangle$  a final state,  $\hat{S}$  the time evolution operator. Then the probability of the transition between the initial and the final state is

$$\mathcal{P}(i \to f) = \frac{|\langle f | \hat{S} | i \rangle|^2}{|\langle f | f \rangle|^2 |\langle i | i \rangle|^2} \ .$$

#### 1.2 Cross section

#### **Definition 1.4** (Cross section)

Consider a scattering experiment. Let  $N_{in}$  and  $N_{out}$  be respectively the number of incoming and outgoing particles, T the time of the experiment,  $\Phi = N_{in}|\mathbf{v}|/V$  the flux of the incoming beam, where V is the volume and  $\mathbf{v}$  the velocity of the beam. Then the classical cross section is defined by

$$\sigma = \frac{N_{out}}{T\Phi} = \frac{V}{|\mathbf{v}|T} \frac{N_{out}}{N_{in}} \ . \label{eq:sigma}$$

Introducing the probability  $\mathcal{P} = N_{out}/N_{in}$ , its quantum mechanical counterpart is

$$\sigma = \frac{V}{|\mathbf{v}|T} \mathcal{P} = \frac{N_{in}}{T\Phi} \mathcal{P} = \frac{1}{T\Phi} \mathcal{P} ,$$

where we have redefined  $\Phi = \Phi/N_{in}$  as the normalised one-particle flux. The differential cross section is

$$d\sigma = \frac{V}{|\mathbf{v}|T}d\mathcal{P} ,$$

differential with respect to solid angle  $d\Omega$  or energy dE. It has the dimension of an area, i.e.  $[\sigma] = [L]^2$ .

## 1.3 2 to n process

Consider a scattering experiment in which two incoming particle interact to produce n outgoing particles

$$p_1 + p_2 \to \{p_j\}_{j=1}^n$$
.

In perturbative theory, the S-matrix can be decomposed into

$$\hat{S} = \hat{1} + i\hat{T} ,$$

where the identity  $\hat{1}$  represents no interactions, i.e. when  $|i\rangle = |f\rangle$ , and  $\hat{T}$  describes deviations from it. Furthermore, since 4-momentum is conserved, we can extract a delta from  $\hat{T}$  to obtain

$$i\hat{T} = (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - \sum_j p_j) i\hat{\mathcal{M}}$$
,

where  $\hat{\mathcal{M}}$  is the scattering amplitude.

## **Theorem 1.1** (Relation between cross section and S-matrix)

In the approximation that interaction happens at finite time, the differential cross section of a  $2 \rightarrow n$  process is

$$d\sigma = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{4E_1E_2|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1|} d\Pi_n$$
  
=  $\frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{4E_1E_2|\mathbf{v}_2 - \mathbf{v}_1|} \prod_j \frac{d^3p_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 + p_2 - \sum_j p_j)$ .

Proof. q.e.d.

# 1.4 2 to 2 scattering

Consider the particular case in which there are two outgoing particles

$$p_1 + p_2 \rightarrow p_3 + p_4$$
.

In the center of mass frame, the differential cross section is

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 E_{cm}}^2 \frac{|\mathbf{p}_f|}{|\mathbf{p}_i|} |\mathcal{M}|^2 d\Omega ,$$

where  $|\mathbf{p}_i| = |\mathbf{p}_1| = |\mathbf{p}_2|$  and  $|\mathbf{p}_f| = |\mathbf{p}_3| = |\mathbf{p}_4|$ .

Proof. q.e.d.

In the rest frame of particle 1, the differential cross section is

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{64\pi^2 E_{cm}} \left[ E_4 + E_3 \left( 1 - \frac{|\mathbf{p}_f|}{|\mathbf{p}_i|} \cos \theta \right) \right]^{-1} \frac{|\mathbf{p}_f|}{|\mathbf{p}_i|} |\mathcal{M}|^2 d\Omega .$$

Proof. q.e.d.

## 1.5 Decay rates

#### **Definition 1.5** (Decay rate)

Consider a decay experiment. Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the probability that a particle decays with mean lifetime  $\tau$  and T the time of the experiment. Then the decay rate is defined by

$$\Gamma = \frac{1}{\tau} = \frac{\mathcal{P}}{T} \ .$$

The differential decay rate is

$$d\Gamma = \frac{1}{T}d\mathcal{P} \ ,$$

differential with respect to solid angle  $d\Omega$  or energy dE. It has the dimension of an inverse time, i.e.  $[\Gamma] = [T]^{-1}$ .

# 1.6 1 to n process

Consider a decay experiment in which a particle decays to produce n outgoing particles

$$p_1 \to \{p_j\}_{j=1}^n .$$

## **Theorem 1.2** (Relation between decay rate and S-matrix)

In the approximation that interaction happens at finite time, the differential decay rate of a  $1 \rightarrow n$  process is

$$d\Gamma = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{2E_1} d\Pi_n = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{2E_1} \prod_j \frac{d^3 p_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j} (2\pi)^4 \delta^4(p_1 - \sum_j p_j) .$$

Proof. q.e.d.

# **Propagators**

In this section, we will relate S-matrix elements to time-ordered product of fields applied to interacting vacuum states.

# 1.7 LSZ reduction formula

#### Theorem 1.3 (LSZ reduction formula)

In the approximation that interaction happens at finite time, so that initial and final states are (asymptotic) free theory states, the S-matrix is given by

$$\langle f|\hat{S}|i\rangle = i \int dx_1 \exp(-ip_1x_1)(\Box + m^2) \dots i \int dx_1 \exp(ip_nx_n)(\Box + m^2) \times \langle \Omega|T\{\phi(x_1)\dots\phi(x_n)\}|\Omega\rangle ,$$

where  $|\Omega\rangle \neq |0\rangle$  is the interacting vacuum, -i in the exponent for initial states, +i in the exponent for final states and T is the time ordering operator which sorts all the operators in order to have time increasing from right to left.

Proof. q.e.d.

## 1.8 Interaction picture

In Heisenberg picture, the dynamics is governed by the Hamiltonian  $\hat{H}$ . Fields evolve in time with the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$i\partial_t \hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = [\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}), \hat{H}(t)]$$
.

Its solution is

$$\hat{\phi}(t, \mathbf{x}) = \hat{S}^{\dagger}(t, t_0) \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \hat{S}(t, t_0) ,$$

where  $\hat{S}(t,t_0)$  is the time evolution operator that satisfies the Schroedinger equation

$$i\partial_t \hat{S}(t,t_0) = \hat{H}(t)\hat{S}(t,t_0)$$
.

Proof. q.e.d.

Now, suppose that the Hamiltonian can be perturbatively decomposed into two pieces

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{H}_0 + \hat{V}(t) ,$$

where  $\hat{H}_0$  is exactly solved and  $\hat{V}(t)$  is small. In interaction picture, operators evolve with  $\hat{H}_0$ , so that

$$\hat{\phi}_0(t, \mathbf{x}) = e^{i\hat{H}_0(t - t_0)} \hat{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) e^{-i\hat{H}_0(t - t_0)}$$

where  $t_0$  is a time in which Schroedinger and Heisenberg picture field coincide. Therefore

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{x})$$

#### 1.9 Vacuum matrix elements

**Theorem 1.4** (Relation between interacting and free vacuum matrix elements)

$$\begin{split} \langle \Omega | T\{\phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n)\} | \Omega \rangle &= \frac{\langle 0 | T\{\phi_0(x_1) \dots \phi_0(x_n) \exp(-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ V_I(t))\} | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | T\{\exp(-i \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \ V_I(t))\} | 0 \rangle} \\ &= \frac{\langle 0 | T\{\phi_0(x_1) \dots \phi_0(x_n) \exp(i \int d^4x \ \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_0])\} | 0 \rangle}{\langle 0 | T\{\exp(i \int d^4x \ \mathcal{L}_{int}[\phi_0])\} | 0 \rangle} \ . \end{split}$$

Proof. q.e.d.

# 2 Wick's theorem

# Back