

---

# **Matchmaking System Dating website D8Finder Peer-Reviewed Report**

---

Version: V1.1  
Date: 28.10.2018  
Sponsor: Reza Soltanpoor  
Number: Project #1  
Author: Moonyoung Jung [s3467941]

## Introduction

This document is to provide a short review of each team members which including their tasks (technical / document), and how they have done the tasks throughout the project. It will reflects the each member's performance with their strengths and weakness towards the project. These reviews will help other members to improve their performance in the future project.

## Review of Individual member

- Jimin (Product Owner) :
  - Technical tasks :
    - Login/Logout
    - Index page
    - Registration
    - Cancel user account
    - Change password
    - Edit preference
    - Admin account delete
    - Edit profile
    - Age verification
    - User match like/pass
    - Matchmaking algorithm filters
  - Document :
    - PBI
    - Use case diagram
    - Project charter
    - Technical solution document
    - Issues and Risk register
    - User stories
  - Things that he has done well:
    - He was involved in the technical tasks very much even if his main role was product owner. In this aspect, I think he done a great job as a product owner and a developer as well.
    - Willing to do any tasks.
    - Good communication with other members.
    - Missed group meeting only once because of his unexpected schedule.
    - Good communication with the client.
    - Trying to make other members to catch up the tasks if they missed something.
    - Contribution to coding/documentation work.
    - Was able to speak up his opinions in front of the others in the meeting.
    - Being very active during the project
  - Things that he could be improved:

- May improve his verbal skills when he present his work or sharing his ideas with others. I think that it is because his first language is not English, so I believe he will be able to improve this aspect in near future.
- Matt (Developer) :
  - Technical tasks:
    - Find ID / Password
    - Upload user profile image
    - Send message
  - Document:
    - PBI
    - Project charter
    - Technical solution document
    - WBS
  - Things that he has done well:
    - Willing to do any tasks
    - Never missed any meetings (in class / scrum)
    - Well communicate with other members
    - Trying to ask questions and discuss with other members if he faced some problems or get stuck on his task.
    - Well responded if other member ask him any questions
    - Contribution to coding/documentation work.
    - Been a good listener
  - Things that he could be improved:
    - I think he should speak up more, and sharing his opinions more when we had a group meeting. It is very important to be a good listener in a group, but it seems he was bit shy to share his ideas sometimes during team meeting. It would be great if he was able to more actively communicate with other members because it is helpful for the group work eventually.
- Xin (Developer & Designer) :
  - Technical tasks:
    - Overall layouts of whole website, using CSS HTML etc.
  - Document:
    - Project charter
    - User manual
  - Things that he has done well:
    - Willing to learn new language (PHP)
    - Never missed group meeting (in class / online)
    - Well communicated with other members
    - I think he tried to make a good quality of work regard to website design because he was not able to do more backend coding.
    - Ask many questions all the time if he was not sure about any task.
    - Trying to catch up the task.

- Contribution to coding/documentation work.
  - Being active during project
- Things that he could be improved:
  - In early stage of the project , there was a disagreement about his task because we had a different point of view towards the tasks. After having an in class group meeting with the client, the disagreement was resolved well. After all, he did a good job for the website design, and I think his attitude after having this discussion was professional and good.
  - I am not sure about his learning background so I don't know which language is most comfortable with him. I am quite sure that PHP is not his main area (he is learning it now), I think it would be great for him if he learned more various computer languages for his future project.
- Morgan (Team leader) :
  - Technical tasks:
    - Email verification
    - Send message
  - Document:
    - Project charter
    - Technical solution document
  - Things that he has done well:
    - He gave a good presentation of work for the client and other members.
    - Willing to help other members if someone get stuck on their task.
    - Well communicate with other members during meeting.
    - He was trying to catch up the task when he was in group meeting.
    - Contribution to coding/documentation work.
    - Being active in in-class group meeting.
  - Things that he could improved:
    - Unfortunately, it was a bit hard to see his effort for the project as a group leader. As I know, most of the communication with the client was done by Jimin (product owner). He was very active when we had an in class meeting but his involvement became more less towards at the end of the project. I think he should have been more work done as a group leader.
    - It is a bit shame that he missed several scrum (online) meetings without notifying other team members. I tried to understand his situation because there might be unexpected circumstances but I think he should have notified us if he thought he was unable to attend the meeting. I think he should be improved in this aspect.

## **Conclusion**

In summary, there was good things and some improvements of each members during the project. All the tasks that I mentioned for each member was based on the task cards in team Trello board and their actual contribution on the project, nothing added or removed. I tried to be objective and professional while I was writing this review. Although there might be my personal opinions of other members performance, I believe it would be helpful for other members in their future performance. I hope this peer review report will improve the all group members work performance in the future.