

## Summary: Lecture 4

Summary for the chapter 7.3 from page 150 on. [3]

### Nondeterministic Turing Machine

A nondeterministic Turing machine (*NTM*) has states, which have more than one possible next state for an action. The states are not completely determined by its action and the current symbol it sees, (unlike a deterministic Turing Machine).

NTMs are for example used in thought experiments. One of the most important problems is the P versus NP problem: How difficult it is to simulate nondeterministic computation with a deterministic computer? [1, 3]

### Asymmetry of non-determinism

#### Asymmetry of nondeterministic acceptance:

- Example: find out if a formula  $\varphi$  is satisfiable ( $\varphi \in SAT$ ):
  - choose truth values for the variables nondeterministically
  - check if they make  $\varphi$  become true
- this approach seems to be unpractical so check whether  $\varphi$  is not satisfiable ( $\varphi \in \overline{SAT}$ )
- Question whether  $NP = coNP$  is a statement about *all* options

#### Asymmetry of nondeterministic space:

- Example: REACHABILITY  $\in NL$ 
  - starting at start node 1
  - algorithm walks through nondeterministically chosen edges  $\leq n$  times
  - only current position is remembered ( $\log n$  space)
  - accepts if current node is node  $n$
- this approach seems to be unpractical so check if node  $n$  is *not* reachable from node 1

### $\log n$ space

A graph algorithm using  $O(\log n)$  space stores a fixed number of pointers, independent of  $n$ , and manipulates them in some way. [2]

### Nondeterministically computing functions

- A nondeterministic Turing Machine  $M$  computes a function  $f$  if the the following hold for every input  $x$ :
  - one of the computations of  $M$  stops in the halting state  $h$  with the correct result  $f(x)$  on the output tape
  - all computations that do not correctly output  $f(x)$  stop instead in a *no*-state (this path failed then)

### Problem: GRAPH REACHABILITY

Given a graph  $G$  and two nodes  $n_1, n_2 \in V$ , is there path from  $n_1$  to  $n_2$ ?

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a finite set  $V$  of nodes and a set  $E$  of edges as node pairs.

REACHABILITY can be nondeterministically solved in space  $\log n$ .

## Immerman-Szelepscènyi

### Theorem (Counting problem):

Given a graph  $G$  and a start node  $x$ , the number of nodes that are reachable from  $x$  in  $G$  can nondeterministically be computed in space  $\log n$  (where  $n$  is the number of nodes of  $G$ ).

- can be non-deterministically solved
- solving as an extension to REACHABILITY
- counting of nodes that can *not* be reached similar: subtract result from  $n$   
→ counting problem and its complement are identical

### Algorithm:

- nodes  $1, \dots, n$  with start node 1
- $S(i)$  is the set of nodes which are reachable from the startnode with a pathlength of  $i$ 
  - $S(0)$  will contain node 1
  - $s(1)$  will contain all neighbours of 1
- Algorithm consists out of 4 nested for-loops:
  - outer for loop:
    - \* computes number of nodes reachable from initial node (for loop with  $k$  steps) as  $|S(1)|, |S(2)|, \dots, |S(n-1)|$
    - \*  $|S(n-1)|$  is the desired answer ( $n$  is the number of nodes)
    - \*  $|S(0)| = 1$  (contains only start node)
    - \*  $|S(k)|$  is computed after producing  $|S(k-1)|$
    - \* in each step the previous set is overwritten with the next one because the space is limited
  - second for loop:
    - \* it is computed how far the previous steps got and summed up how far it can get
    - \* a counter  $l$  is initialized to 0
    - \*  $l$  gets incremented for each node  $u$  which is in  $S(k)$  (in the end:  $l = |S(k)|$ )
  - third loop:
    - \* deciding if node  $u$  belongs to  $S(k)$
    - \* iterating over all nodes  $v \in V$  one by one to reuse space
    - \* if node  $v$  is in  $S(k-1)$ , a counter  $m$  is incremented  
 $m$  counts the members of  $S(k-1)$  that were found so far
    - \* if  $u = v$  or there is an edge from  $u$  to  $v$ :  $u \in S(k)$   
→ variable *reply* gets set to true
    - \* if end is reached:
    - \*  $u \notin S(k)$  if end is reached and *reply* is false:  
if  $m < |S(k-1)|$  not all members of  $S(k-1)$  have been encountered: return *no*
    - \* else return *reply*
  - fourth loop:
    - \* checking whether  $v \in S(k-1)$  with non-determinism (similar to REACHABILITY)

- nodes can't be marked (this would use linear space)
- runs in space  $\log n$  with a Turing Machine  $M$
- $M$  has separate strings holding each of the variables:  $k, |S(k-1)|, l, u, m, v, p, w_p, w_{p-1}, input, output$   
all of those need only to be compared to each other and incremented by 1  
all bounded by  $n$

## REACHABILITY $\in$ NL

- NL = nondeterministic logarithmic space
- REACHABILITY  $\in$  NL

Modify the non-deterministic Turing Machine from above so that it returns *yes* if the innermost subroutine ever reaches the target node  $n$ , otherwise, return *no*.

- run previous algorithm
- if target node is found, yes is returned
- else algorithm continues

Questions:

Did I understand this right?

## NSPACE is closed under complement

$$\text{NSPACE}(f(n)) = \text{coNSPACE}(f(n))$$

for all proper complexity functions  $f(n) \geq \log n$ .

**Proof idea:**

- Language  $L \in \text{NSPACE}(f(n))$  is decided by a non-deterministic Turing Machine  $M$
- $M$  is space bounded in  $f(n)$
- to show: there is a  $f(n)$  space bounded non-deterministic Turing Machine  $\overline{M}$  which decides  $\overline{L}$ :
  - On input  $x$   $\overline{M}$  runs the recursive algorithm of the *Savitch-Theorem-proof* (chooses internal node on the middle) on the configuration graph of  $M$
  - the algorithm decides if two nodes are connected on the basis of  $x$  and the transition function of  $M$
  - if  $\overline{M}$  comes to an accepting configuration  $U$ , it halts and rejects
  - otherwise (if it is computed and no accepting configuration has been found)  $\overline{M}$  accepts

## References

- [1] Jeff Erickson. *Nondeterministic Turing Machine*. <http://jeffe.cs.illinois.edu/teaching/algorithms/models/09-nondeterminism.pdf>. 2016.
- [2] *Logarithmic Space and NL-Completeness*. [http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ashe/logspace\\_handout.pdf](http://www.cs.toronto.edu/~ashe/logspace_handout.pdf). 2020.
- [3] Christos H. Papadimitriou. *Computational Complexity*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1994.