**Convolutional Networks** So far we have worked with deep fully-connected networks, using them to explore different optimization strategies and network architectures. Fully-connected networks are a good testbed for experimentation because they are very computationally efficient, but in practice all state-of-the-art results use convolutional networks instead. First you will implement several layer types that are used in convolutional networks. You will then use these layers to train a convolutional network on the CIFAR-10 dataset. In [1]: # As usual, a bit of setup import numpy as np import matplotlib.pyplot as plt from libs.classifiers.cnn import \* from libs.data utils import get CIFAR10 data from libs.gradient check import eval numerical gradient array, eval numerical gradient from libs.layers import \* from libs.fast layers import \* from libs.solver import Solver %matplotlib inline plt.rcParams['figure.figsize'] = (10.0, 8.0) # set default size of plots plt.rcParams['image.interpolation'] = 'nearest' plt.rcParams['image.cmap'] = 'gray' # for auto-reloading external modules # see http://stackoverflow.com/questions/1907993/autoreload-of-modules-in-ipython %load ext autoreload %autoreload 2 def rel error(x, y): """ returns relative error """ return np.max(np.abs(x - y) / (np.maximum(1e-8, np.abs(x) + np.abs(y))))In [2]: # Load the (preprocessed) CIFAR10 data. data = get\_CIFAR10\_data() for k, v in data.items(): print('%s: ' % k, v.shape) X\_train: (49000, 3, 32, 32) y\_train: (49000,) X\_val: (1000, 3, 32, 32) y\_val: (1000,) X\_test: (1000, 3, 32, 32) y\_test: (1000,) **Convolution: Naive forward pass** The core of a convolutional network is the convolution operation. In the file libs/layers.py, implement the forward pass for the convolution layer in the function conv\_forward\_naive . You don't have to worry too much about efficiency at this point; just write the code in whatever way you find most clear. You can test your implementation by running the following: In [3]:  $x_{shape} = (2, 3, 4, 4)$ w shape = (3, 3, 4, 4)x = np.linspace(-0.1, 0.5, num=np.prod(x shape)).reshape(x shape)w = np.linspace(-0.2, 0.3, num=np.prod(w shape)).reshape(w shape)b = np.linspace(-0.1, 0.2, num=3)conv param = {'stride': 2, 'pad': 1} out, = conv forward naive(x, w, b, conv param) correct out = np.array([[[-0.08759809, -0.10987781],[-0.18387192, -0.2109216]],[[0.21027089, 0.21661097],[ 0.22847626, 0.23004637]], [[0.50813986, 0.54309974],[ 0.64082444, 0.67101435]]], [[[-0.98053589, -1.03143541],[-1.19128892, -1.24695841]], [[ 0.69108355, 0.66880383], [ 0.59480972, 0.56776003]], [[ 2.36270298, 2.36904306], [ 2.38090835, 2.38247847]]]]) # Compare your output to ours; difference should be around e-8 print('Testing conv forward naive') print('difference: ', rel error(out, correct out)) Testing conv forward naive difference: 2.2121476417505994e-08 Aside: Image processing via convolutions As fun way to both check your implementation and gain a better understanding of the type of operation that convolutional layers can perform, we will set up an input containing two images and manually set up filters that perform common image processing operations (grayscale conversion and edge detection). The convolution forward pass will apply these operations to each of the input images. We can then visualize the results as a sanity check. In [4]: from imageio import imread from PIL import Image kitten = imread('notebook images/kitten.jpg') puppy = imread('notebook images/puppy.jpg') # kitten is wide, and puppy is already square d = kitten.shape[1] - kitten.shape[0] kitten\_cropped = kitten[:, d//2:-d//2, :] img size = 200 # Make this smaller if it runs too slow resized\_puppy = np.array(Image.fromarray(puppy).resize((img\_size, img\_size))) resized\_kitten = np.array(Image.fromarray(kitten\_cropped).resize((img\_size, img\_size)))  $x = np.zeros((2, 3, img_size, img_size))$  $x[0, :, :, :] = resized_puppy.transpose((2, 0, 1))$  $x[1, :, :, :] = resized_kitten.transpose((2, 0, 1))$ # Set up a convolutional weights holding 2 filters, each 3x3 w = np.zeros((2, 3, 3, 3))# The first filter converts the image to grayscale. # Set up the red, green, and blue channels of the filter. w[0, 0, :, :] = [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0.3, 0], [0, 0, 0]]w[0, 1, :, :] = [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0.6, 0], [0, 0, 0]]w[0, 2, :, :] = [[0, 0, 0], [0, 0.1, 0], [0, 0, 0]]# Second filter detects horizontal edges in the blue channel. w[1, 2, :, :] = [[1, 2, 1], [0, 0, 0], [-1, -2, -1]]# Vector of biases. We don't need any bias for the grayscale # filter, but for the edge detection filter we want to add 128 # to each output so that nothing is negative. b = np.array([0, 128])# Compute the result of convolving each input in x with each filter in w, # offsetting by b, and storing the results in out. out, \_ = conv\_forward\_naive(x, w, b, {'stride': 1, 'pad': 1}) def imshow no ax(img, normalize=True): """ Tiny helper to show images as uint8 and remove axis labels """ img\_max, img\_min = np.max(img), np.min(img)  $img = 255.0 * (img - img_min) / (img_max - img_min)$ plt.imshow(img.astype('uint8')) plt.gca().axis('off') # Show the original images and the results of the conv operation plt.subplot(2, 3, 1) imshow\_no\_ax(puppy, normalize=False) plt.title('Original image') plt.subplot(2, 3, 2) imshow\_no\_ax(out[0, 0]) plt.title('Grayscale') plt.subplot(2, 3, 3) imshow\_no\_ax(out[0, 1]) plt.title('Edges') plt.subplot(2, 3, 4) imshow no ax(kitten cropped, normalize=False) plt.subplot(2, 3, 5) imshow no ax(out[1, 0]) plt.subplot(2, 3, 6) imshow no ax(out[1, 1]) plt.show() Original image Grayscale Edges **Convolution: Naive backward pass** Implement the backward pass for the convolution operation in the function conv\_backward\_naive in the file libs/layers.py. Again, you don't need to worry too much about computational efficiency. When you are done, run the following to check your backward pass with a numeric gradient check. In [5]: np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(4, 3, 5, 5)w = np.random.randn(2, 3, 3, 3)b = np.random.randn(2,)dout = np.random.randn(4, 2, 5, 5)conv param = {'stride': 1, 'pad': 1} dx\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda x: conv\_forward\_naive(x, w, b, conv\_param)[0], x, dout) dw\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda w: conv\_forward\_naive(x, w, b, conv\_param)[0], w, dout) db\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda b: conv\_forward\_naive(x, w, b, conv\_param)[0], b, dout) out, cache = conv forward naive(x, w, b, conv param) dx, dw, db = conv\_backward\_naive(dout, cache) # Your errors should be around e-8 or less. print('Testing conv\_backward\_naive function') print('dx error: ', rel\_error(dx, dx\_num)) print('dw error: ', rel\_error(dw, dw\_num)) print('db error: ', rel\_error(db, db\_num)) Testing conv backward naive function dx error: 1.159803161159293e-08 dw error: 2.2471264748452487e-10 db error: 3.37264006649648e-11 Max-Pooling: Naive forward Implement the forward pass for the max-pooling operation in the function max\_pool\_forward\_naive in the file libs/layers.py. Again, don't worry too much about computational efficiency. Check your implementation by running the following: In [6]:  $x_{shape} = (2, 3, 4, 4)$ x = np.linspace(-0.3, 0.4, num=np.prod(x shape)).reshape(x shape)pool param = {'pool width': 2, 'pool height': 2, 'stride': 2} out, = max pool forward naive(x, pool param) correct out = np.array([[[-0.26315789, -0.24842105],[-0.20421053, -0.18947368]],[[-0.14526316, -0.13052632],[-0.08631579, -0.07157895]],[[-0.02736842, -0.01263158],[ 0.03157895, 0.04631579]]], [[[ 0.09052632, 0.10526316], [ 0.14947368, 0.16421053]], [[ 0.20842105, 0.22315789], [ 0.26736842, 0.28210526]], [[ 0.32631579, 0.34105263], [ 0.38526316, 0.4 ]]]]) # Compare your output with ours. Difference should be on the order of e-8. print('Testing max pool forward naive function:') print('difference: ', rel\_error(out, correct\_out)) Testing max pool forward naive function: difference: 4.1666665157267834e-08 Max-Pooling: Naive backward Implement the backward pass for the max-pooling operation in the function max\_pool\_backward\_naive in the file libs/layers.py . You don't need to worry about computational efficiency. Check your implementation with numeric gradient checking by running the following: In [7]: np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(3, 2, 8, 8)dout = np.random.randn(3, 2, 4, 4)pool\_param = {'pool\_height': 2, 'pool\_width': 2, 'stride': 2} dx num = eval numerical gradient array(lambda x: max pool forward naive(x, pool param)[0], x, dout) out, cache = max pool forward naive(x, pool param) dx = max\_pool\_backward\_naive(dout, cache) # Your error should be on the order of e-12 print('Testing max\_pool\_backward\_naive function:') print('dx error: ', rel\_error(dx, dx\_num)) Testing max pool backward naive function: dx error: 3.27562514223145e-12 **Fast layers** Making convolution and pooling layers fast can be challenging. To spare you the pain, we've provided fast implementations of the forward and backward passes for convolution and pooling layers in the file libs/fast layers.py. The fast convolution implementation depends on a Cython extension; to compile it you need to run the following from the libs directory: python setup.py build\_ext --inplace The API for the fast versions of the convolution and pooling layers is exactly the same as the naive versions that you implemented above: the forward pass receives data, weights, and parameters and produces outputs and a cache object; the backward pass recieves upstream derivatives and the cache object and produces gradients with respect to the data and weights. NOTE: The fast implementation for pooling will only perform optimally if the pooling regions are non-overlapping and tile the input. If these conditions are not met then the fast pooling implementation will not be much faster than the naive implementation. You can compare the performance of the naive and fast versions of these layers by running the following: In [8]: # Rel errors should be around e-9 or less from libs.fast\_layers import conv\_forward\_fast, conv\_backward\_fast from time import time np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(100, 3, 31, 31)w = np.random.randn(25, 3, 3, 3)b = np.random.randn(25,)dout = np.random.randn(100, 25, 16, 16) conv\_param = {'stride': 2, 'pad': 1} t0 = time()out\_naive, cache\_naive = conv\_forward\_naive(x, w, b, conv\_param) out\_fast, cache\_fast = conv\_forward\_fast(x, w, b, conv\_param) t2 = time()print('Testing conv forward fast:') print('Naive: %fs' % (t1 - t0)) print('Fast: %fs' % (t2 - t1)) print('Speedup: %fx' % ((t1 - t0) / (t2 - t1))) print('Difference: ', rel error(out naive, out fast)) t0 = time()dx\_naive, dw\_naive, db\_naive = conv\_backward\_naive(dout, cache\_naive) dx fast, dw fast, db fast = conv backward fast(dout, cache fast) t2 = time()print('\nTesting conv backward fast:') print('Naive: %fs' % (t1 - t0)) print('Fast: %fs' % (t2 - t1)) print('Speedup: %fx' % ((t1 - t0) / (t2 - t1))) print('dx difference: ', rel\_error(dx\_naive, dx\_fast)) print('dw difference: ', rel\_error(dw\_naive, dw\_fast)) print('db difference: ', rel error(db naive, db fast)) Testing conv forward fast: Naive: 2.975001s Fast: 0.006001s Speedup: 495.751212x Difference: 1.970563140655889e-11 Testing conv backward fast: Naive: 5.902015s Fast: 0.006001s Speedup: 983.466847x dx difference: 8.875097157270652e-12 dw difference: 5.155328198574655e-13 db difference: 0.0 In [9]: # Relative errors should be close to 0.0 from libs.fast layers import max pool forward fast, max pool backward fast np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(100, 3, 32, 32)dout = np.random.randn(100, 3, 16, 16) pool\_param = {'pool\_height': 2, 'pool\_width': 2, 'stride': 2} t0 = time()out naive, cache naive = max pool forward\_naive(x, pool\_param) t1 = time()out fast, cache fast = max pool forward fast(x, pool param) t2 = time()print('Testing pool forward fast:') print('Naive: %fs' % (t1 - t0)) print('fast: %fs' % (t2 - t1)) print('speedup: %fx' % ((t1 - t0) / (t2 - t1))) print('difference: ', rel\_error(out\_naive, out\_fast)) t0 = time()dx naive = max pool backward naive(dout, cache naive) t1 = time()dx fast = max pool backward fast(dout, cache fast) t2 = time()print('\nTesting pool backward fast:') print('Naive: %fs' % (t1 - t0)) print('fast: %fs' % (t2 - t1)) print('speedup: %fx' % ((t1 - t0) / (t2 - t1))) print('dx difference: ', rel\_error(dx\_naive, dx\_fast)) Testing pool forward fast: Naive: 0.290000s fast: 0.006000s speedup: 48.331108x difference: 0.0 Testing pool backward fast: Naive: 0.400000s fast: 0.009001s speedup: 44.440586x dx difference: 0.0 Convolutional "sandwich" layers Previously we introduced the concept of "sandwich" layers that combine multiple operations into commonly used patterns. In the file libs/layer\_utils.py you will find sandwich layers that implement a few commonly used patterns for convolutional networks. Run the cells below to sanity check they're working. In [10]: from libs.layer\_utils import conv\_relu\_pool\_forward, conv relu pool backward np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(2, 3, 16, 16)w = np.random.randn(3, 3, 3, 3)b = np.random.randn(3,)dout = np.random.randn(2, 3, 8, 8)conv param = {'stride': 1, 'pad': 1} pool\_param = {'pool\_height': 2, 'pool\_width': 2, 'stride': 2} out, cache = conv relu pool forward(x, w, b, conv param, pool param) dx, dw, db = conv\_relu\_pool\_backward(dout, cache) dx num = eval numerical gradient array(lambda x: conv relu pool forward(x, w, b, conv param, pool param)[0], x, dw num = eval numerical gradient array(lambda w: conv relu pool forward(x, w, b, conv param, pool param)[0], w, db\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda b: conv\_relu\_pool\_forward(x, w, b, conv\_param, pool\_param)[0], b, # Relative errors should be around e-8 or less print('Testing conv relu pool') print('dx error: ', rel\_error(dx\_num, dx)) print('dw error: ', rel error(dw num, dw)) print('db error: ', rel error(db num, db)) Testing conv\_relu\_pool dx error: 9.591132621921372e-09 dw error: 5.802401370096438e-09 db error: 1.0146343411762047e-09 In [11]: from libs.layer\_utils import conv relu forward, conv relu backward np.random.seed(231) x = np.random.randn(2, 3, 8, 8)w = np.random.randn(3, 3, 3, 3)b = np.random.randn(3,)dout = np.random.randn(2, 3, 8, 8)conv param = {'stride': 1, 'pad': 1} out, cache = conv\_relu\_forward(x, w, b, conv\_param) dx, dw, db = conv relu backward(dout, cache) dx\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda x: conv\_relu\_forward(x, w, b, conv\_param)[0], x, dout) dw num = eval numerical gradient array(lambda w: conv relu forward(x, w, b, conv param)[0], w, dout) db\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient\_array(lambda b: conv\_relu\_forward(x, w, b, conv\_param)[0], b, dout) # Relative errors should be around e-8 or less print('Testing conv relu:') print('dx error: ', rel\_error(dx\_num, dx)) print('dw error: ', rel\_error(dw\_num, dw)) print('db error: ', rel\_error(db\_num, db)) Testing conv relu: dx error: 1.5218619980349303e-09 dw error: 2.702022646099404e-10 db error: 1.451272393591721e-10 Three-layer ConvNet Now that you have implemented all the necessary layers, we can put them together into a simple convolutional network. Open the file libs/classifiers/cnn.py and complete the implementation of the ThreeLayerConvNet class. Remember you can use the fast/sandwich layers (already imported for you) in your implementation. Run the following cells to help you debug: Sanity check loss After you build a new network, one of the first things you should do is sanity check the loss. When we use the softmax loss, we expect the loss for random weights (and no regularization) to be about log(C) for C classes. When we add regularization the loss should go up slightly. In [13]: model = ThreeLayerConvNet() N = 50X = np.random.randn(N, 3, 32, 32)y = np.random.randint(10, size=N) loss, grads = model.loss(X, y) print('Initial loss (no regularization): ', loss) model.reg = 0.5loss, grads = model.loss(X, y) print('Initial loss (with regularization): ', loss) Initial loss (no regularization): 2.302585412176879 Initial loss (with regularization): 2.508542482169898 Gradient check After the loss looks reasonable, use numeric gradient checking to make sure that your backward pass is correct. When you use numeric gradient checking you should use a small amount of artifical data and a small number of neurons at each layer. Note: correct implementations may still have relative errors up to the order of e-2. In [14]: num inputs = 2  $input_dim = (3, 16, 16)$ reg = 0.0num classes = 10 np.random.seed(231) X = np.random.randn(num\_inputs, \*input\_dim) y = np.random.randint(num\_classes, size=num\_inputs) model = ThreeLayerConvNet(num filters=3, filter size=3, input\_dim=input\_dim, hidden\_dim=7, dtype=np.float64) loss, grads = model.loss(X, y) # Errors should be small, but correct implementations may have # relative errors up to the order of e-2 for param\_name in sorted(grads): f = lambda : model.loss(X, y)[0] param\_grad\_num = eval\_numerical\_gradient(f, model.params[param\_name], verbose=False, h=1e-6) e = rel\_error(param\_grad\_num, grads[param\_name]) print('%s max relative error: %e' % (param name, rel error(param grad num, grads[param name]))) W1 max relative error: 1.380104e-04W2 max relative error: 1.822723e-02 W3 max relative error: 3.064049e-04 b1 max relative error: 3.477652e-05 b2 max relative error: 2.516375e-03 b3 max relative error: 7.945660e-10 Overfit small data A nice trick is to train your model with just a few training samples. You should be able to overfit small datasets, which will result in very high training accuracy and comparatively low validation accuracy. np.random.seed(231) In [15]: num train = 100small data = { 'X\_train': data['X\_train'][:num\_train], 'y\_train': data['y\_train'][:num\_train], 'X\_val': data['X\_val'], 'y\_val': data['y\_val'], model = ThreeLayerConvNet(weight scale=1e-2) solver = Solver(model, small\_data, num epochs=15, batch size=50, update\_rule='sgd', optim config={ 'learning\_rate': 1e-3, verbose=True, print\_every=1) solver.train() (Iteration 1 / 30) loss: 2.414060 (Epoch 0 / 15) train acc: 0.140000; val acc: 0.076000 (Iteration 2 / 30) loss: 2.388208 (Epoch 1 / 15) train acc: 0.130000; val acc: 0.075000 (Iteration 3 / 30) loss: 2.286111 (Iteration 4 / 30) loss: 2.280937 (Epoch 2 / 15) train acc: 0.190000; val acc: 0.095000 (Iteration 5 / 30) loss: 2.156452 (Iteration 6 / 30) loss: 2.121301 (Epoch 3 / 15) train acc: 0.220000; val acc: 0.102000 (Iteration 7 / 30) loss: 2.082505 (Iteration 8 / 30) loss: 2.132747 (Epoch 4 / 15) train acc: 0.240000; val acc: 0.113000 (Iteration 9 / 30) loss: 1.974502 (Iteration 10 / 30) loss: 2.234853 (Epoch 5 / 15) train acc: 0.270000; val acc: 0.115000 (Iteration 11 / 30) loss: 2.062134 (Iteration 12 / 30) loss: 1.967815 (Epoch 6 / 15) train acc: 0.270000; val acc: 0.115000 (Iteration 13 / 30) loss: 2.151717 (Iteration 14 / 30) loss: 1.962033 (Epoch 7 / 15) train acc: 0.330000; val acc: 0.131000 (Iteration 15 / 30) loss: 1.905878 (Iteration 16 / 30) loss: 1.981501 (Epoch 8 / 15) train acc: 0.420000; val acc: 0.144000 (Iteration 17 / 30) loss: 2.000987 (Iteration 18 / 30) loss: 1.943681 (Epoch 9 / 15) train acc: 0.420000; val acc: 0.137000 (Iteration 19 / 30) loss: 1.894658 (Iteration 20 / 30) loss: 1.798240 (Epoch 10 / 15) train acc: 0.390000; val acc: 0.137000 (Iteration 21 / 30) loss: 1.930483 (Iteration 22 / 30) loss: 1.848953 (Epoch 11 / 15) train acc: 0.460000; val acc: 0.160000 (Iteration 23 / 30) loss: 1.733071 (Iteration 24 / 30) loss: 1.833933 (Epoch 12 / 15) train acc: 0.520000; val acc: 0.167000 (Iteration 25 / 30) loss: 1.759339 (Iteration 26 / 30) loss: 1.707899 (Epoch 13 / 15) train acc: 0.530000; val acc: 0.171000 (Iteration 27 / 30) loss: 1.668286 (Iteration 28 / 30) loss: 1.632742 (Epoch 14 / 15) train acc: 0.510000; val acc: 0.173000 (Iteration 29 / 30) loss: 1.493473 (Iteration 30 / 30) loss: 1.852287 (Epoch 15 / 15) train acc: 0.590000; val acc: 0.177000 Plotting the loss, training accuracy, and validation accuracy should show clear overfitting: In [16]: plt.subplot(2, 1, 1) plt.plot(solver.loss history, 'o') plt.xlabel('iteration') plt.ylabel('loss') plt.subplot(2, 1, 2) plt.plot(solver.train\_acc\_history, '-o') plt.plot(solver.val\_acc\_history, '-o') plt.legend(['train', 'val'], loc='upper left') plt.xlabel('epoch') plt.ylabel('accuracy') plt.show() 2.2 2.0 1.8 1.6 10 15 20 25 iteration 0.5 0.4 accuracy 0.3 0.2 0.1 10 epoch Train the net By training the three-layer convolutional network for one epoch, you should achieve greater than 40% accuracy on the training set: model = ThreeLayerConvNet(weight scale=0.001, hidden dim=500, req=0.001) In [23]: solver = Solver(model, data, num epochs=1, batch size=50, update rule='sgd', optim\_config={ 'learning\_rate': 1e-3, verbose=True, print every=20) solver.train() (Iteration 1 / 980) loss: 2.304412 (Epoch 0 / 1) train acc: 0.101000; val acc: 0.107000 (Iteration 21 / 980) loss: 2.304232 (Iteration 41 / 980) loss: 2.304451 (Iteration 61 / 980) loss: 2.304035 (Iteration 81 / 980) loss: 2.303634 (Iteration 101 / 980) loss: 2.302876 (Iteration 121 / 980) loss: 2.304020 (Iteration 141 / 980) loss: 2.300463 (Iteration 161 / 980) loss: 2.294366 (Iteration 181 / 980) loss: 2.298270 (Iteration 201 / 980) loss: 2.292755 (Iteration 221 / 980) loss: 2.263796 (Iteration 241 / 980) loss: 2.265583 (Iteration 261 / 980) loss: 2.267173 (Iteration 281 / 980) loss: 2.125007 (Iteration 301 / 980) loss: 2.147143 (Iteration 321 / 980) loss: 2.153724 (Iteration 341 / 980) loss: 2.092185 (Iteration 361 / 980) loss: 2.222644 (Iteration 381 / 980) loss: 2.027731 (Iteration 401 / 980) loss: 1.957533 (Iteration 421 / 980) loss: 2.044904 (Iteration 441 / 980) loss: 2.137074 (Iteration 461 / 980) loss: 1.799312 (Iteration 481 / 980) loss: 2.068984 (Iteration 501 / 980) loss: 1.927072 (Iteration 521 / 980) loss: 1.925850 (Iteration 541 / 980) loss: 1.756626 (Iteration 561 / 980) loss: 1.891594 (Iteration 581 / 980) loss: 1.973922 (Iteration 601 / 980) loss: 1.974425 (Iteration 621 / 980) loss: 1.785541 (Iteration 641 / 980) loss: 1.838186 (Iteration 661 / 980) loss: 1.932530 (Iteration 681 / 980) loss: 1.833085 (Iteration 701 / 980) loss: 1.707784 (Iteration 721 / 980) loss: 1.608024 (Iteration 741 / 980) loss: 1.845843 (Iteration 761 / 980) loss: 1.610290 (Iteration 781 / 980) loss: 1.990074 (Iteration 801 / 980) loss: 1.648930 (Iteration 821 / 980) loss: 2.019387 (Iteration 841 / 980) loss: 1.536826 (Iteration 861 / 980) loss: 1.529867 (Iteration 881 / 980) loss: 1.584559 (Iteration 901 / 980) loss: 1.770200 (Iteration 921 / 980) loss: 1.498343 (Iteration 941 / 980) loss: 1.961275 (Iteration 961 / 980) loss: 1.523582 (Epoch 1 / 1) train acc: 0.448000; val\_acc: 0.433000 Visualize Filters You can visualize the first-layer convolutional filters from the trained network by running the following: In [21]: from libs.vis\_utils import visualize\_grid grid = visualize grid(model.params['W1'].transpose(0, 2, 3, 1)) plt.imshow(grid.astype('uint8')) plt.axis('off') plt.gcf().set size inches(5, 5) plt.show()