

# Text as Data: Transformers

Guest Course – January 2026

**Germain Gauthier, Philine Widmer<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Bocconi University, Paris School of Economics

USI Lugano

# The journey so far

- We've covered increasingly sophisticated text representations:
  - Bag-of-words: Counts, ignores order
  - Embeddings (Word2Vec): Dense vectors, captures semantic similarity
  - Semantic parsing: Extracts linguistic structure
- **Key limitation:** These methods struggle with **context**
  - Word2Vec gives one vector per word, regardless of context
  - “bank” in “river bank” vs. “investment bank”
- **This session:** Transformers
  - Context-aware representations
  - State-of-the-art performance on almost all (supervised) NLP tasks
  - Foundation for modern LLMs (GPT, Claude, etc.)

# Before transformers: The sequence modeling problem

**Goal:** Model sequences where order matters

- “*The Fed raised rates*” ≠ “*Rates raised the Fed*”

**Traditional approach:** Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

- Process text word-by-word, left-to-right
- Maintain “hidden state” that carries information forward
- **Problem 1:** Slow (must process sequentially, can’t parallelize)
- **Problem 2:** Struggle with long-range dependencies

**Example:** “*The Fed, which was established in 1913 and has weathered many crises, raised rates.*”

**Transformer solution:** Process entire sequence at once using **attention**

# The key innovation: Attention mechanism

**Core idea:** When processing each word, look at *all* other words and decide which are relevant

## Intuition:

*“The Federal Reserve raised interest rates.”*

When processing “raised”:

- Pay attention to “Federal Reserve” (who is doing the action?)
- Pay attention to “interest rates” (what is being raised?)
- Ignore “The” (not very informative)

**Attention** learns these relationships automatically from data

**Self-attention:** Each word attends to every other word (including itself)

## Self-attention: An example

**Sentence:** “*The bank on Wall Street raised rates.*”

When processing “bank”:

- High attention to “Wall Street” → financial institution
- High attention to “raised rates” → confirms financial meaning

# Transformer architecture: Key components

1. **Input embeddings:** Convert words to vectors (like Word2Vec)
2. **Positional encoding:** Add information about word order
3. **Multi-head self-attention:** Look at other words from multiple “perspectives”
4. **Feed-forward layers:** Process each position independently
5. **Layer normalization & residual connections:** Help training
6. **Stack many layers:** 12-24 layers for BERT, 96+ for GPT-4

## Transformer architecture: Output and advantages

**Output:** Contextualized representation for each word

- Unlike Word2Vec, representation depends on surrounding words

**Key advantage:** Entire sequence processed in parallel → much faster training

# Two flavors of transformers

## BERT family:

- Reads entire text at once (bidirectional)
- Good for: Classification, NER, question answering
- Example: Sentiment analysis

## GPT family:

- Generates text left-to-right (autoregressive)
- Can only look at previous words, not future words
- Good for: Text generation, completion
- Example: “Complete this sentence: The Federal Reserve...”

(Somewhat historical groupings)

# BERT: Pre-training approach

**Key idea:** Pre-train on massive unlabeled text, then fine-tune for specific tasks

## Pre-training objectives:

- **Masked Language Modeling (MLM):**
  - Hide 15% of words, predict them from context
  - Example: “The Federal [MASK] raised rates” → predict “Reserve”
- **Next Sentence Prediction:**
  - Predict if sentence B follows sentence A
  - Helps understand relationships between sentences

# BERT: Impact

**Result:** Rich contextual representations that work well for many tasks

**Why it matters:** Revolutionized NLP in 2018

- Showed power of pre-training + fine-tuning

# ModernBERT (2024)

## Recent improvements to BERT architecture:

- **Longer context:** 8,192 tokens (vs. 512 for original BERT)
- **Better efficiency:** Faster training and inference
- **Updated pre-training:**
  - Trained on more recent data
  - Better optimization techniques
  - No Next Sentence Prediction (didn't help much)
- **Strong performance:** Matches or beats larger models on many tasks

**Key insight:** Architecture improvements matter

- Not just about scale (bigger models), also engineering + training techniques

**For researchers:** ModernBERT is a good default choice for supervised learning

# The rise of Large Language Models (LLMs)

## Scaling up decoder-only transformers:

- GPT-3 (2020): 175B parameters
- GPT-4 (2023): >1T parameters (estimated)
- Claude, Gemini, Llama: Similar scale

## Emergence of new capabilities at scale:

- **In-context learning:** Learn from examples in the prompt
- **Reasoning:** Chain-of-thought, step-by-step problem solving
- **Instruction following:** Do what you ask without fine-tuning
- **Multi-task:** One model, many tasks

# LLM training paradigm

1. **Pre-training:** Predict next word on massive text corpus
2. **Instruction tuning:** Fine-tune on instruction-following examples
3. **RLHF:** Align with human preferences

# Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF)

**Problem:** Pre-trained LLMs are good at predicting text, but not at being helpful

**RLHF process:**

**1. Collect human preferences:**

- Show humans multiple model outputs for same prompt
- Ask: “Which response is better?”

**2. Train reward model:**

- Learn to predict human preferences
- Input: (prompt, response) → Output: quality score

**3. Optimize policy:**

- Use reinforcement learning to maximize reward
- Make model generate responses humans prefer

# RLHF: Results

**Result:** Models that are helpful, harmless, and honest

- Follow instructions better
- Refuse harmful requests
- Admit uncertainty

# Reasoning capabilities

## Chain-of-Thought (CoT) prompting:

- Ask model to “think step by step”
- Dramatically improves performance on reasoning tasks

### Example:

*Without CoT:* “The Federal Reserve raised rates 4 times in 2022 and 3 times in 2023. How many total increases?” → Often incorrect

*With CoT:* “...Think step by step.”

*Model:* “Let me break this down: 2022: 4 rate increases; 2023: 3 rate increases;  
Total:  $4 + 3 = 7$  increases”

**Why it works:** Generating intermediate steps helps model reason