

# PROPERTIES OF POINT ESTIMATORS AND METHODS OF ESTIMATION

Maximum likelihood estimation 3:

Invariance and large-sample properties

$$\mu, \sigma^2 \rightarrow \nu$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \sigma}$$

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2$$

$$\hat{\sigma} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2}$$

## Invariance property of MLEs

- In some situations we might want to reparameterize, say  $\nu = g(\theta)$  for some (known) function  $g$ .
- In such a case we could write the likelihood in terms of  $\nu$ , take the log, find its derivative (with respect to  $\nu$ ), set it equal to zero, solve for  $\nu$ , and check the 2<sup>nd</sup> derivative.
- One **great property** of maximum likelihood is that for any function  $g$ , the MLE of  **$g(\theta)$  is just  $g(\hat{\theta})$** !
- This is called the invariance property of MLEs

$$\eta = \log \sigma$$

$$\hat{\eta} = \log \hat{\sigma} = \log \hat{\sigma}$$

$$f(y|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}$$

$$P(Y=0) = e^{-\lambda}$$

## Invariance property of MLE: Example

- Example 8: If  $Y \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$  then the probability of observing no events is  $P(Y=0) = e^{-\lambda}$ . If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , find the MLE of this probability.

Find the MLE of  $\lambda$

$$L(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!} = e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum y_i} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \right)$$

$$l(\lambda) = -n\lambda + \sum y_i \log \lambda + \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{y_i!} \right)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} l(\lambda) = -n + \frac{\sum y_i}{\lambda} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

check 2<sup>nd</sup> deriv.  $\frac{\sum y_i}{\lambda^2} < 0$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} l(\lambda) = -\frac{\sum y_i}{\lambda^2} < 0$$

$$\frac{\sum y_i}{\lambda} = n$$

$$\lambda = \frac{\sum y_i}{n} = \bar{y} \leftarrow$$

MLE of  $\lambda$  is  $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{y}$

MLE of  $e^{-\lambda}$  is  $e^{-\bar{y}}$

# Large-sample properties of MLEs

- Theorem 3: If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  are iid with pdf  $f(y|\theta)$ , and if  $\hat{\theta}$  is the MLE of  $\theta$ , then (assuming some technical “regularity” conditions)  $\hat{\theta}$  is consistent for  $\theta$ . Furthermore, if  $n$  is large,

$$\hat{\theta} \approx N(\theta, \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2),$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(\hat{\theta}_n) = \theta$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0$$

where

*approx.*

$$\sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2 = \frac{1}{nE\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \log f(Y|\theta)}{\partial \theta^2}\right]}$$

- Note that this variance term depends on the unknown parameter  $\theta$ . Typically, we just substitute  $\hat{\theta}$  in place of  $\theta$ .

## (Large sample) confidence intervals with MLEs

- Since  $\hat{\theta} \sim N(\theta, \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}^2)$ , an approximate  $(1 - \alpha)100\%$  confidence interval for  $\theta$  is

$$\hat{\theta} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sigma_{\hat{\theta}}$$

- Example 9: If  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$ , find a 90% confidence interval for  $\lambda$ . Evaluate this interval if the observed data consist of 50 observations with mean 4.2.

From before, we saw  $\hat{\lambda} = \bar{Y}$

$$f(Y|\lambda) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^Y}{Y!}$$

$$\log f(Y|\lambda) = -\lambda + Y \log \lambda - \log(Y!)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \log f(Y|\lambda) = -1 + \frac{Y}{\lambda}$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \log f(Y|\lambda) = -\frac{Y}{\lambda^2}$$

$$E\left[-\frac{\partial^2 \log f(Y|\lambda)}{\partial \lambda^2}\right] = E\left[\frac{Y}{\lambda^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E[Y] \stackrel{\text{Poisson}}{=} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$\sigma_{\hat{\lambda}}^2 = \frac{1}{n \left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

c.i. for  $\lambda$   $\hat{\lambda} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sigma_{\hat{\lambda}}$  replace with MLE  $\bar{Y}$

$$\bar{Y} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\lambda}{n}}$$

$$\bar{Y} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \sqrt{\frac{\bar{Y}}{n}}$$

$$n = 50$$

$$\bar{Y} = 4.2$$

$$4.2 \pm 1.645 \sqrt{\frac{4.2}{50}}$$

$$4.2 \pm 0.48$$

$$(3.72, 4.68)$$