

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Intro</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Visual binaries and the universal validity of the laws of physics</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Visual binaries . . . . .	1
2.2	Astrometric Binaries . . . . .	2
2.3	Spectroscopic Binaries . . . . .	2
2.4	Eclipsing Binaries . . . . .	2
2.5	. . . . .	4
2.6	. . . . .	4
2.7	. . . . .	4
2.8	First BH XrB . . . . .	4
2.8.1	Double NS & BHS . . . . .	5
	<b>Glossary</b>	<b>6</b>

## 1 Intro

### Importance of binaries

- Plays a key role in the evolution of massive stars
- first presumed to exist because of **Algol Type** stars. These stars were explained with mass transfer
- Mergers are a key source of strongest GW rad, GrBs, and have r-process elements. (i.e. in **Kilonova**)
- Likely cause of SNe Ia, Ib, Ic (SN with a lack of hydrogen in their spectra)
- cause of low-intermediate mass stars with odd chemical compositions, ex. barium stars.

## 2 Visual binaries and the universal validity of the laws of physics

### 2.1 Visual binaries

- Visual binary → two in proximity through a telescope
- Physical → gravitonalty bound orbits
- Optical → happen to be close together in the sky
- Visual binaries have longer orbits

- the proof of physical binaries (and not just coincidence) was proved in 1767 by John Michell
- Shows that Newton's law of gravity applied outside just our solar system

## 2.2 Astrometric Binaries

### Wide binaries

- Binaries where one of the stars is too faint to directly observe
- Can still be detected by the following **Proper Motion** of the visible component
- Center of mass moves along, star orbits this center, showing a periodic wiggle in its apparent motion (I wonder how this can be muddled with and/or separated from parallax. I'm guessing they are in different planes?? (next graphic helped(it wiggles slightly due to yearly parallax, however, the proper motion is much greater and over a much longer duration, so it sort of just becomes "noise" relative)))

## 2.3 Spectroscopic Binaries

### Spectroscopic Binaries

- A binary which is determined to be a binary due to its spectra
- double-lined binary (SB2) → when both sets of spectral lines can be observed redshifting and blueshifting
- single-lined (SB1) → when only set of spectral lines can be observed redshifting/blueshifting
- this is due to Doppler shifting when one of the binaries is relativistically coming towards us and the other away
- Doppler shift ( $\Delta\lambda$ ) can be related to the radial velocity (the component of the velocity on our line of sight)

$$\frac{\Delta\lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{v_{rad}}{c}$$

## 2.4 Eclipsing Binaries

Binaries where one star passes in front of the other at some point during its period

- A partial eclipse will happen if

-

$$\sin(\phi) < \sin(\phi_0) = \frac{R_1 + R_2}{a}$$

- where inclination  $i$  is defined as the angle between the orbital plane and the plane of the sky  $\rightarrow \phi = 90^\circ - i$  and  $a$  is the orbital radius

- and a total eclipse will occur if

-

$$\sin(\phi) < \sin(\phi_1) = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{a}$$

- We assume that the angles between line of sight and orbital planes are random, the probability of having an angle between 0 and  $\phi_0$  is the fraction of the surface area of the half sphere between the pole and the circle at an angle  $\phi_0$  from the pole, which is equal to  $2\pi(1 - \cos(\phi_0))$ . While the area of the half sphere is  $2\pi$ <sup>1</sup>. Thus, the probability of having an eclipse is

-

$$\mathcal{F}_{eclipse} = (1 - \cos \phi_0)$$

- Assuming  $\phi_0$  small

-

$$\sin \phi \simeq \phi_0 \simeq \frac{(R_1 + R_2)_2}{a}$$

- due to series expansion<sup>2</sup>

-

$$\cos \phi_0 \simeq 1 - \frac{(R_1 + R_2)^2}{2a^2}$$

- Thus

-

$$\mathcal{F}_{eclipse} = \frac{(R_1 + R_2)^2}{2a^2}$$

- Because  $R_1 + R_2 < a$ , eclipses are most likely for either dwarf stars with a very close orbital radius, or wide binaries where at least one star is a giant

---

<sup>1</sup>Try this myself and verify that this makes sense

<sup>2</sup>for sure do this at some point

## 2.5

- It is possible for a spinning WDs to show variation (but not pulses) in star brightness
- This is because of the magnetic fields on WDs causing bright spots
- Pulsation can be determined by the density

## Novae/CVs

- Caused by mass transfer onto a WD
- WD shell goes SN, causing Novae
- Standard candle
- Has a variation called dwarf Novae
- caused by instability in the accretion disk
- much weaker

## 2.6

- Brightest sources of x-rays were found to be CV accreting systems

## 2.7

- The first NS star XrB
- Regular periodicity of with increase of x-ray emission
- Caused by the NS being obscured by the larger star

## 2.8 First BH XrB

- Discovered x-ray source with nearby supergiant
- Falls into two classes
- HMXBs and LMXBs
- determined by the mass of the acceptor with respect to the donor
- x-ray emission called by infilling matter
- $\frac{GMm}{R} = .01mc^2$ <sup>3</sup>
- much much much more efficient than any fusion reactor on earth
- values as high as  $.42mc^2$
- Common in Globular clusters

---

<sup>3</sup>Shockingly simple eq

### 2.8.1 Double NSs & BHs

- Proved by GW detection
-

## Glossary

**Algol Type** Type of eclipsing binary with properties similar to the Algol system. It appears paradoxical because the more evolved star has a smaller mass, explained by mass transfer. [1](#)

**Kilonova** A merger of either a NS+NS (DNS) binary or a NS+BH binary. Results in a bright signal resulting from the rapid decay the the NS material. Results in a peak brightness around 1000x that of a standard nova, hence the name. Likely a standard candle. [1](#)

**Proper Motion** The motion of a star in the sky relative to more distant stars. Allows us understand the velocity of a star relative to the earth [2](#)