

Matteo Zandi

## On general relativity 2:

black holes.

March 2, 2024

matteo.zandi2@studio.unibo.it



# Contents

## Page

<b>Contents</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>I Schwarzschild metric</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>1 Singularity at the Schwarzschild radius</b>	<b>7</b>
1.1 Singularities and coordinates . . . . .	7
1.2 Singularities and Kretschmann scalar . . . . .	9
1.3 Singularities and geodesics . . . . .	9
1.4 Singularities and static observer . . . . .	11
<b>2 Null coordinates</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>A Kretschmann scalar</b>	<b>15</b>
A.1 Metric and Christoffel symbols . . . . .	15
A.2 Riemann tensor . . . . .	16
A.3 Kretschmann scalar . . . . .	20
<b>B Birkhoff's theorem</b>	<b>21</b>
B.1 Part one of the proof . . . . .	21
B.2 Computations . . . . .	23
B.3 Part two of the proof . . . . .	27

# Abstract

In these notes, we will study



# Introduction

Black holes are regions of spacetime that are not in causal connection with the rest of the Universe. The locus of point that separate the two regions is called the event horizon. Even light cannot escape it. They are not purely mathematical objects, but there has been indirect evidences about their physical reality: gravitational waves emitted by a spiral merger of two black holes, images of the hot gas orbiting outside the event horizon. There are two kind of black holes: astrophysics black holes, like the one formed after the gravitational collapse of a star with mass greater than  $10M_{\odot}$ ; primordial black holes belonging to the early universe, thought to be candidates of dark matter, like the one at the center of our galaxy. The formers need to be of mass of order of stars, but the latter can be much bigger. They can be classified into four different types according to their charge  $Q$  or angular momentum  $J$ . See Table 0.1. Indeed,  $M$ ,  $Q$  and  $J$  are the only necessary parameters to completely characterise them.

Black hole	Charged $Q$	Rotation $J$
Schwarzschild	no	no
Reissner-Nordstroem	yes	no
Kerr	no	yes
Kerr-Newman	yes	yes

Table 0.1: A table of all mathematically known black holes.

Black holes can be studied by means of four laws, analogous (non-accidentally) to the four laws of thermodynamics.



# Part I

Schwarzschild metric





# Chapter 1

## Singularity at the Schwarzschild radius

For a spherically symmetric source of mass  $M$  in the vacuum, the metric of the outside region (otherwise it would not have been in the vacuum) is the famous Schwarzschild metric

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $m = G_N M$  and  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$  is the solid angle element. The Birkhoff's theorem (see [B.1](#) in appendix B) ensures that the metric is static, i.e. stationary  $g_{\mu\nu,0} = 0$  and  $g_{0i} = 0$ . This happens even if the source is not static (for example it can pulsate, contract or expand) the metric outside the source is static. In other words, since gravitational waves are formed by a time-dependent quadrupole moment, spherical symmetry prevents a time-dependent quadrupole moment and, with this symmetry, there are no spherical gravitational waves. Furthermore, it is important to highlight that this solution is modulo an arbitrary transformation of the coordinates by the principle of general relativity, i.e. for a transformation  $x \rightarrow x'$ , the gravitational field is the same  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow g'_{\mu\nu}(x')$ .

### 1.1 Singularities and coordinates

The domain of the radial coordinate is  $r \in [0, \infty)$ . The metric presents two singularities in  $r = 0$  and in  $r = 2m$ . In fact,

$$r = 0 : \quad g_{00} \rightarrow \infty, \quad g^{11} \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$r = 2m : \quad g^{00} \rightarrow \infty, \quad g_{11} \rightarrow \infty.$$

However, for objects like the sun, we have that the radius of the source is much bigger than the Schwarzschild radius and there are no ‘approachable’ singularities. Therefore, we consider point-like sources located at the origin of our coordinate system described by the same metric [\(1.1\)](#).

By simple consideration, we can observe that the amount of proper time to reach  $r = 2m$  is finite. Indeed, at constant  $t, \theta, \phi$ , we have

$$dl^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 ,$$

which integrated gives

$$l = \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - 2m/r}} .$$

Now, we need to evaluate this integral. In order to do so, we make a change of variable  $x = \sqrt{1 - 2m/r}$  to obtain

$$l = 4m \int \frac{dx}{(1 - x^2)^2} = m \left( -\frac{4x}{2x^2 - 2} - \log(x - 1) + \log(x + 1) \right) .$$

Using the hyperbolic identity  $\tanh^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\log(1 + x) - \log(1 - x))$ , we find

$$l = m \left( -\frac{4x}{2x^2 - 2} + 2 \tanh^{-1}(x) \right) = r \sqrt{1 - 2m/r} + 2m \tanh^{-1} \sqrt{1 - 2m/r} .$$

For example going from  $r = 2m$  to  $r = 4m$ , we employ

$$\begin{aligned} l &= \int_{2m}^{4m} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{1 - 2m/r}} = \left( r \sqrt{1 - 2m/r} + 2m \tanh^{-1} \sqrt{1 - 2m/r} \right)_{2m}^{4m} \\ &= 4m \sqrt{1/2} + 2m \tanh^{-1} \sqrt{1/2} - 0 \simeq 4.59m . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, it is natural to wonder if a divergence of the metric necessarily implies a singularities. The answer is no. The reason why can be seen looking at the Minkowski metric: in polar coordinates it reads as

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dr^2 - r^2 d\theta^2 - r^2 \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2$$

and it seems that there is a singularity at  $r = 0$  since  $\eta^{22} = -1/r^2 \rightarrow -\infty$ . However, if we use Cartesian coordinates through a change of coordinates

$$x = r \sin \theta \cos \phi , \quad y = r \sin \theta \sin \phi , \quad z = r \cos \theta ,$$

we find

$$ds^2 = dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 ,$$

and there is no singularity at  $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$  since  $\eta^{11} = \eta^{22} = \eta^{33} = -1$ . Another example is the Minkowski metric in Rindler coordinates

$$ds^2 = \alpha^2 \xi^2 d\eta^2 - d\xi^2 - dy^2 - dz^2 .$$

It seems that at  $\xi = 0$ , we have a singularity  $\eta^{00} = 1/\alpha^2\xi^2 \rightarrow \infty$ . However, with a change of coordinates

$$t = \xi \sinh(\alpha\eta) , \quad x = \xi \cosh(\alpha\eta) ,$$

we find again the Cartesian coordinates that does not present singularities. Rindler coordinates represent non-inertial uniformly accelerated observers. This discussion shows that some singularities are only an artifact of the coordinates (a wrong choice of them). Polar coordinates  $(t, r, \theta, \phi)$  are not right to describe  $r = 0$  region and Rindler coordinates are not right to describe  $\xi = 0$  region.

## 1.2 Singularities and Kretschmann scalar

Since we are looking at the gravitational field, we need operate with the tensor that describes gravity: the Riemann tensor  $R^\mu_{\nu\alpha\beta}$ , and not with  $g_{\mu\nu}$ . In particular, we need to find physical quantities that are not dependent on the choice of coordinates: scalars. In fact, tensors change whenever we have a change of coordinates but scalars do not. If a scalar diverges, it diverges in every coordinate system and it shows that in that point there is a true singularity. Our first guess would be the Ricci scalar  $R = g_{\mu\nu}R^{\mu\nu}$  or a contraction of the Ricci tensor  $R^{\mu\nu}R_{\mu\nu}$ . They are identically vanishing in the vacuum by means of the Einstein's field equations  $R_{\mu\nu} = 0$ . It remains left the Kretschmann scalar, obtained by the fully contraction of the Riemann tensor

$$K = R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} .$$

For the Schwarzschild metric, its value is (see (A.1) in appendix A)

$$K = 48 \frac{m^2}{r^6} .$$

In particular, it assumes a regular finite value for  $r = 2m$  but diverges for  $r = 0$

$$K(r = 2m) = \frac{3}{4m^4} , \quad K(r = 0) \rightarrow \infty .$$

This shows that  $r = 0$  is a true singularity and  $r = 2m$  is just an artifact of the coordinates.

## 1.3 Singularities and geodetics

Consider a radially in-falling observer following a geodesic equation parametrised by an affine parameter  $s$

$$\frac{Du^\alpha}{Ds} = 0 , \quad u^\alpha = \frac{dx^\alpha}{ds} .$$

Looking at the Lagrangian for the metric (1.1)

$$2L = g_{\mu\nu}u^\mu u^\nu = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\dot{t}^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\dot{r}^2 - r^2\dot{\theta}^2 - r^2\sin^2\theta\dot{\phi}^2 ,$$

we observe that it does not depend on either  $t$  nor  $\phi$ . This means that there are two integrals of motion: energy per unit of mass

$$u_0 = E = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{t}} = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)\dot{t} ,$$

and angular momentum per unit of mass

$$u_3 = L = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} = r^2 \sin^2\theta \dot{\phi} .$$

Since we are studying an radially in-falling observer, we can impose  $\theta = \pi/2$ . We can use the normalisation condition for the 4-velocity

$$1 = u_\mu u^\mu = g^{\mu\nu}u_\mu u_\nu = g^{00}u_0u_0 + g^{11}u_1u_1 + g^{33}u_3u_3 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}E^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)^{-1}\dot{r}^2 - \frac{1}{r^2}L^2 ,$$

where we have used  $u^1 = dr/ds$  and the inverse of the metric. Hence,

$$r^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) = E^2r^2 - r^2\dot{r}^2 - L^2\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) , \quad \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) = E^2 - \dot{r}^2 ,$$

$$\dot{r}^2 = E^2 - \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right) , \quad \frac{dr}{ds} = \pm \sqrt{E^2 - \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)} .$$

In order to take the in-falling solution, we consider the minus sign and we obtain

$$ds = -\frac{dr}{\sqrt{E^2 - \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}} ,$$

which integrated from a generic radius  $R$  to the Schwarzschild radius  $2m$  gives

$$s = -\int_R^{2m} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{E^2 - \left(1 + \frac{L^2}{r^2}\right)\left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}} . \quad (1.2)$$

Now, we suppose free-falling with  $L = 0$  and initial conditions  $r = R$  with  $\dot{r} = 0$ . Using the normalisation condition at the initial condition, the energy becomes

$$1 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{-1}E^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{-1}\underbrace{\dot{r}^2}_0 - \frac{1}{R^2}\underbrace{L^2}_0 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right)^{-1}E^2 ,$$

$$E^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right) .$$

Hence, (1.2) becomes

$$s = - \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\left(1 - \frac{2m}{R}\right) - \left(1 - \frac{2m}{r}\right)}} = - \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2m}{r} - \frac{2m}{R}}} = - \sqrt{\frac{R}{2m}} \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{R}{r} - 1}} .$$

In order to evaluate this integral, we make a change of coordinates  $x = \sqrt{\frac{R}{r} - 1}$  to obtain

$$s = \sqrt{\frac{R}{2m}} 2R \int \frac{dx}{(x^2 + 1)^2} = \sqrt{\frac{2R^3}{m}} \left( \frac{x}{2x^2 + 2} + \frac{\arctan(x)}{2} \right) .$$

For example going from  $r = R = 4m$  to  $r = 2m$ , we employ

$$\begin{aligned} s &= - \int_{4m}^{2m} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{2m}{r} - \frac{2m}{R}}} = \sqrt{\frac{2R^3}{m}} \left( \frac{r\sqrt{R/r - 1}}{2R} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{R}{r} - 1} \right)_{R=4m}^{2m} \\ &= \sqrt{128m^2} \left( \frac{r\sqrt{4m/r - 1}}{8m} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan \sqrt{\frac{4m}{r} - 1} \right)_{R=4m}^{2m} \\ &= \sqrt{128m^2} \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} \arctan 1 \right) \simeq 7.27m . \end{aligned}$$

A formal solution is given by the parametric formula

$$\begin{cases} s = \sqrt{\frac{R^3}{8m}} (\eta + \sin \eta) \\ r = \frac{R}{2} (1 + \cos \eta) \end{cases} .$$

This shows that the proper time to reach  $2m$  is finite even though we had a divergence in the integrand. This means that it is not mathematical to work with  $r = 2m$ . However,  $s$  is a scalar and it is finite for all coordinate systems.

We should be able to parametrise the geodesic equation as we wish. Therefore, consider the coordinate time  $t$

## 1.4 Singularities and static observer



## Chapter 2

Null coordinates





# Chapter A

## Kretschmann scalar

In this chapter, we will compute the Kretschmann scalar  $K = R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$  with the help of a python code (See <https://github.com/PhysicsZandi>).

### A.1 Metric and Christoffel symbols

The metric is

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-2GM+r}{r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{r}{2GM-r} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \end{bmatrix} ,$$

Its inverse is

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{-2GM+r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2GM}{r} - 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{r^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \end{bmatrix} ,$$

The non-vanishing components of the Christoffel symbols are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{GM}{r(-2GM+r)} , & \Gamma_{10}^0 &= \frac{GM}{r(-2GM+r)} , \\ \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM(-2.0GM+1.0r)}{r^3} , & \Gamma_{11}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM}{r(2.0GM-1.0r)} , \\ \Gamma_{22}^1 &= 2.0GM - 1.0r , & \Gamma_{33}^1 &= (2.0GM - 1.0r) \sin^2(\theta) , \\ \Gamma_{12}^2 &= \frac{1.0}{r} , & \Gamma_{21}^2 &= \frac{1.0}{r} , \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_{33}^2 &= -0.5 \sin(2\theta) , & \Gamma_{13}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{r} , \\
\Gamma_{23}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{\tan(\theta)} , & \Gamma_{31}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{r} , \\
\Gamma_{32}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{\tan(\theta)} , & & .
\end{aligned}$$

## A.2 Riemann tensor

The non-vanishing components of the Riemann tensor are

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{101}^0 &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^2(-2.0GM + 1.0r)} , \\
R_{110}^0 &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^2 \cdot (2.0GM - 1.0r)} , \\
R_{202}^0 &= -\frac{1.0GM}{r} , \\
R_{220}^0 &= \frac{1.0GM}{r} , \\
R_{303}^0 &= -\frac{1.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R_{330}^0 &= \frac{1.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R_{001}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM(-4.0GM + 2.0r)}{r^4} , \\
R_{010}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM(4.0GM - 2.0r)}{r^4} , \\
R_{212}^1 &= -\frac{1.0GM}{r} , \\
R_{221}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM}{r} , \\
R_{313}^1 &= -\frac{1.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R_{331}^1 &= \frac{1.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R_{002}^2 &= \frac{1.0GM(2.0GM - 1.0r)}{r^4} , \\
R_{020}^2 &= \frac{1.0GM(-2.0GM + 1.0r)}{r^4} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^2_{112} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^2(-2.0GM + 1.0r)} , \\
R^2_{121} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^2 \cdot (2.0GM - 1.0r)} , \\
R^2_{323} &= \frac{2.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R^2_{332} &= -\frac{2.0GM \sin^2(\theta)}{r} , \\
R^3_{003} &= \frac{1.0GM(2.0GM - 1.0r)}{r^4} , \\
R^3_{030} &= \frac{1.0GM(-2.0GM + 1.0r)}{r^4} , \\
R^3_{113} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^2(-2.0GM + 1.0r)} , \\
R^3_{131} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^2 \cdot (2.0GM - 1.0r)} , \\
R^3_{223} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r} , \\
R^3_{232} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r} , \\
&\cdot
\end{aligned}$$

The non-vanishing components of the all upper-indices Riemann tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{0101} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R^{0110} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R^{0202} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2GM - r)} , \\
R^{0220} &= -\frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2GM - r)} , \\
R^{0303} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2GM - r) \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{0330} &= -\frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2GM - r) \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{1001} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^3} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^{1010} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R^{1212} &= -\frac{1.0GM (2GM - r)}{r^6} , \\
R^{1221} &= \frac{1.0GM (2GM - r)}{r^6} , \\
R^{1313} &= -\frac{1.0GM (2GM - r)}{r^6 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{1331} &= \frac{1.0GM (2GM - r)}{r^6 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{2002} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 (-2.0GM + 1.0r)} , \\
R^{2020} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2.0GM - 1.0r)} , \\
R^{2112} &= \frac{1.0GM (2.0GM - 1.0r)}{r^6} , \\
R^{2121} &= \frac{1.0GM (-2.0GM + 1.0r)}{r^6} , \\
R^{2323} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^7 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{2332} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^7 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3003} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 (-2.0GM + 1.0r) \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3030} &= \frac{1.0GM}{r^4 \cdot (2.0GM - 1.0r) \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3113} &= \frac{1.0GM (2.0GM - 1.0r)}{r^6 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3131} &= \frac{1.0GM (-2.0GM + 1.0r)}{r^6 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3223} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^7 \sin^2(\theta)} , \\
R^{3232} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^7 \sin^2(\theta)} ,
\end{aligned}$$

The non-vanishing components of the all lower-indices Riemann tensor is

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{0101} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R_{0110} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R_{0202} &= \frac{1.0GM(2GM-r)}{r^2} , \\
R_{0220} &= -\frac{1.0GM(2GM-r)}{r^2} , \\
R_{0303} &= \frac{1.0GM(2GM-r)\sin^2(\theta)}{r^2} , \\
R_{0330} &= -\frac{1.0GM(2GM-r)\sin^2(\theta)}{r^2} , \\
R_{1001} &= -\frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R_{1010} &= \frac{2.0GM}{r^3} , \\
R_{1212} &= -\frac{1.0GM}{2GM-r} , \\
R_{1221} &= \frac{1.0GM}{2GM-r} , \\
R_{1313} &= -\frac{1.0GM\sin^2(\theta)}{2GM-r} , \\
R_{1331} &= \frac{1.0GM\sin^2(\theta)}{2GM-r} , \\
R_{2002} &= \frac{1.0GM(-2.0GM+1.0r)}{r^2} , \\
R_{2020} &= \frac{1.0GM(2.0GM-1.0r)}{r^2} , \\
R_{2112} &= \frac{1.0GM}{2.0GM-1.0r} , \\
R_{2121} &= \frac{1.0GM}{-2.0GM+1.0r} , \\
R_{2323} &= -2.0GMr\sin^2(\theta) , \\
R_{2332} &= 2.0GMr\sin^2(\theta) , \\
R_{3003} &= \frac{1.0GM(-2.0GM+1.0r)\sin^2(\theta)}{r^2} ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{3030} &= \frac{1.0GM (2.0GM - 1.0r) \sin^2 (\theta)}{r^2} , \\
R_{3113} &= \frac{1.0GM \sin^2 (\theta)}{2.0GM - 1.0r} , \\
R_{3131} &= \frac{1.0GM \sin^2 (\theta)}{-2.0GM + 1.0r} , \\
R_{3223} &= 2.0GM r \sin^2 (\theta) , \\
R_{3232} &= -2.0GM r \sin^2 (\theta) ,
\end{aligned}$$

### A.3 Kretschmann scalar

The Kretschmann scalar is

$$K = R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = \frac{48.0G^2 M^2}{r^6} . \quad (\text{A.1})$$

# Chapter B

## Birkhoff's theorem

In this chapter, we will study the Birkhoff's theorem. The signature is  $-+++$ .

### Theorem B.1 (Birkhoff)

*Let  $g$  be a spherical symmetric metric that satisfies the vacuum Einstein's field equations. Then  $g$  is static.*

### B.1 Part one of the proof

First, we prove that we can diagonalise the metric.

*Proof.* The most general spherical symmetric metric can be written as

$$ds^2 = -A(t, r)dt^2 + B(t, r)dr^2 + 2C(t, r)drdt + D(t, r)d\Omega^2 ,$$

where  $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$  is the solid angle element. We still have the freedom to rescale the time and radial coordinates. Regarding the time coordinate, we make a suitable change of coordinate

$$t = t(T, R) ,$$

The differential of the transformation is

$$dt = \frac{\partial t}{\partial T}dT + \frac{\partial t}{\partial R}dR ,$$

$$dt^2 = \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial T}dT + \frac{\partial t}{\partial R}dR \right)^2 = \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial R} \right)^2 dR^2 + \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial T} \right)^2 dT^2 + 2 \frac{\partial t}{\partial T} \frac{\partial t}{\partial R} dT dR .$$



The metric becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2 &= -A(t, R)dt^2 + B(t, R)dR^2 + 2C(t, R)dRdt + D(t, R)d\Omega^2 \\
&= -A(t, R)\left(\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial R}\right)^2 dR^2 + \left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\right)^2 dT^2 + 2\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\frac{\partial t}{\partial R}dTdR\right) + B(t, R)dR^2 \\
&\quad + 2C(t, R)dR\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}dT + \frac{\partial t}{\partial R}dR\right) + D(t, R)d\Omega^2 \\
&= -A(t, R)\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\right)^2 dT^2 + \left(-A(t, R)\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial R}\right)^2 + B(t, R) + 2C(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial R}\right)dR^2 \\
&\quad + \left(-2A(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\frac{\partial t}{\partial R} + 2C(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\right)dTdR + D(t, R)d\Omega^2 .
\end{aligned}$$

In order to have a diagonal metric, we must impose the conditions

$$\begin{aligned}
A'(T, R) &= A(t, R)\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\right)^2 , \\
B'(T, R) &= -A(t, R)\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial R}\right)^2 + B(t, R) + 2C(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial R} , \\
0 &= -2A(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\frac{\partial t}{\partial R} + 2C(t, R)\frac{\partial t}{\partial T} .
\end{aligned}$$

The third one can be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial t}{\partial R} = \frac{C(t, R)}{A(t, R)} ,$$

and the other two become

$$\begin{aligned}
A'(T, R) &= A(t, R)\left(\frac{\partial t}{\partial T}\right)^2 , \\
B'(T, R) &= \frac{C^2(t, R)}{A(t, R)} + B(t, R) ,
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, we have found a suitable Rescaling of the time coordinate in such a way that the metric is diagonal

$$ds^2 = -A'(T, R)dT^2 + B'(T, R)dR^2 + D(T, R)d\Omega^2 .$$

Regarding the radius coordinate, we can simply impose

$$D(T, R) = R^2 .$$

Finally, the metric is

$$ds^2 = -A'(T, R)dT^2 + B'(T, R)dR^2 + R^2d\Omega^2 .$$

q.e.d.

From now on, we will restore  $r$ ,  $t$ ,  $A$ ,  $B$ .

## B.2 Computations

The metric is

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} -A(r, t) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & B(r, t) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2(\theta) \end{bmatrix},$$

Its inverse is

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{A(r, t)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{B(r, t)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{r^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\theta)} \end{bmatrix},$$

The non-vanishing components of the Christoffel symbols are

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{00}^0 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t)}{A(r, t)}, & \Gamma_{01}^0 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t)}, \\ \Gamma_{10}^0 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t)}, & \Gamma_{11}^0 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{A(r, t)}, \\ \Gamma_{00}^1 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{B(r, t)}, & \Gamma_{01}^1 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B(r, t)}, \\ \Gamma_{10}^1 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B(r, t)}, & \Gamma_{11}^1 &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B(r, t)}, \\ \Gamma_{22}^1 &= -\frac{1.0r}{B(r, t)}, & \Gamma_{33}^1 &= -\frac{1.0r \sin^2(\theta)}{B(r, t)}, \\ \Gamma_{12}^2 &= \frac{1.0}{r}, & \Gamma_{21}^2 &= \frac{1.0}{r}, \\ \Gamma_{33}^2 &= -0.5 \sin(2\theta), & \Gamma_{13}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{r}, \\ \Gamma_{23}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{\tan(\theta)}, & \Gamma_{31}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{r}, \\ \Gamma_{32}^3 &= \frac{1.0}{\tan(\theta)}, \end{aligned}$$

The non-vanishing components of the Riemann tensor are

$$R_{101}^0 = \frac{0.25 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right) B(r, t)}{A^2(r, t) B(r, t)}$$

$$R_{110}^0 = \frac{0.25 \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)}{A^2(r, t) B(r, t)}$$

$$R_{202}^0 = -\frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{212}^0 = -\frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{220}^0 = \frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{221}^0 = \frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{303}^0 = -\frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{313}^0 = -\frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{330}^0 = \frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{331}^0 = \frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)},$$

$$R_{001}^1 = \frac{0.25 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right) B(r, t)}{A(r, t) B^2(r, t)}$$

$$R_{010}^1 = \frac{0.25 \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) + \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( -\left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)}{A(r, t) B^2(r, t)}$$

$$R_{202}^1 = \frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)},$$

$$R_{212}^1 = \frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)},$$

$$R_{220}^1 = -\frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)},$$

$$R_{221}^1 = -\frac{0.5r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)},$$

$$R_{303}^1 = \frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
R^1_{313} &= \frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)}, \\
R^1_{330} &= -\frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)}, \\
R^1_{331} &= -\frac{0.5r \sin^2(\theta) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{002} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{012} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{020} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{021} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{102} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{112} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{120} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{121} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{323} &= \frac{1.0 (B(r, t) - 1) \sin^2(\theta)}{B(r, t)}, \\
R^2_{332} &= \frac{1.0 \cdot (1 - B(r, t)) \sin^2(\theta)}{B(r, t)}, \\
R^3_{003} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^3_{013} &= -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^3_{030} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{rB(r, t)}, \\
R^3_{031} &= \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{rB(r, t)},
\end{aligned}$$

$$R^3_{103} = -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R^3_{113} = -\frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R^3_{130} = \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R^3_{131} = \frac{0.5 \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R^3_{223} = -1.0 + \frac{1.0}{B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R^3_{232} = 1.0 - \frac{1.0}{B(r, t)} ,$$

.

The non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor are

$$R_{00} = \frac{-r \left( 0.25 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right) B(r, t) \right)}{r A(r, t) B^2(r, t)}$$

$$R_{01} = \frac{1.0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R_{10} = \frac{1.0 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t)}{r B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R_{11} = \frac{r \left( 0.25 \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) - \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right)^2 \right) A(r, t) + 0.25 \left( \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) \right)^2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial t} B(r, t) \right) B(r, t) \right)}{r A^2(r, t) B(r, t)}$$

$$R_{22} = \frac{0.5 r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t)}{B^2(r, t)} - \frac{0.5 r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t)}{A(r, t) B(r, t)} + 1.0 - \frac{1.0}{B(r, t)} ,$$

$$R_{33} = \frac{(0.5 r A(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} B(r, t) - 0.5 r B(r, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A(r, t) + 1.0 A(r, t) B^2(r, t) - 1.0 A(r, t) B(r, t)) \sin^2(\theta)}{A(r, t) B^2(r, t)} ,$$

.

### B.3 Part two of the proof

Now, we prove that  $A$  and  $B$  do not depend on time.

*Proof.* Using the 01-th component of the Ricci tensor and the vacuum Einstein's field equations, we have

$$R_{10} = \frac{\dot{B}}{rB} = 0, \quad \dot{B} = 0, \quad B(t, r) = B(r).$$

Making the time-derivative of the 22-th component of the Ricci tensor and the vacuum Einstein's field equations, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \dot{R}_{22} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( \frac{r}{2AB} \frac{\partial A}{\partial r} \right) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} A = 0, \quad A(t, r) = a(t)A'(r).$$

Therefore, the metric becomes

$$ds^2 = -A'(r)a(t)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2$$

and, with a rescaling of the time coordinate  $a(t)dt^2 \rightarrow dt$ , we finally obtain

$$ds^2 = -A'(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + r^2d\Omega^2.$$

This concludes the proof.

q.e.d.

