Contents

	1
	1 2 2
	4

1 Intro

Importance of binaries

- Plays a key role in the evolution of massive stars
- first presumed to exist because of Algol Type stars. These stars were explained with mass transfer
- Mergers are a key source of strongest GW rad, GrBs, and have r-process elements. (i.e. in Kilonova)
- Likely cause of SNe Ia, Ib, Ic (SN with a lack of hydrogen in their spectra)
- cause of low-intermediate mass stars with odd chemical compositions, ex. barium stars.

2 Visual binaries and the universal validity of the laws of physics

2.1 Visual binaries

- Visual binary \rightarrow two in proximity through a telescope
- Physical \rightarrow gravitonalty bound orbits
- Optical \rightarrow happen to be close together in the sky
- Visual binaries have longer orbits
- the proof of physical binaries (and not just coincidence) was proved in 1767 by John Michell
- Shows that Newton's law of gravity applied outside just our solar system

2.2 Astrometric Binaries

Wide binaries

- Binaries where one of the stars is too faint to directly observe
- Can still be detected by the following Proper Motion of the visible component
- Center of mass moves along, star orbits this center, showing a periodic wiggle in its apparent motion (I wonder how this can be muddled with and/or separated from parallax. I'm guessing they are in different planes?? (next graphic helped(it wiggles slightly due to yearly parallax, however, the proper motion is much greater and over a much longer duration, so it sort of just becomes "noise" relative)))

2.3 Spectroscopic Binaries

Spectroscopic Binaries

- A binary which is determined to be a binary due to its spectra
- double-lined binary (SB2) \rightarrow when both sets of spectral lines can be observed redshifting and blueshifting
- single-lined (SB1) \to when only set of spectral lines can be observed redshifting/blueshifting
- this is due to Doppler shifting when one of the binaries is relativistically coming towards us and the other away
- Doppler shift $(\Delta \lambda)$ can be related to the radial velocity (the component of the velocity on our line of sight)

 $\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} = \frac{v_{rad}}{c}$

2.4 Eclipsing Binaries

Binaries where one star passes in front of the other at some point during its period

- A partial eclipse will happen if

 $\sin(\phi) < \sin(\phi_0) = \frac{R_1 + R_2}{a}$

- where inclination i is defined as the angle between the orbital plane and the plane of the sky $\rightarrow \phi = 90^{\circ} - i$ and a is the orbital radius

- and a total eclipse will occur if

$$\sin(\phi) < \sin(\phi_1) = \frac{R_1 - R_2}{a}$$

- We assume that the angles between line of sight and orbital planes are random, the probability of having an angle between 0 and ϕ_0 is the fraction of the surface area of the half sphere between the pole and the circle at an angle ϕ_0 from the pole, which is equal to $2\pi(1-\cos(\phi_0))$. While the area of the half sphere is 2π . Thus the probability of having an eclipse is

$$\mathcal{F}_{eclipse} = (1 - \cos \phi_0)$$

- Assuming ϕ_0 small

$$\sin \phi \simeq \phi_0 \simeq \frac{(R_1 + R)_2}{a}$$

- due to series expansion

$$\cos \phi_0 \simeq 1 - \frac{(R_1 + R_2)^2}{2a^2}$$

- Thus

$$\mathcal{F}_{eclipse} = rac{(R_1 + R_2)^2}{2a^2}$$

- Because $R_1 + R_2 < a$, eclipses are most likely for either dwarf stars with a very close orbital radius, or wide binaries where at least one star is a giant

¹Try this myself and verify that this makes sense

²for sure do this at some point

Glossary

- Algol Type Type of eclipsing binary with properties similar to the Algol system. It appears paradoxical because the more evolved star has a smaller mass, explained by mass transfer.
- Kilonova A merger of either a NS+NS (DNS) binary or a NS+BH binary. Results in a bright signal resulting from the rapid decay the the NS material. Results in a peak brightness around 1000x that of a standard nova, hence the name. Likely a standard candle.
- **Proper Motion** The motion of a star in the sky relative to more distant stars. Allows us understand the velocity of a star relative to the earth 2