MACH - report

1 The target

The target is to write a program that, given a raw string of text, does two things:

- 1. Identifies and resolves named entities occurring in the text, along with semantic relations occurring between them
- 2. Transforms the tags into RDFA tags and returns HTML RDFA encoded text.

2 Milestones, or how to reach the target

The idea is to write a program as modular as possible. We all agree that the tough step in the target above is going to be 1. So I will focus on that one, in this short report. A rough pipeline might look like the following:

- (syntax) parse the dependency tree of the text. This is useful insofar as it gives very valuable clues about the semantic role of the parts of the sentences.
- (extraction) obtain from the dependency trees the words/sequences of words that are most likely to denote entities (nodes) or relations (edges).
- (resolution) defer to sub-modules the duty of guessing/determining what does each extracted name refer to. This should include:
 - (geonames) identify names for localities
 - (people) identify the people behind their names
 - (relations) this will guess the (not-yet-guessed) edges of the graph. Should be done last, for a geoname being a geoname is in itself a clue of the semantic relations that it might be entertaining with other entities.

3 Progress and difficulties

The first try was to check the availability of off-the-shelf named entity resolution systems. To see what I have tried so far, you need to have installed Dupira (a Dutch parser), Freebase and CLAVIN-Rest's Python3 api.

Then:

```
from mach.utils.preprocess import Structure
import mach
struct = Structure('./mach/data/feed/article1777.txt')
mach.main.run(struct)
```

this will create a Structure (a wrapper class for the text and the tags), and tag it with the full available pipeline. At this moment, that means CLAVIN and Freebase.

I encountered several problems.

- the Dupira parser for Dutch sometimes just fails to parse sentences, such as "Drie jaar krimp Italië blijft in een recessie." A new parser has to be tried.
- the CLAVIN geoparsing service relies on a Stanford NERF which is trained on English text. This means that it works really well (has won a couple of awards) but not on Dutch text. No proper off-the-shelf Dutch api seems to be available for this purpose: making one should not be too difficult.
- the Freebase api returns some interesting results, but is terribly imprecise. The point is that it will try to match any word to a named entity, so entering "hier" will return as top result 'Haier', in the category 'Consumentenelektronica'. Clearly not useful. However doing some selection yielded more promising results: depending on the syntax NOUN category identifier and on whether the word was capitalised, a threshold secured that only certain words be passed to Freebase.

At the present state of the system, most entities go unnoticed. "Duits-land" is not recognized, but "Duitsers" is tagged correctly:

```
'freebase_id=/en/germany', 'freebase_id=/m/0345h'
```

My guess is that the approach is promising, but many things need improvement. A few points:

- Use more the syntactic information. Tree parsing is expensive, but extremely powerful: use it more, or let it go!
- Make a custom powerful entity extractor, optimized for Dutch. *Tertium non datur*, in this case.

• Freebase has an huge ontology, and I think we really need one. Though this might not be the best one (in terms of precision).

[Pietro Pasotti, Amsterdam 2014]