# Topic 12: CP and the MiniCP Solver (Version of 22nd November 2024)

Pierre Flener

**Optimisation Group** 

Department of Information Technology Uppsala University Sweden

Course 1DL442:

Combinatorial Optimisation and Constraint Programming,

whose part 1 is Course 1DL451:

Modelling for Combinatorial Optimisation



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



#### Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

### 1. Constraint Programming (CP)

- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



# **Reminder from Topic 1: Introduction**

A solving technology offers languages, methods, and tools for:

what: **Modelling** constraint problems in a declarative language.

and / or

how: Solving constraint problems intelligently:

- Search: Explore the space of candidate solutions.
- Inference: Reduce the space of candidate solutions.
- Relaxation: Exploit solutions to easier problems.

A solver is a software that takes a model and data as input and tries to solve that problem instance.

ming (CP)
From
MiniZinc
to MiniCP

Constraint

Program-

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

a: ......

Cumulative

Disjunctive



# **Constraint Programming Technology**

Constraint programming (CP) offers languages, methods, and tools for:

what: Modelling constraint problems in a high-level declarative language. and

how: Solving constraint problems intelligently by:

- either default systematic search upon pushing a button
- or systematic search guided by a user-given strategy
- or local search guided by a user-given strategy

with lots of inference, called propagation in the case of systematic search. but yet little relaxation.

Slogan of CP:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

COCP/M4CO 12

Constraint Program = Model [ + Search ]



# **CP Solving = Inference + Search**

A CP solver conducts search interleaved with inference:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive



Each constraint has an inference algorithm, called a propagator.



# Inference for One Constraint: Propagator

# Example

Consider the constraint Connected ( $[C_1, \ldots, C_n]$ ), which imposes maximum one stretch per colour among the n variables, whose domain is a colour set. From the following current partial valuation for n = 6, which we assume to be reached upon the search decision  $C_4 = \text{red}$ :

 $C_3$ 

a propagator of CONNECTED can infer that  $C_3 = \text{red}$ :

 $C_6$ 

A propagator deletes the impossible values from the current domains of its variables, and thereby accelerates otherwise blind search. It is subsumed when its constraint becomes certainly true under the new current domains.

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

3223423

Cumulative



# Roadmap

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

Disjunctive

### For CP by systematic search:

- Consistency: A consistency (Module 3) is the targeted characterisation of the domain values (Module 2) kept by a propagator (a musician; also known as a filtering algorithm) for a constraint, but correctness of the solver (the whole orchestra) must not depend on actually enforcing it.
- **Propagation:** The fixPoint algorithm (of the conductor) decides which propagator to run at what time (Module 2).
- **Search:** The DFSearch algorithm (of the conductor) calls fixPoint and a branching scheme (Modules 1, 2, and 9).
- Propagators: We design propagators for Sum and Element (Module 3), Table (Module 4), AllDifferent (Module 5), Circuit (Module 6), Cumulative (Module 7), and Disjunctive (Module 8).

For CP by local search (LS):

■ Large-neighbourhood search: hybrid of LS and CP (Module 6).



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

Disjunctive

1. Constraint Programming (CP)

### 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP

- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



# Mind the Gap

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

wiiDillelei

Cumulative

Disjunctive

- MiniCP is a white-box, bottom-up, and open-source teaching framework for CP, implemented in Java. It is fully functional, but not as engineered and complete as industry-strength CP solvers like Gecode.
- In MiniZinc, a domain is declared for each variable.
  In CP solvers, a domain is a dynamically shrinking data structure for a variable, initialised to its declared domain, which must be finite.
- With CP solvers, one writes an imperative program that states (or: posts) via any combination of sequential, conditional, iterative, and recursive composition the declarative constraints, which are given to the solver via propagators enforcing user-chosen consistencies.
- MiniCP does not automatically coerce Booleans (truth is 1 and falsity is 0) into integers, and MiniZinc does.



# Reification

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

CIICUIC

Cumulative

Disjunctive

A MiniZinc reified constraint, such as  $b <-> \gamma(...)$  with var bool: b, can be modelled for MiniCP by naming it and designing a propagator for it.

Assume there are search guesses or other constraints on the reifying Boolean variable b, which is a 0/1 variable in MiniCP:

- When b gets fixed to 1, post the constraint  $\gamma(...)$ .
- When b gets fixed to 0, post the constraint  $\overline{\gamma}(...)$ .
- When  $\gamma(...)$  gets subsumed, fix variable b to value 1.
- When  $\overline{\gamma}(...)$  gets subsumed, fix variable b to value 0.

where  $\overline{\gamma}(...)$  denotes the complement of  $\gamma(...)$ , not code for not  $\gamma(...)$ , as CP solvers do not implement not.

Propagation may be very poor! Reification may be hard for some predicates!



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

#### Constraint combination with reification:

With reification, constraints can be arbitrarily combined with logical connectives: negation  $(\neg)$ , disjunction  $(\lor)$ , conjunction (&), implication  $(\Rightarrow)$ , and equivalence  $(\Leftrightarrow)$ . However, propagation may be very poor!

### Example

The composite constraint  $(\gamma_1 \& \gamma_2) \lor \gamma_3$  is modelled as

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (b_1 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_1) & \& & (b_2 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_2) & \& & (b_3 \Leftrightarrow \gamma_3) \\ & \& & (b_1 \cdot b_2 = b) & \& & (b+b_3 \geq 1) \end{array}$$

Hence even the constraints  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  must be reified.

If  $\gamma_1$  is x = y + 1 and  $\gamma_2$  is y = x + 1, then  $\gamma_1$  &  $\gamma_2$  is unsatisfiable; however, b is then not fixed to 0 by propagation, as each propagator works individually and there is no communication through their shared variables x and y; hence  $b_3 = 1$  is not propagated and  $\gamma_3$  is not forced to hold.



Remember the warning in Topic 2: Basic Modelling that the disjunction and negation of constraints (with  $\/\/$ , xor, not, <-, ->, <->, exists, xorall, if  $\theta$  then  $\phi_1$  else  $\phi_2$  endif) in MiniZinc often makes the solving slow?

#### Constraint Programming (CP)

#### From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

# Example

The MiniZinc disjunctive constraint

constraint 
$$x = 0 \setminus / x = 9$$
;

is modelled for MiniCP with reification:

$$(b_0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0) \& (b_9 \Leftrightarrow x = 9) \& (b_0 + b_9 \ge 1)$$

But it is logically equivalent to

constraint 
$$x$$
 in  $\{0,9\}$ ;

where no reification is involved and no further propagation is needed.



Remember the strong warning in Topic 2: Basic Modelling about a conditional if  $\theta$  then  $\phi_1$  else  $\phi_2$  endif or a comprehension, such as  $[i \mid i \text{ in } \rho \text{ where } \theta]$ , in MiniZinc with a test  $\theta$  that depends on variables?

#### Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

# Example

Consider var 1..9: x and var 1..9: y for

```
constraint forall(i in 1..9 where i > x)(i > y)
```

Recall that this is syntactic sugar for

```
constraint forall([i > y \mid i \text{ in } 1..9 \text{ where } i > x])
```

This is modelled for MiniCP with reification, as in

```
constraint forall(i in 1..9)(i > x \rightarrow i > y)
```

that is with a logical implication (->), hence with a hidden logical disjunction  $(\ \ )$ : for each i, both sub-constraints are reified because both have variables.



# **Inference: Propagator and Consistency**

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

A MiniZinc inference annotation (recall Topic 8: Inference & Search in CP & LCG) to a constraint, either value\_propagation or bounds\_propagation or domain\_propagation, is prescribed for MiniCP by designing for the predicate of that constraint a propagator that enforces that consistency.

### Example

We design propagators that enforce various consistencies, even others than bounds and domain consistency (Module 3), for Sum and Element (Module 3), Table (Module 4), AllDifferent (Module 5), Circuit (Module 6), Cumulative (Module 7), and Disjunctive (Module 8).



# **Search: Selection Strategies**

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

----

Cumulative

Disjunctive

A MiniZinc search annotation (recall Topic 8: Inference & Search in CP & LCG) to an objective, such as  $int\_search(X,first\_fail,indomain\_min)$ , is prescribed for MiniCP by providing a branching scheme, which selects an unfixed variable x and returns an array (empty if no such x exists) of branching constraints according to a partition of the current domain of x.

### Example

We implement (Modules 1, 2, and 9) variable-selection strategies, such as various realisations of the first-fail principle, and value-selection strategies for domain partitioning, such as various realisations of the best-first principle.



- Constraint Programming (CP)
- From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

AllDifferent

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



Constraint Program-

ming (CP)
From
MiniZinc
to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative Disjunctive

A MiniZinc linear constraint, such as the linear equality constraint sum(i in 1..n)(A[i] \* X[i]) = d, can be modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for a Sum predicate:

### Definition

The Sum( $[a_1,\ldots,a_n]$ ,  $[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ ,  $\sim$ , d) constraint, with

- $[a_1, ..., a_n]$  a sequence of non-zero integer parameters,
- $[x_1, ..., x_n]$  a sequence of integer variables,
- oin  $\{<, \le, =, \ne, \ge, >\}$ , and
- d an integer parameter,

holds if and only if the linear relation  $(\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \cdot x_i) \sim d$  holds.

It is easy to reify Sum.

In Module 3, we design a polynomial-time propagator that enforces bounds consistency for  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 0$ , whose domain consistency is NP-hard to enforce.



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



A MiniZinc constraint on an array element at an unknown index i, such as element(i, X, e) or X[i]=e or a constraint on X[i], can be modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for a new Element predicate:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Element

Table AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

Disjunctive

# Definition (Van Hentenryck and Carillon, 1988)

The Element( $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ , i, e) constraint, where the  $x_j$  are variables, i is an integer variable, and e is a variable, holds if and only if  $x_i = e$ .

One can generalise <code>Element</code> to multi-dimensional arrays. It is hard to reify it.

In Module 3, we write propagators that enforce various consistencies on the various variables, depending on the number of dimensions of the array and on whether its elements  $x_i$  are variables or parameters.



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

#### Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

### Example (Warehouse Location Problem)

Recall the one-way channelling constraint of Model 1 in Topic 6: Case Studies from the Supplier[s] variables to their non-mutually redundant Open[w] variables:

```
constraint forall(s in Shops)
  (Open[Supplier[s]] = 1);
```

This must be modelled for MiniCP as in the following MiniZinc reformulation:

```
constraint forall(s in Shops)
  (element(Supplier[s], Open, 1));
```



# Example (Warehouse Location Problem, a last time)

Recall the objective of Model 1 in Topic 6: Case Studies:

```
solve minimize maintCost * sum(Open)
+ sum(s in Shops) (SupplyCost[s,Supplier[s]]);
```

This must be modelled for MiniCP as in the following MiniZinc reformulation, by declaring Cost[s] variables and posting element constraints for them:

```
% Cost[s] = the actually incurred supply cost for s:
array[Shops] of var 0..max(SupplyCost): Cost;
constraint forall(s in Shops)
  (element(Supplier[s], SupplyCost[s,..], Cost[s]);
solve minimize maintCost * sum(Open) + sum(Cost);
```

Recall that we actually introduced these Cost[s] variables (in Topic 8: Inference & Search in CP & LCG) in order to state a maximal-regret search strategy on those variables.

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

Disjunctive



#### Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

# Element

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

Disjunctive

# Example (Job allocation at minimal salary cost)

### Remember the model in Topic 3: Constraint Predicates:

```
1 array[Apps] of 0..1000: Salary; % Salary[a] = cost per job to appl. a
2 array[Jobs] of var Apps: Worker; % Worker[j] = appl. allocated job j
3 solve minimize sum(j in Jobs)(Salary[Worker[j]]);
4 constraint ...; % qualifications, workload, etc
```

Line 3 must be modelled for MiniCP as in the following MiniZinc reformulation, by declaring Cost [j] variables and posting element constraints for them:

```
array[Jobs] of var 0..max(Salary): Cost; % Cost[j] = salary for job j
constraint forall(j in Jobs)(element(Worker[j], Salary, Cost[j]));
solve minimize sum(Cost);
```

COCP/M4CO 12 - 23 -



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element

#### 5. Table

- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



A MiniZinc constraint on membership of a 1d array among the rows of a 2d array, such as table(X,T), is modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for a new Table predicate:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

AllDifferen

Cumulative

Disjunctive

#### **Definition**

The Table( $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ ,  $[[t_{11}, \ldots, t_{1n}], \ldots, [t_{m1}, \ldots, t_{mn}]]$ ) constraint holds if and only if the values taken by the sequence  $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  of variables form a row  $[t_{i1}, \ldots, t_{in}]$  of the 2d table of parameters given as second argument.

It is easy to reify Table.

In Module 4, we design a propagator that enforces domain consistency.



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



An MiniZinc constraint of pairwise difference, such as  ${\tt all\_different}$  (X), can be modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for an  ${\tt AllDifferent}$  predicate:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative
Disjunctive

# Definition (Laurière, 1978)

The AllDifferent( $[x_1, ..., x_n]$ ) constraint holds if and only if all the variables  $x_i$  take distinct values.

This is logically equivalent to  $\frac{n \cdot (n-1)}{2}$  disequality constraints:

$$\forall i, j \in 1..n$$
 where  $i < j : x_i \neq x_j$ 

It is hard to reify AllDifferent.

In Module 5, we write several propagators that enforce various consistencies on the variables, namely a new consistency and domain consistency, which both usually lead to faster solving than the  $\Theta(n^2)$  disequality constraints above.

COCP/M4CO 12

- 27 -



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



A MiniZinc constraint on a Hamiltonian circuit, such as circuit (S), can be modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for a new Circuit predicate:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Circuit

Disjunctive

### Definition (Laurière, 1978)

The Circuit( $[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$ ) constraint holds if and only if the arcs  $i \to s_i$  form a Hamiltonian circuit in the graph defined by the domains of the variables  $s_i$ : each vertex is visited exactly once.

It is hard to reify Circuit.

In Module 6, we design a propagator.



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element Table

AllDifferent

AllDifferent

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



A MiniZinc constraint on the bounded cumulative resource requirement of tasks, such as cumulative(S,D,R,c), can be modelled for MiniCP by designing a propagator for a new Cumulative predicate:

Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

# Definition (Aggoun and Beldiceanu, 1993)

The Cumulative( $[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$ ,  $[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ ,  $[r_1, \ldots, r_n]$ , c) constraint, where each task  $T_i$  has the starting time  $s_i$ , duration  $d_i$ , and resource requirement  $r_i$ , holds if and only if the resource capacity c is never exceeded when performing the tasks  $T_i$ .

It is hard to reify Cumulative.

In Module 7, we design several propagators that enforce various consistencies on the starting-time variables  $s_i$ , when all other arguments are parameters.



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Element

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

- 1. Constraint Programming (CP)
- 2. From MiniZinc to MiniCP
- 3. Sum
- 4. Element
- 5. Table
- 6. AllDifferent
- 7. Circuit
- 8. Cumulative
- 9. Disjunctive



Constraint Programming (CP)

From MiniZinc to MiniCP

Sum

Table

AllDifferent

Cumulative

Disjunctive

### Definition (Carlier, 1982)

The Disjunctive( $[s_1, \ldots, s_n]$ ,  $[d_1, \ldots, d_n]$ ) constraint, where each task  $T_i$  has the starting time  $s_i$  and duration  $d_i$ , holds if and only if no two tasks  $T_i$  and  $T_j$  overlap in time.

It is hard to reify Disjunctive.

In Module 8, we design several propagators that enforce various consistencies on the starting-time variables  $s_i$ , when the durations  $d_i$  are parameters.