Chapter 3 Exercises Solutions

| Question | Answer | |
|----------|--------------|--|
| 3.1 | Purpose | Petri nets are a graphical modeling language used to describe the behavior of concurrent, distributed systems. They excel at representing systems with: |
| | | Concurrency: Multiple events happening simultaneously. |
| | | Synchronization: Events needing to occur in a specific order. |
| | | Resource sharing: Limited resources being used by different parts of the system. |
| | Use Cases | System designers: They use Petri nets to model and analyze system behavior during the design phase. |
| | | System analysts: They use Petri nets to understand existing systems and identify potential problems. |
| | | Software developers: They use Petri nets to verify the correctness of concurrent software. |
| | Concepts | Places: Represented by circles, they denote states or conditions within the system. They can hold tokens. |
| | | Transitions: Represented by rectangles, they represent events or actions that cause the system to change from one state to another. |
| | | Tokens: Represented by black dots within places, they signify resources, data items, or control signals flowing through the system. |
| | | Arcs: Directed arrows connecting places and transitions. They define the flow of tokens and how events are triggered. |
| | Relations | Arcs connect places to transitions (input) and transitions to places (output). A transition can only fire (execute) if all its input places have sufficient tokens. Firing a transition removes tokens from input places and adds them to output places. |
| | | Tokens can be simple or complex, carrying additional information about the system state. |
| | | Multiple transitions can be enabled simultaneously, representing concurrent events in the system. |

Chapter 3 Exercises Solutions

| | | Arcs connecting multiple places to a transition enforce | |
|------|--|---|--|
| | | synchronization, requiring all those places to have tokens for | |
| | | the transition to fire. | |
| | Examples | Widely used in embedded systems, cyber-physical systems. | |
| 3.3 | Just remove the 'initial' connection from FiniteStateMachine to State. | | |
| | Add Boolean attribute 'initial' inside State. | | |
| 3.11 | Completeness: Does the meta-model capture all the essential concepts and relationships needed to represent a finite-state machine effectively? Are there any missing elements or functionalities? Consistency: Are the concepts and relationships within the metamodel unambiguous and well-defined? Are there any naming conflicts or inconsistencies in how elements are represented? Accuracy: Does the meta-model accurately reflect the intended behavior and structure of finite-state machines? Does it align with established practices or domain-specific requirements? Usability: Is the meta-model easy to understand and use? Can developers readily create and manipulate state machines based on this meta-model? | | |
| | state Mach 2. Com multi using 3. State state 4. Trans actio 5. State the n | State Machine: Can you create a simple state machine with s and transitions using the meta-model elements (Model, nine, State, Transition)? plex State Machine: Can you model a state machine with ple states, transitions, and potentially nested states (hierarchies) at the meta-model? Attributes: Can you define attributes (like an isActive flag) on s within the meta-model? Sition Triggers/Actions: Can you associate triggers (events) and ns with transitions in the meta-model? Machine Validation: Can you define rules or constraints within neta-model to ensure well-formed state machines (e.g., every must have an outgoing transition)? | |