Summary: Lecture 3

Summary for the chapter 7.5 until page 150. [2, 3]

Background knowledge

The P vs. NP problem is an unsolved problem in complexity theory. Mathematical problems which are solved by a computer are classified as P or NP problems: All problems that can be solved efficiently by a computer belong to the class P. In the case of NP problems it is unknown whether they can be solved efficiently or not. In this context, efficient means that the required computing time of a solution algorithm grows at most polynomially (e.g. quadratically) with increasing complexity. The only thing that is currently clear is that a correct solution to an NP problem can be checked for correctness in polynomial time.

Developing an algorithm for an NP problem is usually very difficult. Computer scientists and mathematicians do not only try to work out effective algorithms, they also try to determine whether P = NP. In other words, they are trying to find out whether P and NP are really different problem classes or whether it is possible to solve NP problems in polynomial time as well. Scientists all over the world are trying to prove that P != NP.

The reason why experts wish NP problems to remain almost unsolvable is called cryptography. Unlike many other fields, complexity in cryptography is not only desirable, but necessary. It is important to know that most encryption methods used today are based solely on the fact that the effort to guess the key is too high. The problem of guessing is therefore an NP problem. Not only theoretically, but also practically, the proof of the solvability of NP problems means the end of all currently used encryption methods.

But there is still hope for cryptography. The NP problem has often been supposedly solved. Both P = NP and P != NP have been attempted to be proven many times. It turned out that every single solution and every single proof attempt so far turned out to be wrong or incomprehensible. [1, 3, 4]

Basic relations between complexity classes

The hierarchy theorem shows how deterministic classes of the same kind (time or space) relate to each other. Here are the relationships between classes of a different kind exmined: P and NP. If f(n) is a proper complexity function:

$$SPACE(f(n)) \subseteq NSPACE(f(n)) \text{ and } TIME(f(n)) \subseteq NTIME(f(n))$$
 (1)

$$NTIME(f(n)) \subseteq SPACE(f(n)) \tag{2}$$

$$NSPACE(f(n)) \subseteq TIME(k^{\log n + f(n)})$$
(3)

Proof for (1):

Any deterministic Turing Machine is also a non-deterministic Turing Machine, which leads to $SPACE(f(n)) \subseteq NSPACE(f(n))$ and $TIME(f(n)) \subseteq NTIME(f(n))$.

Proof for (2):

To prove (2), a language $L \in \text{NTIME}(f(n))$ is considered. It exists a precise non-deterministic Turing Machine M that decides L in time f(n) and for the prove a deterministic Turing Machine M' has to be designed that decides L in space f(n). Memory space can be reused, time cannot. TODO

Proof for (3):

This prrof involves a method for space-bounded Turing Machines, which is called **reachability method**. TODO

Combining the previous knowledge, it can be concluded:

and

$L \subset PSPACE$

This means, that at least on of the inclusions has to be proper.

Questions:

Deterministic space includes nondeterministic time

 $\operatorname{NTIME}(f(n)) \subseteq \operatorname{SPACE}(f(n)^2)$ d choices in every step (in TM): 1,...,d fill something with 1 in first step second step: simulate non-determinstic TM pick something and simulate it? Until we get to d because we increment by 1 in each step.

TODO

Questions:

The reachability method

graphs/graph edges are constructed M empties the tape and puts all the heads to the start there is only a single node that is accepting

TODO

Questions:

Savitch's theorem

complexit function is at least $\log n$

we are doing an intuitive sketch now

this theorem grabs some internal node k, check recursively if there is a path from 1 to k and from k to n

test if path from 1 to k with picking a midpoint again...

we can have $\log n$ many segments to work on

PATH(startnode, endnode, pathlength) checks if there is a path from startnode to endnode with the length pathlength (?)

TODO

Questions:

Analysis

imagine the graph graph can be too large to construct why does the meaning of n change? TODO

Questions:

References

- [1] Dr Datenschutz (Website). P vs. NP: Ein Geschenk der Informatik an die Mathematik. last opened 11.11.2022. URL: https://www.dr-datenschutz.de/p-vs-np-ein-geschenk-der-informatik-an-die-mathematik/#:~:text=Hierbei%20werden%20von%20einem% 20Computer,effizient%201%C3%B6sen%20lassen%20oder%20nicht..
- [2] Martin Berglund. Lecture notes in Computational Complexity.
- [3] Christos H. Papadimitriou. *Computational Complexity*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1994.
- [4] Prof. Dr. Thomas Schwentick. Lecture notes in Grundbegriffe der theoretischen Informatik. https://www.cs.tu-dortmund.de/nps/de/Studium/Ordnungen_Handbuecher_Beschluesse/Modulhandbuecher/Archiv/Bachelor_LA_GyGe_Inf_Modellv/_Module/INF-BfP-GTI/index.html.