

STATS 406 Fall 2015 Final Review

December 8, 2015

Random number generation

- **Inversion method:** If CDF $F(x)$ is known, we can sample $X \stackrel{\text{CDF}}{\sim} F$ by $F^{-1}(U)$, where $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.
- **Question 1.(a):** Sample standard Cauchy: $F(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan(x) + \frac{1}{2}$.
- **Answer:** First figure out the inverse function F^{-1} :

$$y = \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan(x) + \frac{1}{2}$$

which gives $x = \tan\left(\pi\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$, that is $F^{-1}(t) = \tan\left(\pi\left(t - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$.
We can sample X by $X := \tan\left(\pi\left(U - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$.

Random number generation

- **Inversion method:** If CDF $F(x)$ is known, we can sample $X \stackrel{\text{CDF}}{\sim} F$ by $F^{-1}(U)$, where $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$.

- **Question 1.(b):** Sample $\text{Geometric}(p)$:

$$\mathbb{E}(X = k) = p(1 - p)^{k-1}$$

- **Answer:** The **discrete version** of the inversion method is a **stick breaking algorithm**:

- 1 Sample $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$. Set $k = 1$, $v = p$.
- 2 while($U > v$) {
 $k = k + 1$;
 $v = v + p \cdot (1-p)^{(k-1)}$;
 }
- 3 Return k .

Random number generation

- **Rejection sampling:** Want to sample from PDF $f(x)$, know: 1. how to sample from PDF $g(x)$; 2. for a constant M , $f(x) \leq Mg(x)$ for **all** x .
 - M doesn't have to be its optimal choice.
 - The domination of Mg over f must hold for **all** x .
- **Question 1.(c):** Given CDF $F(x) = \sin(\pi x)$ on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, sample from F .
- **Answer:** First derive the corresponding PDF: $f(x) = F'(x) = \pi \cos(\pi x)$. $f(x)$ ranges from π to 0 on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$. So we can use the uniform distribution on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ to dominate $f(x)$ with the choice of $M = \frac{\pi}{2}$.

Algorithm:

- 1 Sample $U \sim \text{Uniform}(0, \frac{1}{2})$.
- 2 Accept U with probability $\frac{\pi \cos(\pi U)}{\frac{\pi}{2} \cdot 2} = \cos(\pi U)$.

Monte-Carlo integration

- All Monte-Carlo integration techniques start with the common insight:

$$I = \int f(x)dx = \int \frac{f(x)}{\pi(x)}\pi(x)dx = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{f(X)}{\pi(X)} \right]$$

where $X \stackrel{\text{PDF}}{\sim} \pi(x)$.

They only differ in choices of $\pi(x)$ and/or ways to compute $\mathbb{E} \left[\frac{f(X)}{\pi(X)} \right]$.

Monte-Carlo integration

- **Plain Monte-Carlo:** Use a uniform distribution as $\pi(x)$.
- **Question 2.(a):** Use $\text{Uniform}(1, 3)$.

Algorithm:

- 1 Sample $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Uniform}(1, 3)$.
- 2 Estimate I by

$$\hat{I} = \frac{2}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{X_i^2}$$

Where does the factor 2 come from?

Monte-Carlo integration

- **Importance sampling:** To improve efficiency, choose $\pi(x)$ that mimics the shape of $f(x)$.
- **Question 2.(b):** Compute $\mathbb{E}[Y]$, where $Y = X^3 \mathbb{1}[X > 0]$, $X \sim N(0, 1)$.
- **Answer:** First write the expectation in integration form:

$$I = \int_0^{+\infty} x^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}} dx = \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{x^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{2}}}{\pi(x)} \pi(x) dx = \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{X^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{X^2}{2}}}{\pi(X)} \right]$$

for $X \stackrel{\text{PDF}}{\sim} \pi(x)$. As required by the question, choose $\pi(x)$ to be the PDF of the standard exponential distribution, that is, $\pi(x) = e^{-x}$ for $x > 0$.

Algorithm:

- 1 Sample X_1, \dots, X_n from standard exponential distribution.
- 2 Estimate I by

$$\hat{I} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \frac{X_i^3 \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{X_i^2}{2}}}{e^{-X_i}} \right\}$$

Monte-Carlo integration

- **Importance sampling (self-normalized):** Ordinary importance sampling requires knowing $f(x)$ exactly. When $f(x)$ is only known up to a constant, the self-normalized version of importance sampling should be used.
- **Question 2.(c):** Compute $\mathbb{E}[X]$, where $X \stackrel{\text{PDF}}{\sim} f(x) \propto e^{-x^{3/2}}$.
- **Answer:** Recall the derivation of the self-normalized importance sampling:

$$I = \mathbb{E}[X] = \int xf(x)dx = \int \frac{xf(x)}{\pi(x)}\pi(x)dx = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Xf(X)}{\pi(X)}\right] = \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{Xf(X)}{\pi(X)}\right]}{\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{f(X)}{\pi(X)}\right]}$$

where $X \stackrel{\text{PDF}}{\sim} \pi(x)$. With X_1, \dots, X_n generated from PDF $\pi(x)$, we use the self-normalized importance sampling:

$$\hat{I} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i f(X_i) \pi(X_i)}{\pi(X_i)}}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f(X_i)}{\pi(X_i)}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i f_0(X_i) \pi(X_i)}{\pi(X_i)}}{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{f_0(X_i)}{\pi(X_i)}}$$

where $f_0(x) := e^{-x^{3/2}} \propto f(x)$ with unknown constant. **Blue part:** the ordinary importance sampling estimator if $f(x)$ is fully known.

Monte-Carlo performance evaluation and bootstrap

- Definition of mean-squared error(MSE).

- **Question 3.(a) answer:** $\text{MSE}(\widehat{\mu^2}) = \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\widehat{\mu^2} - \mu^2 \right)^2 \right].$

Monte-Carlo performance evaluation and bootstrap

- **Monte-Carlo performance evaluation:** if we know the true values of the parameter, we can generate simulated data from the population. Draw many samples to evaluate the accuracy of an estimator.
- **Question 3.(b):** Consider $N(\mu, 1)$ and the estimator $\widehat{\mu^2} = (\bar{X})^2$. If μ is known how to compute $\text{MSE}(\widehat{\mu^2})$?
- **Answer:** Very straightforward:
 - 1 Generate many samples $X^{(1)}, \dots, X^{(m)}$, each of size n .
 - 2 Compute the square of each sample mean: $\widehat{\mu^2}^{(i)} = (\text{mean}(X^{(i)}))^2$.
 - 3 Estimate the MSE: $\widehat{\text{MSE}}(\widehat{\mu^2}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((\bar{X}^{(i)})^2 - \mu^2 \right)^2$
- **Remark:** Rigorously speaking, the MSE here depends on the sample size. We should have stated that $\widehat{\mu^2}$ is estimating the MSE at sample size n .

Monte-Carlo performance evaluation and bootstrap

- **Bootstrap:** When the true parameter value is unknown, the population distribution becomes unknown, too. We carry out the evaluation procedures referring to the replacement chart as follows:

Monte-Carlo evaluation	Bootstrap
population distribution	sample distribution
true parameter value	estimated parameter value
generated samples	resamples
sample statistic	sample statistics of resamples

Monte-Carlo performance evaluation and bootstrap

■ Bootstrap:

■ **Question 3.(c):** Consider $N(\mu, 1)$ and the estimator $\widehat{\mu^2} = (\bar{X})^2$. If μ is unknown how to estimate $\text{MSE}(\widehat{\mu^2})$?

■ **Answer:** Following the replacement chart to derive the algorithm:

- 1 Use the estimated parameter in place of the true parameter: $\widehat{\mu^2} = (\bar{X})^2$
- 2 Draw resamples $X^{*(1)}, \dots, X^{*(m)}$, each of size n .
- 3 Compute the square of each sample mean: $\widehat{\mu^2}^{*(i)} = (\text{mean}(X^{*(i)}))^2$.
- 4 Estimate the MSE: $\widehat{\text{MSE}}^*(\widehat{\mu^2}) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left((\bar{X}^{*(i)})^2 - \widehat{\mu^2} \right)^2$.

SQL

- Recall the order in which we read an SQL script. This is also the order in which we write an SQL script.
 - 1 FROM (including INNER JOIN)
 - 2 WHERE
 - 3 GROUP BY
 - 4 HAVING
 - 5 SELECT
 - 6 ORDER BY

SQL

- **Question 4.(a):** Query all *pianists* from *Soviet*. Only report *pianist* and *country*.

- **Answer:**

```
SELECT Pianist, Country  
FROM Pianists  
WHERE Country="Soviet"
```

SQL

- **Question 4.(b):** Query the table *Works* and summarize the number of *works* performed by *pianist*. Only report *pianist* and the number of works performed.

- **Answer:**

```
SELECT Pianists, Count(Title) as NumberOfWorksPerformed  
FROM Works  
GROUP BY Pianists
```

SQL

- **Question 4.(c):** Combine tables *Works* and *Pianists* and query works played by *European(including Soviet) pianists*. Only report *title*, *composer* and *pianist*.
- **Answer:**

```
SELECT Title, Composer, Pianists.Pianist AS Pianist
FROM
Works INNER JOIN Pianists
ON Works.Pianist = Pianists.Pianist
WHERE Pianists.Pianist = "Soviet" OR Pianists.Pianist = "Germany"
OR Pianists.Pianist = "Austria"
```


SQL

- **Question 4.(d):** Combine all three tables and query works composed by *Germany composers* and performed by *Soviet pianists*. Only report *title*, *composer* and *pianist*.

- **Answer:**

First combine the first two tables:

```
SELECT Composers.Composer AS Composer, Era, Works.Pianist AS
Pianist
FROM Composers INNER JOIN Works
ON Composers.Composer = Works.Composer
WHERE Composers.Country = "Germany"
```

SQL

- **Question 4.(d):** Combine all three tables and query works composed by *Germany composers* and performed by *Soviet pianists*. Only report *title*, *composer* and *pianist*.

- **Answer:**

Then combine this table (in blue) with the third table:

```
SELECT Title, T1.Composer AS Composer, T1.Pianist AS Pianist
FROM
(
  SELECT Composers.Composer AS Composer, Era, Works.Pianist AS
  Pianist
  FROM Composers INNER JOIN Works
  ON Composers.Composer = Works.Composer
  WHERE Composers.Country = "Germany"
) AS T1
INNER JOIN
Pianists
ON T1.Pianist = Pianists.Pianist
WHERE Pianists.Country = "Soviet"
```

XML

- **Question 5:** Rewrite the following entry, transforming the attributes into children:

```
<book Title="The Return of The Native"
Author="Thomas Hardy" PublishedYear="1878" />
```

Consider the rewritten version: write an R command (assume the package "XML" is loaded and *root* points to the *book* tag) to query the content of the *PublishedYear* tag. The returned value must be numeric.

- **Answer:** Rewrite the entry:

```
<book>
<Title>The Return of The Native</Title>
<Author>Thomas Hardy</Author>
<PublishedYear>1878</PublishedYear>
</book>
```

Notice: 1. no quote marks needed; 2. remember to close each tag; 3. XML is case-sensitive.

Query the *Author* tag:

```
as.numeric(xmlValue(root[["PublishedYear"]]))
```

Optimization

- Use the illustration in Lab_11.pdf to help you memorize the formulations of gradient methods and Newton's method.
- Those illustrations are univariate, but once you have the formulation, it's easy to extend it to the multivariate case.

Optimization

- **Question 6.(a):** Optimize $f(x, y) = x^2 + 4(y - 1)^2$, starting at $(x_0, y_0) = (2, 3)$. Use gradient method and Newton's method.
- **Answer:** First compute the gradient: $\nabla f(x, y) = (2x, 8(y - 1))^T$.

Gradient method: minimization \Rightarrow gradient descend.

$$\begin{aligned}(x_1, y_1) &= (x_0, y_0) - \text{StepSize} \cdot \nabla f(x_0, y_0) \\ &= (2, 3) - 0.1 \cdot (4, 16) = (1.6, 1.4) \\ (x_2, y_2) &= (x_1, y_1) - \text{StepSize} \cdot \nabla f(x_1, y_1) \\ &= (1.6, 1.4) - 0.1 \cdot (3.2, 3.2) = (1.28, 1.08)\end{aligned}$$

Newton's method: calculate Hessian: $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} = 2$, $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x \partial y} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2} = 8$, so

$$\begin{aligned}\begin{pmatrix} x_{t+1} \\ y_{t+1} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{pmatrix} - H^{-1}(x_t, y_t) \cdot \nabla f(x_t, y_t) = \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{8} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2x_t \\ 8(y_t - 1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} x_t \\ y_t - 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}\end{aligned}$$

Newton's method converges to the optimum after only one iteration, and will stay there forever.

Optimization

- **Question 6.(b):** Poisson mixture: 3 Poisson distributions with λ_k and mixing probabilities π_k , $k = 1, 2, 3$. Observe X_1, \dots, X_n . Write down and maximize the incomplete log-likelihood.
- **Answer:** By total probability theorem, we have the likelihood:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = k) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{P}(X_i = k | Z_i = j) \mathbb{P}(Z_i = j) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\lambda_j^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_j} \pi_j$$

Therefore, the log-likelihood function is:

$$l(\Theta | X) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log \left(\sum_{j=1}^3 \frac{\lambda_j^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_j} \pi_j \right)$$

The gradient method we should use here is a gradient ascend as follows:

$$\Theta_{t+1} = \Theta_t + \text{StepSize} \cdot \nabla l(\Theta_t | X)$$

EM algorithm

- **Question 7:** Poisson mixture: 3 Poisson distributions with λ_k and mixing probabilities π_k , $k = 1, 2, 3$. Observe X_1, \dots, X_n . Write down and maximize the incomplete log-likelihood.
- **Answer:** The question is not yet completely ready to apply EM algorithm upon. We need to first finish modeling by introducing latent random variables.

Set $Z_i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ to be a categorical random variable that indicates which Poisson distribution generates X_i . The i th term in the complete likelihood function is:

$$\mathbb{P}(X_i = k, Z_i = j) = \frac{\lambda_j^k}{k!} e^{-\lambda_j} \cdot \pi_j$$

Employing the indicator function $\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j]$, the log-likelihood function is:

$$\begin{aligned} l_c(\Theta; X, Z) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \log \left(\frac{\lambda_j^{X_i}}{X_i!} e^{-\lambda_j} \cdot \pi_j \right) \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] (X_i \log \lambda_j - \lambda_j + \log \pi_j) \right\} + \text{constant} \end{aligned}$$

EM algorithm

- **Answer(continued):** The complete log-likelihood:

$$l_c(\Theta; X, Z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] (X_i \log \lambda_j - \lambda_j + \log \pi_j) \right\} + \text{constant}$$

- E-step: calculate $\mathbb{E}[l_c(\Theta; X, Z) | \Theta_t, X]$.

Notice that here l is linear in $\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j]$, which only depends on X_i , it suffices to evaluate $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] | \Theta_t, X_i]$. By Bayes formula:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle &:= \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] | \Theta_t, X_i] = \mathbb{P}(Z_i = j | \Theta_t, X_i) \\ &= \frac{\mathbb{P}(X = X_i | Z_i = j, \Theta_t) \mathbb{P}(Z_i = j | \Theta_t)}{\sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^3 \mathbb{P}(X = X_i | Z_i = \tilde{j}, \Theta_t) \mathbb{P}(Z_i = \tilde{j} | \Theta_t)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{(\lambda_j^{(t)})^{X_i} e^{-\lambda_j^{(t)}}}{X_i!} \cdot \pi_j^{(t)}}{\sum_{\tilde{j}=1}^3 \left\{ \frac{(\lambda_{\tilde{j}}^{(t)})^{X_i} e^{-\lambda_{\tilde{j}}^{(t)}}}{X_i!} \cdot \pi_{\tilde{j}}^{(t)} \right\}} \end{aligned}$$

EM algorithm

■ Answer(continued):

- M-step: replacing all $\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j]$ in the complete log-likelihood by $\langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle$, we have

$$\mathbb{E} [l_c(\Theta; X, Z) | \Theta_t, X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle (X_i \log \lambda_j - \lambda_j + \log \pi_j) \right\} + \text{constant}$$

By taking the derivative of $\mathbb{E} [l_c(\Theta; X, Z) | \Theta_t, X]$ over each λ_j respectively and setting it to zero, we immediately have:

$$\lambda_j^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle X_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n \langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle}$$

for $j = 1, 2, 3$.

EM algorithm

- **Answer(continued):**
- M-step(continued): recall that

$$\mathbb{E}[l_c(\Theta; X, Z) | \Theta_t, X] = \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 \langle \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \rangle (X_i \log \lambda_j - \lambda_j + \log \pi_j) \right\} + \text{constant}$$

Obtaining the update for π_i 's is slightly harder due to the constraint $\sum_{j=1}^3 \pi_j = 1$. We consider the corresponding terms plus the Lagrangian multiplier:

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^3 (\mathbb{1}[Z_i = j] \log \pi_j) \right\} - \alpha (\pi_1 + \pi_2 + \pi_3 - 1)$$

and set its derivative to zero. We have

$$\alpha = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[Z_i = 1]}{\pi_1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[Z_i = 2]}{\pi_2} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[Z_i = 3]}{\pi_3}$$

Therefore

$$\pi_j^{(t+1)} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}[Z_i = j]}{n}$$