- practical functional refactoring tips for the imperative world part 2: effectful f#
  - introduction
  - o how did we get here
  - o asynchronous code
  - o asynchrony in f#
  - o mercury-functional
    - mercury code
      - main C#
      - main F#
      - differences
      - log
      - getWhoisResponse C#
      - qetWhoisResponse F#
      - differences
  - conclusion
  - further reading

# practical functional refactoring tips for the imperative world - part 2: effectful f#

## introduction

This article covers a practical to follow refactoring workflow which helps transform difficult to manage legacy code into a more functional, easier to maintain version of itself. It is a follow up to an article I published last quarter which covered specifically the pure components of any program. You can find it here: practical functional refactoring tips for the imperative world. Every computer program consists of a mixture of two types of code:

- pure code no side effects, code executed multiple times with the same input always yields the same results (think Excel spreadsheet)
- **effectful/impure code** code causing side effects: database/file system/network interactions but also things as trivial as telling the time (think distributed system where many machines are working together)

This article focuses on the latter: the effectful code. It should be a bit closer to real life compared to the last article in the "practical functional refactoring tips" series and serve as a reference for the most common day-to-day tasks most programmers handle. The aim is to embrace side effects as something that just needs to happen, but also to keep them manageable and explicit, so that the code is relatively easy to maintain and extend.

Finally, the article should also serve as a good starting point for those wanting to experiment with adopting F# but are not sure where to start.

# how did we get here

Most of 2021 we spent getting familiar with functional programming and with F# by adapting it for the pure responsibilities of our code. That gave everybody the much needed time to get to know the language, the

ecosystem, the C#-F# interop tricks and gotchas. The basic building blocks of any new language.

Now that those aspects are no longer a mystery, we are ready for the more tricky effectful code. Why tricky? Everything boils down to how to work with asynchrous code...

# asynchronous code

The trick to understanding asynchronous programming is to accept what it is/is not about:

- what it is not about:
  - o concurrency when multiple computations execute in overlapping time periods
  - parallelism when multiple computations or several parts of a single computation run at exactly the same time
- what it is about:
  - asynchrony when one or more computations can execute separately from the main program flow (asynchrony quite literally means "not-at-the-same-time")

source - Async programming in F#

# asynchrony in f#

Let's start with the reference material and terminology:

- Async<T> type represents composable asynchronous operations
- Async module contains functions which:
  - o schedule
  - o compose
  - transform

asynchronous operations like:

- Async.RunSynchronously
- Async.Start
- Async.StartChild
- Async.Catch
- Async.AwaitTask
- async { } computation expression/asynchronous expression. All expressions of such form are of type Async<T> for some T. source
- expr := async { aexpr } complete syntax for asynchronous expressions. Selected aexpr examples:
  - do! execute async (Async<unit>)
  - let! execute and bind async (Async<T>)
  - o return! expr execute async expression and return
  - return expr return result of async expression

But here is where it gets interesting and where most implementation challenges are going to surface:

- C# F# interop (Async vs Task)
- exceptions
  - C# exception types are supported
- cancellations
  - C#'s CancellationTokenSource and CancellationToken are both supported
  - cancellation tokens are implicitly propagated through the execution of nested asynchronous operations
  - cancellation tokens are provided at the entry point to the execution of an asynchronous computation, e.g.: Async.RunSynchronously, Async.StartImmediate, Async.Start
  - cancelling nested asynchronous expressions: cancellation tokens are passed implicitly to nested asynchronous expressions, but depending on how asynchronous work gets started, cancellations are handled differently in the nested operations, e.g.:
    - Async.Start If the parent computation is canceled, no child computations are canceled.
    - Async.StartChild If the parent computation is canceled, the child computation is also canceled.

# mercury-functional

In the article I published previously here: practical functional refactoring tips for the imperative world, we went through refactoring the pure responsibilities out of C# mercury-legacy into mercury-pure-functional - a C#-F# hybrid where the pure code was written in F# and the effectful code was written in C#.

This time, we are going to need a new repository: mercury-functional written exclusively in F# and based on mercury-pure-functional.

Previously, we isolated all pure responsibilities and divided them between 4 F# modules:

- Models
- InputValidation
- Mappers
- BusinessLogic

Leaving the Host written in C#. This time, we will be focusing on the Host project.

## mercury code

Compared to mercury—pure—functional, both repositories are quite similar with one exception: the Host project. The project contains 3 functions which represent interesting differences between both implementations and we'll take a close look at all three. Let's start with the main/Main functions (the entry point to the program):

#### main - C#

```
public static async Task Main(string[] args)
{
    Log("function is starting...");
    var apiUrlFormat = args[0];
```

#### main - F#

```
[<EntryPoint>]
let main argv =
    log "function is starting..."

let apiUrlFormat = argv.[0]

let domain = argv.[1]

let job =
    async { return! getWhoisResponse apiUrlFormat domain }

let response = Async.RunSynchronously(job)

printfn $"{response.ToString()}"

log "function execution finished"

0
```

#### differences

- In the C# version, the response object is a result of an asynchronous function (GetWhoisResponse) being started and awaited.
- In the F# version, we have slightly more explicitly defined options of how the asynchronous job can get executed:
  - very much like a traditional C# Task, job only gets created and not immediately invoked
  - response is a result of job getting executed synchronously (no real benefits running it synchronously in our case), but other options are also available, e.g. Async.StartChild (for asynchronous execution).
- ! notation allows us to nearly seamlessly introduce Async type into the code without too many changes.

  The key difference beeing the async vs expression results:
  - let! pat = expr in aexpr execute & bind async. Example:

```
// apiResponse is of type HttpResponseMessage
let! apiResponse = client.GetAsync(apiUrl, cancellationToken) |>
```

```
Async.AwaitTask
```

• let pat = expr in aexpr - execute & bind expression

```
// apiResponse is of type Async<HttpResponseMessage>
let apiResponse = client.GetAsync(apiUrl, cancellationToken) |>
Async.AwaitTask
```

## source - chapter 2, An Overview of F# Asynchronous Programming

• It is possible to pass in a CancellationToken to Async. RunSynchronously and let it trickle down the execution chain (that is done implicitly) but in our case, getWhoisResponse has its own CancellationTokenSource.

## log

Both functions are nearly identical, synchronous and as a result, comparing them is beyond the scope of this article.

#### getWhoisResponse - C#

This is the busiest function of the project, let's take a close look at what's different between both implementations:

```
private static async Task<FSharpOption<Models.WhoisResponse>>
GetWhoisResponse(
   string apiUrlFormat,
   string domain)
{
   FSharpOption<Models.WhoisResponse> response = null;
   InputValidation.whoisInputValidation(apiUrlFormat, domain);
   var apiUrl = string.Format(apiUrlFormat, domain);
   var cancellationTokenSource = new
CancellationTokenSource(TimeSpan.FromSeconds(3));
   var cancellationToken = cancellationTokenSource.Token;
   var client = new HttpClient();
   var apiResponse = await client.GetAsync(
        apiUrl,
        cancellationToken);
   if (apiResponse.IsSuccessStatusCode)
    {
        var serializer = new XmlSerializer(typeof(Models.WhoisRecord));
```

## getWhoisResponse - F#

```
let getWhoisResponse (apiUrlFormat: string) (domain: string) :
Async<WhoisResponse option> =
   InputValidation.whoisInputValidation apiUrlFormat domain
   let apiUrl = String.Format(apiUrlFormat, domain)
    let cancellationTokenSource =
        new CancellationTokenSource(TimeSpan.FromSeconds(3.0))
   let cancellationToken = cancellationTokenSource.Token
   let client = new HttpClient()
   async {
       // call GetAsync
       // translate Task to Async
        // execute the asynchronous expression
        // bind its result to apiResponse
        let! apiResponse =
            client.GetAsync(apiUrl, cancellationToken)
            |> Async.AwaitTask
        if apiResponse.IsSuccessStatusCode then
            let serializer = XmlSerializer(typeof<WhoisRecord>)
            // call ReadAsStreamAsync
            // translate Task to Async
            // execute the asynchronous expression
            // bind its result to stream
            let! stream =
                apiResponse.Content.ReadAsStreamAsync(cancellationToken)
                |> Async.AwaitTask
            // deserialize stream to obj
            // downcast to WhoisRecord
            let whoisRecord =
```

```
serializer.Deserialize(stream) :?> WhoisRecord

return Mappers.toWhoisResponse DateTime.Now domain whoisRecord
else
 return Option.None
}
```

#### differences

- code spanning from input validation to <a href="httpClient">httpClient</a> creation is virtually identical in both scenarios, but what deserves special attention is that the <a href="httpClient">CancellationTokenSource</a> classes are usable both from C# and F#. What follows is where the more notable differences start.
- while the C# version does not need the async code block, the F# counterpart does. That allows for
  more visually pleasing, easier to follow syntax inside that block on the F# side (leveraging the ! notation).
   It is not uncommon for asynchronous F# functions to only consist of one async block and nothing else
  outside it.
- while the C# return type is Task<FSharpOption<Models.WhoisResponse>>, the F# return type is Async<WhoisResponse option>. C# relies on the Task type to describe asynchrony while F# relies on the Async type. Conversions and interop between both is going to be covered in following bullet points. F#'s Async return type is a result of using the async block and what should be noted is that while on the C# side, we start and await the asynchronous work, on the F# side, we only specify how it should be executed. The asynchronous expression is not actually started in the getWhoisResponse function. It is the caller's responsibility to execute the returned Async object and in our case the caller does it like so:

```
let job =
   async { return! getWhoisResponse apiUrlFormat domain }
let response = Async.RunSynchronously(job)
```

That is one of the key differences between C# and F# approaches to asynchrony.

- HttpClient: it is important to note that while the reusable (C#/F#) HttpClient methods are
  executed the same way in both languages, there are two critical differences:
  - F#'s let! execution and binding of the asynchronous expression vs C#'s await. Both result in creating an object of type HttpResponseMessage.
  - while the C# code (when unawaited) returns a Task, the F# code needs to translate that Task into a type it depends on for asynchrony (Async). To do that, we simply pipe the returned Task into the Async's AwaitTask which translates Task to Async (on which we can use the ! notation).

The same we can observe in the lines using ReadAsStreamAsync and that is basically how C# - F# interop works: Tasks get translated to Async objects. More details and examples in a very comprehensive paper F# creators produced: The F# Asynchronous Programming Model.

• Casting. While the C# part's casting looks familiar:

```
(Models.WhoisRecord)serializer.Deserialize(reader)
```

its F# counterpart is slightly more exotic:

```
serializer.Deserialize(stream) :?> WhoisRecord
```

The : ?> operator performs a dynamic downcast, which means that the success of the cast is determined at run time. source - downcasting

## conclusion

Those are the most notable differences between asynchrony in both languages. F# does not introduce any revolutionary concepts in comparison to C#, the differences are more subtle. What the engineers gain, though, is an asynchrnous programming model that is more difficult to use **incorrectly**, e.g. asynchrnonous work cannot be fired-and-forgotten by mistake - if fire-and-forget is the intention, such work needs to be kicked off explicitly and intentionally (as opposed to C#'s await omission, which is a mistake in most scenarios). This article gently introduces fundamental concepts of asynchronous programming in F# and should serve as a good starting point for introducing F# and experimenting with it. In upcoming articles we will share more low level details, common pitfalls of asynchronous code (both in C# and in F#) and how to avoid them.

The overall objective of the "practical functional refactoring tips" series of articles is not necessarily to introduce F#, but rather to expose the reader to a more functional way of thinking and breaking down programming problems. Doing so often tends to lead to producing more maintainable, concise, easier to follow code. Having mastered the generally applicable basics of functional thinking (like the explicit separation of pure and effectful responsibilities), it should not be a big challenge to introduce any language from the large, and constantly growing, functional family of languages like F#, Haskell, Erlang, Scala, etc.

# further reading

- Async programming in F#
- The F# Asynchronous Programming Model
- F# Async Guide
- Symbol and operator reference
- Casting and conversions (F#)