

BIST P8110: Applied Regression II

18. Intro to Poisson Regression

Qixuan Chen

Department of Biostatistics
Columbia University

Count Data and Poisson Distribution

- ▶ Poisson distribution is often used to model count data.
 - ▶ If Y is the number of occurrences, it can be shown that $E(Y) = \lambda$ and $var(Y) = \lambda$.
- ▶ **Poisson regression** is the simplest regression model that allows to assess the association of count data with multiple covariates simultaneously.

Linear, Logistic and Poisson Regression

- ▶ Comparing linear, logistic, and Poisson regression models:

	Linear	Logistic	Poisson
Outcome variable	Continuous	Binary	Counts
Distribution	Normal	Binomial	Poisson
Parameter of interest	$E(Y) = \mu$	$E(Y) = p$	$E(Y) = \lambda$
Range of mean	$-\infty < \mu < \infty$	$0 < p < 1$	$0 < \lambda < \infty$
Variance	σ^2	$p(1 - p)$	λ

Poisson Regression for Counts

- ▶ Poisson regression model:

$$\log \{E(Y_i|X_i)\} = \log \lambda_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{ip} \quad (1)$$

- ▶ Poisson regression is one type of GLM
 - ▶ Distribution for Y : Poisson distribution
 - ▶ linear predictor: $\eta = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{ip}$
 - ▶ link function: $g(E(Y_i|X_i)) = \log\{E(Y_i|X_i)\} = \eta$

Count Data and Rate

- ▶ Events occur over time (or space), and the length of time (or amount of space) can vary from observation to observation.
- ▶ The rate is specified in terms of units of “exposure”.
 - ▶ The average number of hospital admission in a day
 - ▶ The average number of motor vehicle crashes per 100,000 kms traveled by motor vehicles
 - ▶ For occupational injuries, each worker is exposed for the period they are at work, so the rate may be defined in terms of person-years “at risk”.

Poisson Regression for Rates

- Poisson regression model:

$$\log \{E(Y_i|X_i)\} = \log(n_i) + \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{ip} \quad (2)$$

or

$$\log \left\{ \frac{E(Y_i|X_i)}{n_i} \right\} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \cdots + \beta_p X_{ip} \quad (3)$$

where, n_i is the units of "exposure".

- Equation (2) differs from equation (1) with the inclusion of the term $\log(n_i)$.
- $\log(n_i)$ is called the **offset**. It is a known constant.
- Equation (1) is a special form of equation (2) when $n_i = 1$ for all units.

Interpretation of Coefficients

- ▶ A Poisson regression model for the rate of occupational injuries

$$\log\{E(Y_i|X_i)\} = \log(n_i) + \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_i$$

Y_i = number of injuries for worker i

n_i = number of years at work for worker i

$$X_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{male} \\ 0 & \text{female} \end{cases}$$

- ▶ The **rate ratio** (RR) for males vs females is

$$\text{RR} = \frac{E(Y_i|\text{male})/n_i}{E(Y_j|\text{female})/n_j} = \frac{e^{\beta_0 + \beta_1}}{e^{\beta_0}} = e^{\beta_1}$$

- ▶ The 95% CI for RR is then

$$\left(e^{\hat{\beta}_1 - 1.96 \times \widehat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_1)}, e^{\hat{\beta}_1 + 1.96 \times \widehat{\text{se}}(\hat{\beta}_1)} \right)$$

Interpretation of Coefficients

- ▶ If X is a binary variable as the model in the previous slide, β_1 is interpreted as
 - ▶ The occupational injury rate among male workers is e^{β_1} times of that among female workers.
- ▶ If X is a continuous variable, β_1 is interpreted as:
 - ▶ The rate is multiplied by e^{β_1} for each unit increase in X , or
 - ▶ The rate is multiplied by $e^{10 \times \beta_1}$ for each ten-unit increase in X .

Wald Tests

- ▶ To assess whether or not a covariate X is related to the rate, we have the hypothesis test:

$$H_0 : \beta_1 = 0 \text{ vs } H_a : \beta_1 \neq 0$$

when $\beta_1 = 0$, the rate ratio $RR = 1$.

- ▶ The test statistic takes the form:

$$\frac{\hat{\beta}_1^2}{\widehat{Var}(\hat{\beta}_1)}$$

which is a Wald test and has a chi-squared distribution with 1 df under the null hypothesis.

Likelihood Ratio Tests

- ▶ When we want to test the statistical significance of a group of variables or a categorical variable with more than two levels, we can use the likelihood ratio (LR) test

$$-2 (\log L_{small} - \log L_{big}) \sim \chi^2_{df}$$

where, “small” and “big” refers to the model without or with the variables we are testing. “df” is equal to the difference in the numbers of parameters in the two models.

Deviance

- ▶ Deviance
 - ▶ Deviance = $-2(\log L_c - \log L_s)$, where “c” denotes the current fitted model, “s” denotes the saturated model. The saturated model has a parameter for every observation so that the data are fitted exactly.
 - ▶ It is a quality of fit statistic and can be used to test the goodness of fit.
- ▶ The LR test can be conducted using Deviance
 - ▶ $LR = \text{Deviance}_{small} - \text{Deviance}_{large}$
 - ▶ LR follows a Chi-squared distribution
 - ▶ $df = df_{large} - df_{small}$

Fitted Values

- ▶ The fitted values in a Poisson regression are given by

$$\hat{y}_i = n_i e^{\hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 x_{i1} + \dots + \hat{\beta}_p x_{ip}}.$$

Pearson Residuals

- ▶ As variance and mean are assumed to be the same for the Poisson distribution, the standard error of Y_i is estimated by $\sqrt{\hat{y}_i}$, so the **Pearson residuals** are

$$r_i = \frac{y_i - \hat{y}_i}{\sqrt{\hat{y}_i}}.$$

- ▶ Poorly-fit subjects are those with Pearson residuals beyond ± 2 .

Goodness of Fit Test

- ▶ Hypothesis

H_0 : There is no lack of fit vs. H_α : There is lack of fit

- ▶ Test statistic

$$\sum r_i^2 = \sum \frac{(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2}{\hat{y}_i} \sim \chi_{df}^2$$

where, $df = n - p$, the number of observations minus the total number of parameters in the model.

- ▶ Alternative goodness of fit statistic is Deviance.

Overdispersion

- ▶ Poisson distribution assumes variance and mean to be the same, but data can have more variability than expected. Consequently, variance can be larger than mean. We call it **overdispersion** in Poisson regression.
- ▶ To identify possible overdispersion in the data for a given model
 - ▶ Use the scale parameter, defined as Deviance or Pearson Chi-Square divided by its degrees of freedom ($n - p$).
 - ▶ The scale parameter is also called the dispersion parameter.
 - ▶ If the scale parameter is close to 1, evidence of over-dispersion is lacking.

Overdispersion

- ▶ A scale parameter that is greater than 1 does not necessarily imply overdispersion. It can indicate other problems, such as
 - ▶ an incorrectly specified model (omitted variables, interactions, or non-linear terms),
 - ▶ influential or outlying observations.

Overdispersion

- ▶ If the model is correctly specified and no outliers or influential observations but the scale estimate is still greater than 1, then conclude overdispersion.
- ▶ Overdispersion needs to be “fixed”, otherwise the estimates of the standard errors are too small, which leads to smaller p-values than they should.

Overdispersion

- ▶ Possible approaches to “fix” the overdispersion
 1. Fit a zero-inflated Poisson (ZIP) model when the over-dispersion is caused by an excessive number of 0's.
 2. Fit a negative binomial regression.
 3. Allow the variance function of the Poisson distribution to have a multiplicative overdispersion factor ϕ , such that $\text{Var}(Y) = \phi E(Y)$, where ϕ is equal to the scale parameter (e.g. Deviance/DF).