Likelihood, numerical optimization and the Bootstrap

gean piere ventura cruz 2022-09-12

Task 1

Compute the score vector and the fisher information matrix function

a.

```
L <- function(theta, y, X, N){
      likelihood <- matrix(0, nrow = 1, ncol = N) #creating a vector 1xN
      for (i in 1:N){
             y[i]) #using the formula p(xi)^yi*(1-p(xi)^1-yi)
      return(likelihood)
}
#L(theta0,y,X,1000)
1 <- function(theta, y, X, N){</pre>
      log_likelihood <- matrix(0, nrow = 1, ncol = N)</pre>
      for (i in 1:N) {
             \log_{i,j} (1/(1+\exp(-X[i,j])) + (1-y[i]) *(\log(1-(1/(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1/(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1/(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j])))) *(\log(1-(1+\exp(-X[i,j]))) *(\log(1-(1+
[i,]%*%theta))))) #taking the log of the func
      return(log likelihood)
}
\#L(theta0, y, X, 1000)
S <- function(theta, y, X, N){</pre>
      p \leftarrow matrix(0, nrow = 1, ncol = N)
      for (i in 1:N){
             p[i] <- 1/(1+exp(-X[i,]%*%theta)) #creating the p vector
      transposed_p <- t(p) #transpose p vector</pre>
      score <- t(X)%*%(y-transposed_p)</pre>
      return(score)
}
#S(theta0, y, X, 1000)
I <- function(theta, y, X, N){</pre>
     v \leftarrow matrix(0, nrow = 1, ncol = N)
      for (i in 1:N) {
            v[i] \leftarrow (1/(1+exp(-X[i,])^* \%theta)))^* \%(1-(1/(1+exp(-X[i,])^* \%theta)))) #creating the v vec
tor and storing it in a diagonal matrix
      }
      D <- diag(as.vector(v))
      return(t(X)%*%D%*%X)
}
#I(theta0, y, X, 1000)
```

b.

Compute the newton-raphson method in order to obtain the ML estimator

```
NR <- function(theta0, niter, y, X){
  old_theta <- theta0
  for (i in 1:niter){
    theta_ml <- old_theta+solve(I(old_theta,y ,X, 1000))%*%S(old_theta, y, X, 1000)
    old_theta <- theta_ml
  }
  return(theta_ml)
}</pre>
```

Task 2

Using the nr function we can now estimate the parameters that are provided by the glm with two digits of accuracy

```
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = Resultat ~ Alder + Kon + Utbildare, family = "binomial",
      data = data individ)
##
## Deviance Residuals:
      Min
                1Q Median
                                  3Q
                                         Max
## -1.5786 -0.9712 -0.7555 1.2398
                                       2.0089
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept)
                        0.336619 0.254014
                                             1.325
                                                      0.185
## Alder
                                  0.009185 -4.914 8.91e-07 ***
                       -0.045140
## KonMan
                        0.330364
                                  0.135873
                                             2.431
                                                      0.015 *
## UtbildareTrafikskola 1.052320
                                             6.682 2.36e-11 ***
                                  0.157491
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
      Null deviance: 1355.9 on 999 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 1271.5 on 996 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 1279.5
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
```

```
y <- matrix(data_individ$Resultat, ncol = 1)
X <- model.matrix(Resultat ~ Alder + Kon + Utbildare, data = data_individ)

#head(data_individ[,-1])
theta0 <- c(0,0,0,0)
theta_est <- NR(theta0, niter = 3, y, X)
theta_est</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## (Intercept) 0.33661607
## Alder -0.04513943
## KonMan 0.33036377
## UtbildareTrafikskola 1.05231937
```

We seem to get the same approximation after two iterations with two digits of accuracy.

Task 3

in this part we will estimate the stde by using the fisher information matrix

```
ml_theta <- NR(theta0, niter = 3, y, X)
#solve(I(ml_theta,y,X,1000))
stde_test <-c()
stde_test[1] = solve(I(ml_theta,y,X,1000))[1,1]
stde_test[2] = solve(I(ml_theta,y,X,1000))[2,2]
stde_test[3] = solve(I(ml_theta,y,X,1000))[3,3]
stde_test[4] = solve(I(ml_theta,y,X,1000))[4,4]
sqrt(stde_test)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 0.254014333 0.009185207 0.135873174 0.157491273
```

Looking at the result from the stde and the std.error from the table we see that these result are similar so it seems like r is using the same method.

Task 4

we will estimate the stde but now with help of bootstraping. We are also going to construct a Bootstrap 95% confidence interval for the probability that someone privately educated of your own age and sex is successful.

```
set.seed(960618)
age <- c(round(runif(1000,18,49),0)) #1000 sumulations for ages between 18-49
utbildning \leftarrow c(rbinom(1000, 1, prob = 0.5)) #1000 simulations for utb either 1 or 0
kon <- c(rbinom(1000,1, prob = 0.5)) #the same here either 1 or 0
intercept <- c(rep(1,1000))</pre>
simul_sample <- matrix(0,nrow = 1000,ncol= 4) #creating a matrix 1000 x 4 for 1000 people</pre>
for (i in 1:1000) {
 simul_sample[i,1] <-intercept[i]</pre>
 simul_sample[i,2] <- age[i]</pre>
 simul_sample[i,3] <- kon[i]</pre>
 simul_sample[i,4] <- utbildning[i]</pre>
}
new_p <- matrix(0,nrow = 1, ncol = 1000) #creating a matrix for 1000 probabilities for the 10
00 people
for (i in 1:1000) {
  new_p[i] <- 1/(1+exp(-simul_sample[i,]%*%theta_est))</pre>
transposed_new_p <- t(new_p)</pre>
new_y <- replicate(1000,rbinom(1000,1,new_p))</pre>
new_theta_est <- matrix(0,nrow = 1000, ncol = 4)</pre>
for (i in 1:1000) {
  new_theta_est[i,] <- NR(theta0, niter = 3, new_y[,i],simul_sample)</pre>
}
#Our standard errors using bootstraping method:
sd_theta_1 <- sd(new_theta_est[,1])</pre>
sd_theta_2 <- sd(new_theta_est[,2])</pre>
sd_theta_3 <- sd(new_theta_est[,3])</pre>
sd_theta_4 <- sd(new_theta_est[,4])</pre>
sd theta 1
## [1] 0.2796257
sd_theta_2
## [1] 0.007827463
sd_theta_3
## [1] 0.1355492
sd theta 4
## [1] 0.1370617
```

We can see that the standard errors from task 3 are quite similar to the ones obtained via bootstrapping.

```
quantile(new\_theta\_est[,4], probs = c(0.025,0.975)) #getting the 2.5% and 97.5% quantiles
```

```
## 2.5% 97.5%
## 0.8019581 1.3356171
```

```
p_25 <- 1/(1+exp(-c(1,26,1,1)%*%c(theta_est[1],theta_est[2],theta_est[3],0.8019581)))
p_25</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.5732963
```

```
p_975 <- 1/(1+exp(-c(1,26,1,1)%*%c(theta_est[1],theta_est[2],theta_est[3],1.3356171)))
p_975</pre>
```

```
## [,1]
## [1,] 0.6961375
```

The probability that someone privately educated of my age and sex is successful with the probabilities 57% depending of the 2.5% quantiles and 69% of the 97.5% quantiles.