Summary: Lecture 7

Summary for the chapters 9.1 and 9.2. [2, 1]

NP-Completeness

NP

Class of lanugages decided by nonderteministic Turing machines in polynomial time. Most problems are in NP.

NP-completeness:

- easiest problems among those we do not know how to solve efficiently
- if P≠NP can be proven: exact border of efficient solvability is found
- best bet for proving P=NP: show that some NP-complete problem is P
- Until then, the NP-complete problems are the least likely ones in NP to be efficiently solved
- Where is the line between P and NP?

Lanugage L

```
L = \{x : (x, y) \in R \text{ for some } y\}
 L \text{ gets an input } x \text{ and finds a } y \text{ with } ((x, y) \in R \text{ and the relation } R \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*.
```

Polynomially decidable:

- ullet R is polynomially decidable if there is da deterministic Turing machine deciding the language L in polynomial time
- then the relation R (not the language L) is polynomially decidable

Polynomially balanced:

- R is polynomially balanced if $(x, y) \in R$ implies $|y| \le |x|^k$ for some $k \ge 1$ \rightarrow length of the second component is bounded by a polynomial in the length of the first
- then the relation R (not the language L) is polynomially balanced

NP

The language $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$ is in NP only if there is a polynomially decidable and polynomially balanced relation R such that $L = \{x : (x, y) \in R \text{ for some } y\}.$

For example: Is there a satisfying assignment (y) for a formular (x)? Why is $R \subseteq \Sigma^* \times \Sigma^*$? Is the input formula and the truth assignment $\in \Sigma^*$?

TODO proof Questions:

Succinct certificate (for NP-complete problems)

- yes instance of x has a polynomial witness y (certificate)
- no instances don't have such a certificate
- Examples:
 - Sat: certificate is the truth assignment
 - HamiltonPath: certificate is the hamilton path of a graph

Typical problems in NP

- sometimes the optimum needs to be found
- sometimes any object that fits the specification is enough
- constraints can be added to optimization problems

3Sat is NP-complete

SAT

The SAT (satisfiability) problem is the problem of determining if there exists an interpretation that satisfies a given Boolean formula. [4]

3SAT

Like the SAT problem, 3SAT is determining the satisfiability of a formula in CNF where each clause is limited to at most three literals.

• kSAT with $k \ge 1$ is a special case of SAT

Reduction from SAT to 3SAT: [3]

- the reduction replaces each clause with a set of clauses, each having exactly three literals
- rewrite the clauses of of the input
- example:

$$(x_1) \wedge (x_1 \vee \bar{x_2}) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3 \vee x_5) \wedge (x_1 \vee x_4 \vee \bar{x_6} \vee \bar{x_7}) \wedge (x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \bar{x_3} \vee x_5 \vee x_7)$$

$$\equiv (x_1 \vee x_1 \vee x_1) \wedge (x_2 \vee x_3 \vee x_5)$$

3Sat with more retrictions

TODO

Questions:

2Sat in P (graph construction)

Title

Content

TODO

Questions:

2Sat in NL					
Title					
Content	J				

TODO

Questions:

MaxSat is NP-complete

Title	
Content	

TODO

Questions:

NaeSat is NP-complete

Title
Content

TODO

Questions:

References

- [1] Martin Berglund. Lecture notes in Computational Complexity.
- [2] Christos H. Papadimitriou. *Computational Complexity*. Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1994.
- [3] Swagato Sanyal. Reduction from SAT to 3SAT. https://cse.iitkgp.ac.in/~palash/2018AlgoDesignAnalysis/SAT-3SAT.pdf, last opened: 29.11.22.
- [4] Prof. Dr. Thomas Schwentick. Lecture notes in Grundbegriffe der theoretischen Informatik. https://www.cs.tu-dortmund.de/nps/de/Studium/Ordnungen_Handbuecher_Beschluesse/Modulhandbuecher/Archiv/Bachelor_LA_GyGe_Inf_Modellv/_Module/INF-BfP-GTI/index.html.