## Transfinite Recursion

## Pierre-Yves Gaillard

The only purpose of this short text<sup>1</sup> is to point out that the Transfinite Recursion Theorem is a particular case of the Transfinite Induction Theorem (and that these theorems generalize immediately from the well-order setting to the artinian setting).

For any element x of any ordered set X, we denote by  $X_x$  the set of all those y which are less than x, that is  $X_x = \{y \in X \mid y < x\}$ .

Say that a subset S of an ordered set X is **inductive** if, for all x in X, the condition  $X_x \subset S$  implies  $x \in S$ .

**Transfinite Induction Theorem.** Let S be a subset of a well-ordered set X. Then S = X if and only if S is inductive.

*Proof.* If S = X, then S is trivially inductive. If  $S \subsetneq X$ , let x be the least element of  $X \setminus S$ , and note that S is not inductive because we have  $X_x \subset S$  but  $x \notin S$ .  $\square$ 

**Transfinite Recursion Theorem.** Let X be well-ordered, let A be a set, and, for all x in X, let  $A^{X_x}$  be the set of all maps from  $X_x$  to A. Finally, for all x in X, let  $r_x: A^{X_x} \to A$  be a map. Then there is a unique map  $f: X \to A$  such that  $f(x) = r_x(f|X_x)$  for all x in X. Here  $f|X_x$  denotes the restriction of f to  $X_x$ .

**Lemma.** The map f in the above theorem is unique.

*Proof.* Let f and g be two maps from X to A satisfying the required conditions. It is easy to see that  $\{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\}$  is inductive.  $\square$ 

Proof of the Transfinite Recursion Theorem. Let  $\omega$  satisfy  $\omega \notin X$ , set  $Y := X \cup \{\omega\}$ , and equip Y with the unique order such that  $\omega > x$  for all x in X and the order of X is induced by that of Y. Then Y is again well-ordered, and  $X = Y_{\omega}$ . We define the subset T of Y as follows. Let Y be in Y. Then Y is in Y if and only if there is a map Y is a such that Y if Y is a follows. The Y is a follow. Then Y is a full Y in Y is a full Y in Y. By the Lemma, there is at most one such map Y.

Claim: T is inductive. Proof. Let y be in Y. We can assume that the  $f_x$  for x < y have already been defined, and we must define  $f_y$ , that is, we must define  $f_y(x)$  for x < y. If x < z < y for some z we set  $f_y(x) := f_z(x)$  and the Lemma shows that this does not depend on the choice of z. If there is no such z, we set  $f_y(x) := r_x(f_x)$ . It is easy to check that  $f_y$  meets our requirements.

By the Transfinite Recursion Theorem, this implies that  $f_{\omega}$  exists, and it is clear that  $f := f_{\omega}$  does the job.  $\square$ 

Here is a mild generalization.

Recall that a poset X is **artinian** if each nonempty finite subset of X has a minimal element. If x is an element of a poset X, we define  $X_x$  as above, and, if S is a subset of X, we define the condition that S is **inductive** as above.

https://github.com/Pierre-Yves-Gaillard/The-Transfinite-Recursion-Theorem. Here is a related text: https://github.com/Pierre-Yves-Gaillard/Artin-poset-to-ordinals.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This text is available at

**Artinian Induction Theorem.** Let S be a subset of an artinian poset X. Then S = X if and only if S is inductive.

**Artinian Recursion Theorem.** Let X be an artinian poset, let A be a set, and, for all x in X, let  $A^{X_x}$  be the set of all maps from  $X_x$  to A. Finally, for all x in X, let  $r_x: A^{X_x} \to A$  be a map. Then there is a unique map  $f: X \to A$  such that  $f(x) = r_x(f|X_x)$  for all x in X. Here  $f|X_x$  denotes the restriction of f to  $X_x$ .

The proofs are almost the same as in the well-ordered case. Let me just spell out the part where I wrote "If x < z < y for some z we set  $f_y(x) := f_z(x)$  and the Lemma shows that this does not depend on the choice of z". Assume x < z < y and x < z' < y. Then, by the Lemma,  $f_z$  and  $f_{z'}$  coincide on the artinian poset  $X_z \cap X_{z'}$  (even if z and z' are not comparable), and we get  $f_z(y) = f_{z'}(y)$ , as required.