

Soutenance Stage d'Application

Pierre Rouillard

Maître de stage: Françoise Huang
30/01/2024

Allianz Trade - ENSAE



Allianz
Trade

Outline

Introduction

1/ Épargne excédentaire

2/ Courbe de Phillips

Conclusion

Economic Research department

- ▶ Équipe répartie entre Paris et Munich (24 personnes)
 - ▶ Paris: recherche économique et recherche sectorielle
 - ▶ + 2-4 stagiaires
 - ▶ Durée: 6 mois
- ▶ Maxime Darmet - Économiste France et US
 - ▶ Projet: épargne excédentaire des ménages (EU & US)
- ▶ Roberta Fortes - Économiste LATAM & Espagne
 - ▶ Projet: évolution récente des salaires en Europe



Figure: Équipe de parisienne

Table of Contents

Introduction

1/ Épargne excédentaire

2/ Courbe de Phillips

Conclusion

Principaux objectifs de la publication

Intérêt du projet :

- ▶ Impact de la pandémie sur l'épargne des ménages en Europe et US ?
- ▶ Accumulation d'une épargne "excédentaire" ?
- ▶ Suivi et principaux moteurs de cette épargne ?
- ▶ Quelles différences entre pays ? Europe vs US ?

Définition et calcul de l'épargne excédentaire

Première définition donnée par le Bureau of Economic Analysis

$$\text{Flow of savings} = \text{DPI} - \text{PCE} (-other\ outlays)$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Flow of } excess \text{ savings} = \Delta \text{DPI} - \Delta \text{PCE} (-\Delta other\ outlays)$$

Où: ΔX est l'**écart relativement à la tendance pré-pandémique 2015-19** (log-linéaire)

On obtient une approximation du revenu disponible brut (DPI) à partir de:

$$\text{DPI} \cong \text{Compensation of E} + \text{Net property income} + \text{transfers} - \text{taxes}$$

► Intérêt: flux par composante du revenu disponible

Calcul de l'épargne excédentaire - exemple d'une composante




Figure: Exemple - flux pour la variable 'compensation of employees': écart relatif à la tendance pré-pandémique (En rouge contribution $\Delta Comp \leq 0$, en vert $\Delta Comp \geq 0$)

Décomposition de l'épargne excédentaire par composante - France





Figure: LHS: Flux d'épargne par composante - RHS: accumulation (stock)

Résultat hors stage¹: Décomposition inflation France




Figure: Décomposition de l'inflation en composantes offre-demande

¹Projet de 3A pour le cours Advanced time series de Mr. RICCO Giovanni

Répartition de l'épargne excédentaire par quintile

Hypothèse: l'épargne excédentaire a la même distribution que les actifs




Figure: Au T3 2022 - LHS: Stock par ménage - RHS: Aggrégat national

L'essentiel

Principaux résultats (au T3 2022) :

- ▶ Stock d'épargne excédentaire relativement important : pas de baisse observée
- ▶ Vital de prendre en compte la répartition de ce stock entre les ménages

Retrospectivement :

- ▶ Décomposer PCE en bien et services
- ▶ Ajouter d'autres économies
- ▶ Améliorer le calcul du revenu disponible brut ?

Enseignements :

- ▶ Bases sur des grands agrégats des comptes nationaux
- ▶ Importance des estimations d'épargne pour les ménages dans les débats
- ▶ Difficulté d'obtenir de telles estimations

Table of Contents

Introduction

1/ Épargne excédentaire

2/ Courbe de Phillips

Conclusion

Principaux objectifs

Intérêt du projet :

- ▶ Variables alternatives de "sous-emploi" (*slack*) dans la courbe de Phillips ?
- ▶ Quelle situation en Europe ? (France/Allemagne/Espagne/Italie)
- ▶ Intérêt fort porté sur inflation - croissance des salaires : quelles évolutions ?

Version dynamique de la courbe de Phillips

Forme générale de la courbe de Phillips estimée [ARDL]:

$$\Delta wage_t = c + \phi_1(L) \cdot \Delta wage_t + \phi_2(L) \cdot \Delta CPI_t + \phi_3(L) \cdot \Delta slack_t + \phi_4(L) \cdot \Delta prod_t + \theta^T \cdot \gamma + \epsilon_t$$

avec:

- ▶ L l'opérateur retard
- ▶ Partie autoregressive: $\phi_1(L) = \sum_{k=1}^W \alpha_k L^k$
- ▶ Variables explicatives - polynômes autorégressifs
 - ▶ $\phi_2(L) = \sum_{k=0}^Q \beta_k^{(CPI)} L^k$
 - ▶ $\phi_3(L) = \sum_{k=0}^S \beta_k^{(slack)} L^k$
 - ▶ $\phi_4(L) = \sum_{k=0}^P \beta_k^{(prod)} L^k$
- ▶ γ un vecteur de variables binaires (Covid, GFC)
- ▶ ϵ_t terme d'erreur

Méthode de sélection

On définit au maximum : $W \in [1, 6]$ et $\{Q, S, P\} \in [0, 6]^3$ dans $[ARDL]$

► Méthode de sélection implémentée :

1. Régressions avec l'ensemble des combinaisons $\{W, Q, S, P\}$ possibles
2. Garde les modèles où l'ordre maximum pour chaque variable vérifie $p < 10\%$
3. Choix du modèle qui minimise le critère AIC

→ Meilleur modèle "statistique"

► On ajoute une étape entre 2. et 3. :

2* Restriction de signe sur le LRM pour les variables inflation et productivité :

$$\text{LRM}(\text{CPI}) = \frac{dE(\Delta wage)}{dE(\Delta CPI)} \geq 0 \quad \& \quad \text{LRM}(\text{prod}) = \frac{dE(\Delta wage)}{dE(\Delta prod)} \geq 0$$

→ Trade-off performance et interprétabilité

Performance des différentes mesures de slack




Figure: Cross-correlations (LHS: France, RHS: Allemagne)

Performance des différentes mesures de slack

		<i>lag=0</i>	<i>lag=1</i>	<i>lag=2</i>	<i>lag=3</i>	<i>lag=4</i>	<i>lag=5</i>	<i>lag=6</i>	Adj-R ²	LRM-CPI	LRM-Slack	LRM-Prod
France	U	-0.8479(***) (0.163)	-0.2266 (0.177)	0.4948(***) (0.108)	0.333(**) (0.152)				67%	0.51	-0.53	-1.11
	JW_LF	1.1029(***) (0.148)	0.2077 (0.181)	-0.5192(***) (0.134)	-0.4332(**) (0.202)	-0.1376 (0.17)	0.2424(*) (0.143)		58%	0.25	0.70	0.07
	V/U	0.2284(***) (0.038)	0.0195 (0.067)	-0.0909 (0.069)	-0.1109(*) (0.063)				65%	0.16	0.06	0.02
	LF_part	-0.6829(***) (0.129)	-0.013 (0.089)	0.2179(**) (0.097)	0.1649 (0.131)	0.3149(**) (0.154)			62%	0.04	0.01	0.07
Spain	U	-0.0185 (0.095)	-0.0515 (0.088)	-0.0398 (0.169)	0.0427 (0.092)	0.0327 (0.079)	-0.1793(**) (0.074)		60%	0.78	-0.36	0.08
	LF_part	-0.2799(***) (0.097)	0.1058 (0.094)	0.1172 (0.097)	0.2308(**) (0.1)	0.3144(**) (0.119)			68%	0.69	0.60	0.08
Germany	U	-0.3653 (0.34)	0.5366 (0.481)	-0.0971 (0.253)	-0.9207(**) (0.352)				62%	0.09	-0.37	0.08
	JW_LF	0.4013 (0.474)	-0.802 (0.547)	-0.0511 (0.284)	1.2799(***) (0.332)				62%	0.11	0.40	0.01
	V/U	0.0417 (0.087)	-0.2473(***) (0.088)	0.208(***) (0.077)	0.3403(***) (0.088)	0.0621 (0.091)	-0.2072(*) (0.106)		65%	0.14	0.08	0.03
	LF_part	0.5875(*) (0.333)	-0.502 (0.309)	-0.3875 (0.396)	-0.0951 (0.24)	0.529(*) (0.295)			61%	0.13	-0.05	0.07
Italy	U	-1.6769(***) (0.543)	-0.2585 (0.358)	1.8497(***) (0.445)	-0.9574(*) (0.498)				55%	0.96	-0.51	0.29
	LF_part	-0.5046(***) (0.044)	-0.144(**) (0.071)	0.0815 (0.079)	0.0343 (0.084)	0.0905 (0.084)	0.0004 (0.055)	-0.0824(***) (0.03)	78%	0.71	-0.46	0.15

Figure: Estimated models

L'essentiel


Principaux résultats :

- ▶ Méthodologie de sélection
- ▶ Autres mesures de *slack* sont aussi performantes
- ▶ Pressions inflationnistes plus importantes pour les pays périphériques

Retrospectivement :

- ▶ Améliorer critère de sélection sur les coefficients de long-terme

Publications



Luca Di Lorenzo
Chief Economist
luca.di.lorenzo@allianz.com

Hans-Joachim Lauth
Senior Economist
hans-joachim.lauth@allianz.com

Pierre Rouillard
Research & Analysis
pierre.rouillard@allianz.com

Françoise Huang
Senior Economist
francoise.huang@allianz.com

Paula Pacheco (UK)
Capital Markets Research
paula.pacheco@allianz.com

Maddalena Martini
Economist
maddalena.martini@allianz.com

Andrew Lloyd, Joint
Head of Macroeconomics
and Capital Markets
Research
andrew.lloyd@allianz.com

What to watch:

- **ECB day** — not done yet
- **Eurozone** — a recession avoidance syndrome
- **India** — budget announcement in the midst of a financial crisis

In focus — Americans to fall off the pandemic savings cliff after the summer break, while Europeans hoard even more

- Household pandemic savings are still large in both Europe and the US. These excess savings relative to consumption are largest in the UK and Spain at around 20-25%. In the US and Germany, however, they stand at less than 10%.
- The US stock of excess savings has been depleted fast with the reopening and the inflation surge. These savings could be fully depleted after the summer this year.
- In Europe, excess savings have not been spent as much as in the US, mostly because of the uneven distribution (the bottom 40% of households has virtually no excess savings while the top 20% has some form of extra savings between EUR14,000 in Germany and EUR13,000 in Spain) but also because they are mostly held in liquid assets such as real estate.
- Recession fears, sticky high prices, and rising interest rates — as well as social protection reforms — mean that savings intentions are elevated in Europe, and even rising in Germany. Consumer spending will be the weak link in 2023.

1

Allianz Research

Executive Summary



Massimo D'Amico
Senior Economist
massimo.damico@allianz.com



Hans-Joachim Lauth
Senior Economist
hans-joachim.lauth@allianz.com



Andrea Lloyd
Head of Macroeconomics and
Capital Markets Research
andrew.lloyd@allianz.com



Maddalena Martini
Senior Economist
maddalena.martini@allianz.com



Paula Pacheco-Romero
Economist
paula.pacheco@allianz.com



Pierre Rouillard
Research Analyst
pierre.rouillard@allianz.com

• The crisis-related damage to labor markets has not been as bad as expected amid changing consumer preferences and spending behavior. Employment has increased by 2.3% relative to pre-crisis levels, especially in France and Spain. However, the slow reallocation of labor in the Eurozone has resulted in sluggish productivity growth and record low unemployment (8.6% in January 2023) as labor markets adjusted via reduced working hours rather than layoffs. Limited productivity gains will hamper a more meaningful recovery, especially in countries and regions with limited labor-market flexibility and a shrinking workforce.

• The decline in productivity varies significantly across countries due to differences in labor supply and corporate hiring practices. Italy has seen remarkable productivity growth due to labor scarcity, while other Eurozone economies lag behind. In France and Spain, labor participation and/or job creation have increased sharply, discouraging companies from increasing the efficiency of production and investment. Since companies are increasingly aware that labor is becoming a limiting factor amid deteriorating demographic trends, "labor-hoarding" has also weighed on productivity.

• Labor scarcity and high inflation has resulted in sustained wage pressures. Wages have also evolved differently across countries, reflecting structural differences of bargaining and collective agreements. Wage pressures have been somewhat higher in Germany, where rising labor market participation has not helped ease scarcity of workers in several sectors, including construction. Across the target Eurozone economies, we expect wages to increase by 4-5% this year, followed by 3.5-4.0% next year. While well-anchored inflation expectations suggest that the risk of an adverse price-wage spiral remains small, it cannot be ruled out.

• Labor-market policies will need to operate in an environment of exceptional uncertainty shaped by both cyclical pressures and secular challenges. To address the cyclical pressures, policies need to be aimed at adjusting crisis-support measures to encourage a more flexible labor market while protecting the vulnerable. At the same time, structural pressures due to automation and digitalization are becoming more prominent. Active labor market policies should facilitate job-to-job transitions through higher labor and product-market efficiency as well as re-upskilling, supported by continuous learning programs and changing hiring practices by firms. This could also require public support to incentivize hiring/mobility, ideally in combination with a re-thinking of social protection, including for "gig" workers and those who lose their jobs or need transition assistance, and educational reforms that help build skills for the workforce of the future.

2

Figure: Extraits des publications présentées (LHS: savings, RHS: wage)

Merci!

pierre.rouillard@ensae.fr