Midterm Part II Report

Xuange Liang, xl3493

1. (4 points) USPSTF recommends regularly testing the blood sugar (HbA1c) of patients who are:

- 35 years or older, AND
- Overweight or obese (BMI >=25), AND
- Not already diagnosed with any form of diabetes (Type 1, Type 2, or Unknown Type)

Create a binary flag for everyone who meets all of these inclusion criteria. Then, **tell us what percent of those visits had an HbA1c test completed** during the visit or within the previous 12 months (the HbA1c testing variable is called "A1C").

The percentage of those visits having an HbA1c test completed during the visit or within the previous 12 months is 6.37%.

```
. count if uspstf_eligible == 1
  2,434

. local total = r(N)

. count if uspstf_eligible == 1 & a1c_tested == 1
  155
```

2. (3 points) We need to prepare some other variables in the data set for our analyses. Please conduct the following steps to create variables that can be used in your analyses. **Provide the frequency table or summary statistics (mean, SD, min max) for each of the variables, using the inclusion criteria in Question 1:**

- **Sex:** A 0/1 indicator for whether sex is female

Female	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Male	1,181	48.52	48.52
Female	1,253	51.48	100.00
Total	2,434	100.00	

- **Past visits:** The number of past visits the patient has had with this provider in the last 12 months, top coded at 26. New patients should have 0 prior visits.

	Percentiles	Smallest		
1%	0	0		
5%	0	0		
10%	0	0	Obs	2,434
25%	0	0	Sum of wgt.	2,434
50%	2		Mean	2.833607
		Largest	Std. dev.	3.816572
75%	4	26		
90%	7	26	Variance	14.56622
95%	10	26	Skewness	2.857079
99%	20	26	Kurtosis	14.11646

- **Race/ethnicity:** No changes needed (already clean); just provide the frequency table

Race/Ethnicity	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
White	1,866	76.66	76.66
Black	197	8.09	84.76
Other Race	96	3.94	88.70
Hispanic	275	11.30	100.00
Total	2,434	100.00	

3. (4 points) We are also going to use diagnosis information. We want to group diagnoses into CCSR "body system" groups, which are broad diagnosis groupings (e.g., all diseases of the heart and circulatory system are grouped together in a body system called "CIR"). **Using the file called ICD_CCSR_key_final.dta, merge in the CCSR body system categories to your data set, by diagnosis code.** It may help to know that the diagnosis code variable in that file is called "ICD10_4digit" and that the file has one row per diagnosis code.

Briefly **explain why you chose the type of merge** you did (1:1, m:1, 1:m, m:m) and **explain which observations you will keep** in the data set.

I will choose m:1 (many to 1 merge) because multiple visits can have the same diagnosis code". However, I will keep all observation in the master dataset (midtermLSD) because we need to keep all the important visiting records, even though some of them do not match a certain diagnosis group.

```
. tab _merge
```

Matching result from merge	Freq.	Percent	Cum.
Master only (1)	482	4.84	4.84
Matched (3)	9,471	95.16	100.00
Total	9,953	100.00	

4. (4 points) The USPSTF recommends that people from racial/ethnic groups with high diabetes prevalence be monitored for potential diabetes more carefully. We want to test whether there are differences in HbA1c screening by race/ethnicity. **Using the survey weights and the same inclusion criteria as question 1, run a regression to test whether the HbA1c testing varies by race/ethnicity groups**, controlling for age (continuous), number of prior visits (continuous), the female indicator, and CCSR Body System. In 1-2 sentences, **report your findings on whether there are differences by race/ethnicity.**

> **NOTE:** You may use a logistic regression or a linear regression for this (in the real world, either is acceptable for this type of outcome, depending on your academic field/department). Whichever you choose, though, you must appropriately interpret the output.

Null hypothesis: There are no differences in HbA1c testing between different race/ethnicity group. (2.race_eth_num = 3.race_eth_num = 4.race_eth_num = 0)

Alterative hypothesis: Not H_0.

I use logistic regression and the F test to analysis the problem. According to the Stata output, the p-value is smaller than 0.05, which means that, there are statistically significant differences in HbA1c screening rates by race/ethnicity (F-test $p < 0.05$).

Compared to White patients (reference), both Black and Hispanic patients have significantly higher odds of receiving A1C testing (Black: 3.04, Hispanic: 3.97), after controlling for age, prior visits, sex, and diagnosis."

a1c_tested	Odds ratio	Linearized std. err.	t	P>|t|	[95% conf.	interval]
race_eth_num						
Black	3.037096	1.212984	2.78	0.006	1.387119	6.649719
Other Race	1.001348	.7177621	0.00	0.999	.2453343	4.087067
Hispanic	3.967267	1.267613	4.31	0.000	2.119387	7.426303
AGE	1.012409	.0098609	1.27	0.206	.9932438	1.031944
past_visits	1.096183	.0295194	3.41	0.001	1.039765	1.155663
female	1.770807	.4443765	2.28	0.023	1.082242	2.897462
body_system_num						
CIR	6.193758	8.600536	1.31	0.189	.4061224	94.46077
DIG	1.310944	1.989622	0.18	0.858	.0667199	25.75805
EAR	.8226646	1.470001	-0.11	0.913	.0246895	27.41153
END	3.108473	4.490973	0.79	0.433	.1825505	52.93112
EYE	116.335	214.1865	2.58	0.010	3.1389	4311.647
FAC	2.288673	3.215241	0.59	0.556	.1453528	36.03662
GEN	5.1209	7.293311	1.15	0.252	.3130942	83.7563
INF	2.682378	4.71327	0.56	0.575	.0853424	84.30925
INJ	.6816248	1.21057	-0.22	0.829	.0208977	22.23271
MBD	3.882097	5.700701	0.92	0.356	.2176207	69.25205
MUS	1.813656	2.6043	0.41	0.679	.1083678	30.35354
NEO	.0340077	.0666536	-1.73	0.085	.0007267	1.591399
NVS	2.597023	4.060665	0.61	0.542	.1207945	55.83472
RSP	1.673488	2.413481	0.36	0.721	.0987746	28.35305
SKN	4.607984	6.746297	1.04	0.297	.2605589	81.49217
SYM	3.403428	4.850563	0.86	0.390	.2076896	55.77227
_cons	.0105827	.0165818	-2.90	0.004	.0004891	.2289994

```
.
. * Test joint significance of race/ethnicity
. test 2.race_eth_num 3.race_eth_num 4.race_eth_num

Adjusted Wald test

 ( 1)  [a1c_tested]2.race_eth_num = 0
 ( 2)  [a1c_tested]3.race_eth_num = 0
 ( 3)  [a1c_tested]4.race_eth_num = 0

       F(  3,  1071) =    7.19
            Prob > F =    0.0001

.
. * Interpretation
. di _newline(2) "Interpretation:"
```