RL benchmark - WidowX

1 Compare RL algorithms

We train the WidowX arm with fixed goal with the different RL algorithm and their default hyperparameters.




Figure 1: Algorithms applied to widowx-reacher-v5

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{PPO2}}$ gives the best performance and train time.

2 Manual hyperparameter tuning

We train with PPO2 and change the hyperparameters. The training environment is:

- Environment: widowx-reacher-v5
- 6 joints
- Fixed goal
- Dense reward: $-dist^{**}2$




Figure 2: Number of training steps




Figure 3: Normalise observation and reward




Figure 4: Number of parallel environments




Figure 5: Gamma




Figure 6: Number of steps to run for each environment per update




Figure 7: Learning rate




Figure 8: Entropy coefficient for the loss calculation




Figure 9: Clipping parameter




Figure 10: Factor for trade-off of bias vs variance for Generalized Advantage Estimator




Figure 11: Number of training minibatches per update




Figure 12: Number of epoch when optimizing the surrogate

- 200,000 timesteps are enough for the return to reach a plateau, however 500, 000 timesteps are required to reach the highest success ratio at 5mm. This means that the reward may not describe sufficiently well the objective we want to achieve.
- Best cliprange: 0.2
- Best ent coef: 0.01
- Best gamma: 0.95
- Best lam: 0.95 (note that the best return is not the same as the best success ration @ 5mm)
- Best learning rate: 0.01
- Best nb envs: 1 (but try also 8 since many hyperparams are fitted to this value)
- Best nminibatches: 8
- Best noptepochs: 50
- Best normalize: True

 \bullet Best nsteps: 16

These parameters take too long to train. The best trained agent has the following parameters: $\frac{1}{2}$

 \bullet Timesteps: 500, 000

 \bullet cliprange: 0.2

 \bullet ent coef: 0.01

• gamma: 0.99

• lam: 0.95

 $\bullet \ \ learning \ rate: \ 0.00025$

 $\bullet\,$ nb envs: 8

• nminibatches: 4

• noptepochs: 50

• normalize: True

 $\bullet\,$ nsteps: 128

3 Environment tuning




Figure 13: Metrics vs reward shape (distance).




Figure 14: Metrics vs actionStepCoeff (higher = smaller steps).