## Existence of a least increasing surjection from an artinian poset onto a set of ordinals<sup>1</sup>

## Pierre-Yves Gaillard

Let X be a set. If  $g: X \to S$  and  $h: X \to T$  are surjections and S and T are sets of ordinals, say that  $g \le h$  if  $g(x) \le h(x)$  for all x. If X is a poset, say that g is **increasing** if x < y implies g(x) < g(y).

**Theorem 1.** If X is an artinian poset, then there is a least increasing surjection f from X onto a set of ordinals. For x in X, set  $X_x := \{y \in X \mid y < x\}$ . Then f is the unique surjection from X onto a set S of ordinals such that, setting  $\alpha_x := \sup f(X_x)$ , we have

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha_x + 1 & \text{if } \alpha_x \in f(X_x) \\ \alpha_x & \text{if } \alpha_x \notin f(X_x) \end{cases}$$
 (1)

for all x in X.

**Lemma 2.** There is at most one such surjection f.

*Proof.* Let f and g satisfy (1) and let x be a minimal element of X such that  $f(x) \neq g(x)$ . Then (1) yields a contradiction.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $A \subset X$  be the set of all x in X such that there is a surjection  $f_x : X_x \to S(x)$  s.t. S(x) is a set of ordinals, and, setting  $\alpha_{xy} := \sup f_x(X_y)$  for all y in  $X_x$ , we have

$$f_x(y) = \begin{cases} \alpha_{xy} + 1 & \text{if } \alpha_{xy} \in f_x(X_y) \\ \alpha_{xy} & \text{if } \alpha_{xy} \notin f_x(X_y) \end{cases}$$
 (2)

for all y in  $X_x$ . Then A = X.

*Proof.* We assume by contradiction  $A \neq X$ . Let x be minimal in  $X \setminus A$ . So  $f_x$  doesn't exist, but  $f_y$  exists for all y < x. We will define  $f_x$ . Let y be less than x. We must define  $f_x(y)$ . If y < z < x for some z, we set  $f_x(y) := f_z(y)$ . By Lemma 2, this is well-defined. Assume now that no such z exists. Then we set  $\beta_y = \sup f_y(X_y)$  and

$$f_x(y) = \begin{cases} \beta_y + 1 & \text{if } \beta_y \in f_y(X_y) \\ \beta_y & \text{if } \beta_y \notin f_y(X_y). \end{cases}$$
 (3)

Now  $f_x$  is defined, and we must show that it satisfies (2). Let y be in  $X_x$ .

If y < z < x for some z, then  $f_x(y)$  is defined by  $f_x(y) := f_z(y)$ , and we have  $\alpha_{xy} = \alpha_{zy}$ . Since  $f_z(y)$  satisfies (2) with z instead of x by assumption, we are done.

If no such z exists, then  $f_x(y)$  is defined by (3), and it suffices to show  $\beta_y = \alpha_{xy}$ . Let t be in  $X_y$ . It suffices to show  $f_x(t) = f_y(t)$ , but this follows immediately from the definition of  $f_x(t)$ .

This shows that  $f_x$  satisfies (2), contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 1. It suffices to show that there is a surjection f from X onto a set S of ordinals satisfying (1). Set  $Y := X \cup \{\infty\}$  with  $\infty \notin X$  and  $\infty > x$  for all x in X (and  $x \le x'$  in Y iff  $x \le x'$  in X for all x, x' in X). In particular, Y is artinian, and we have  $Y_{\infty} = X$ . Applying Lemma 3 to Y, we get a surjection  $f_{\infty} : X \to S$  satisfying (1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This text is available at https://github.com/Pierre-Yves-Gaillard/Artin-poset-to-ordinals. Here is a related text: https://github.com/Pierre-Yves-Gaillard/The-Transfinite-Recursion-Theorem.