

These notes are a summary of theoretical results on the GenEO method implemented in the HPDDM library. It is an aid to understanding the options of the HPDDM library, whose cheatsheet is available online, [click here](#).

Introduction

In this document, the matrix A is assumed to be symmetric positive definite (SPD). In § 1, we present the two-level method $M_{\text{balanced,GenEO,asm}}^{-1}$ for which we have the best theoretical estimate. Then in § 2, we show how HPDDM enables the use of faster (in practice) variants at the expense of less (or no) theoretical control. For instance, replacing the one-level Additive Schwarz method (ASM) by the Restricted Additive Schwarz (RAS) method leads to smaller iteration counts but then without theoretical control.

1 Main convergence result for GenEO

We first state the main result on the two-level domain decomposition preconditioner built by HPDDM and then precise the statement.

Let k_0 be the maximum number of neighbours of a subdomain including itself. Let k_1 be the maximum multiplicity of a degree of freedom. Let $0 < \nu < 1$ be a user-chosen parameter.

The coarse space GenEO built via the GEVP eq. (2) guarantees that the two-level preconditioned operator $M_{\text{balanced,GenEO,asm}}^{-1} A$ eq. (5) has a condition number bounded from above by

$$k_0 (1 + k_1 \nu^{-1}).$$

The two-level preconditioner $M_{\text{balanced,GenEO,asm}}^{-1}$ is built from three ingredients: a one-level method, a coarse space and a correction formula that are defined below.

1.1 A robust two-level method

The blocks leading to formula (5) are now detailed.

One-level Additive Schwarz method The set of indices \mathcal{N} is decomposed into N subsets $(\mathcal{N}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$. Let $(D_i)_{1 \leq i \leq N}$ be square diagonal non negative matrices of size $\#\mathcal{N}_i$ which form a partition of unity in the sense that:

$$Id = \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^T D_i R_i \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^{\#\mathcal{N} \times \#\mathcal{N}}.$$

For instance if a degree of freedom is shared by k subdomains defining the corresponding entry of the diagonal matrix D to be $1/k$ yields partition of unity matrices. The matrices R_i and D_i are the heart of distributed linear algebra. The one-level Additive Schwarz method (**asm**) preconditioner reads:

$$M_{\text{asm}}^{-1} := \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^T (R_i A R_i^T)^{-1} R_i. \quad (1)$$

GenEO coarse space In each subdomain, $1 \leq i \leq N$, let A_i^{Neu} denotes the local Neumann matrix (i.e. with Neumann boundary conditions at the subdomain interface), a generalized eigenvalue problem (GEVP) is solved: Find $V_{i,k} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathcal{N}_i}$ and $\nu_{i,k} \geq 0$:

$$A_i^{Neu} V_{i,k} = \nu_{i,k} D_i R_i A R_i^T D_i V_{i,k}. \quad (2)$$

Let us denote by Z the tall and skinny matrix made of the concatenation of the vectors $R_i^T D_i V_{i,k}$ for $\nu_{i,k} < \nu$ and $1 \leq i \leq N$, that is using Matlab notations:

$$Z = \text{cat}((R_i^T D_i V_{i,k})_{\nu_{i,k} < \nu, 1 \leq i \leq N}). \quad (3)$$

Let Q denote the reduced matrix:

$$Q := Z (Z^T A Z)^{-1} Z^T. \quad (4)$$

Correction formula The GenEO coarse space is used via the **balanced** correction formula:

$$M_{\text{balanced, GenEO, asm}}^{-1} := Q + (I - Q A) M_{\text{asm}}^{-1} (I - A Q) \quad (5)$$

Condition number estimate In exact arithmetic, we have:

Theorem 1.1.

$$\kappa(M_{\text{balanced, GenEO, asm}}^{-1} A) \leq k_0 (1 + k_1 \nu^{-1}).$$

2 Parameters

The various parameters of HPDDM give access to variants of $M_{\text{balanced,GenEO,asm}}^{-1}$, see e.g. eq. (7). Since a two-level preconditioner is built from three ingredients: a one-level method, a coarse space and a correction formula that are defined below, this section is itself divided into three parts.

2.1 One level methods

Explained Keywords: `schwarz_method`, values: `ras`, `asm`

As a replacement for the one-level additive Schwarz method (6), the restricted additive Schwarz method:

$$M_{\text{ras}}^{-1} := \sum_{i=1}^N R_i^T D_i (R_i A R_i^T)^{-1} R_i, \quad (6)$$

can be used. Note the D_i following the local solve with matrix $(R_i A R_i^T)^{-1}$. It is not symmetric so that GMRES, BiCGSTAB have to be used in place of CG. **ras is the default option in HPDDM, it is usually faster than ASM but at the expense of no theoretical guarantee** when used in a two-level method.

2.2 Coarse spaces

Explained Keywords: `geneo_nu`, `geneo_threshold`, `geneo_estimate_nu`, `eigensolver_tol`

A threshold $0 < \text{nu} < 1$ in the GenEO coarse space (3) is specified with option `geneo_estimate_nu nu`.

The following other options allow for cheaper computations but they do not ensure a coarse space in agreement with definition (3) and thus nor with theorems 1.1 and 2.1. Selecting all eigenvalues satisfying a given threshold usually comes at the expense of at least one local factorisation. In order to avoid this extra cost, it is possible to request a given number of eigenvalues, denoted `nev`, at the low end of the spectrum of (2). In HPDDM, it is the default choice and corresponds to the parameter `geneo_nu 20`. By adding the option `geneo_threshold nu`, only the eigenvectors with eigenvalues lower than `nu` will be kept among the `nev` previously computed eigenvectors. The parameter `eigensolver_tol` specifies the tolerance for computing eigenvectors by ARPACK or LAPACK.

2.3 Correction formulas

Explained Keywords: `schwarz_coarse_correction`, values: `deflated`, `additive`, `balanced`, `none`

More generally, for a given preconditioner M^{-1} and a coarse space matrix Z , several correction formulas using the reduced matrix Q (4) are possible.

The two-level GenEO coarse space makes use of the "balanced" formula:

$$\boxed{M_{\text{balanced}}^{-1} := Q + (I - QA)M^{-1}(I - AQ)} \quad (7)$$

For a given one-level preconditioner M_1^{-1} , a two-level preconditioner is defined via an Additive correction:

$$\boxed{M_{\text{additive}}^{-1} := Q + M_1^{-1}}. \quad (8)$$

If the GenEO coarse space is used to improve the $M_1^{-1} := M_{\text{additive, GenEO, asm}}^{-1}$, we have in exact arithmetic:

Theorem 2.1.

$$\kappa(M_{\text{additive, GenEO, asm}}^{-1}A) \leq 2k_0(2 + (2k_0 + 1)k_1\nu^{-1}).$$

One advantage of the balanced correction formula (5) is that for any coarse space matrix Z it improves the condition number over that of the original preconditioner. On the other hand, an additive correction allows for parallelism (applying concurrently Q and M_1^{-1}). The following table sums up all correction formulas found in HPDDM:

"additive" :	<i>Additive</i> ,	$M_2^{-1} =$	M_1^{-1}	$+ Q$
"balanced" :	<i>Balanced</i> ,	$M_2^{-1} =$	$(I - QA)M_1^{-1}(I - AQ) + Q$	
"deflated" :	<i>Deflated</i> ,	$M_2^{-1} =$	$M_1^{-1}(I - AQ) + Q$	
"none" :	<i>no coarse correction</i> ,	$M_2^{-1} =$	M_1^{-1}	

Formula *Balanced* requires two applications of the reduced matrix Q compared to only one for *deflated* but at the expense of a loss on the theoretical control. Note that there is no default option in HPDDM for the correction formula. Additional useful informations on correction formulas are given in *Comparison of Two-Level Preconditioners Derived from Deflation, Domain Decomposition and Multigrid Methods*.