# Mathematics for Computer Science Linear Algebra (Part 2) Least Squares

Karl Southern

**Durham University** 

February 24th, 2025

Thanks to Andrei Krokhin and William Moses for use of slides.

#### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- Wrapping Things Up

### Roadmap for Lectures 5-8

- End Goal: Application linear regression.
- Using: Least Squares.
- Using: QR decomposition.
- Requires knowledge of some basics: Inner product spaces and Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalisation.

### Roadmap for Lectures 5-8

- End Goal: Application linear regression.
- Using: Least Squares.
- Using: QR decomposition.
- Requires knowledge of some basics: Inner product spaces and Gram-Schmidt Orthogonalisation.

Now we recap last lectures & look at what we'll cover today.

#### Last Lecture Reminder

- Orthonormal = consisting of unit vectors, which are pairwise orthogonal
- Every finite-dimensional inner product space V has an orthonormal basis, which can be constructed from any basis of V via the Gram-Schmidt process.

#### Last Lecture Reminder

- Orthonormal = consisting of unit vectors, which are pairwise orthogonal
- Every finite-dimensional inner product space V has an orthonormal basis, which can be constructed from any basis of V via the Gram-Schmidt process.
- $\bullet$  For a subspace W of an inner product space V, its orthogonal complement is

$$W^{\perp} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in V \mid \langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{x} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \mathbf{u} \in W \}$$

• If V (or even only W) is finite-dimensional then every vector  $\mathbf{u} \in V$  can be uniquely expressed as  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$  where  $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W^{\perp}$ .

Then  $\mathbf{w}_1 = \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{u}$  is the orthogonal projection of  $\mathbf{u}$  onto W.

## Today's Lecture Contents

- QR decomposition
- Least squares solutions of inconsistent linear systems

#### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- Wrapping Things Up

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent columns  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ Let  $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$  be the orthonormal set obtained by applying Gram-Schmidt to  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ . How does  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1| \dots |\mathbf{u}_n]$  relate to the matrix  $Q = [\mathbf{q}_1| \dots |\mathbf{q}_n]$ ?

Let A be an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent columns  $\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ Let  $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$  be the orthonormal set obtained by applying Gram-Schmidt to  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ . How does  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1| \ldots |\mathbf{u}_n]$  relate to the matrix  $Q = [\mathbf{q}_1| \ldots |\mathbf{q}_n]$ ? Since  $\{\mathbf{q}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{q}_n\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $span(\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ , we have

$$\mathbf{u}_{1} = \langle \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{1} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{1} + \langle \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{2} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{2} + \ldots + \langle \mathbf{u}_{1}, \mathbf{q}_{n} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{n}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{2} = \langle \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{1} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{1} + \langle \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{2} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{2} + \ldots + \langle \mathbf{u}_{2}, \mathbf{q}_{n} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{n}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{n} = \langle \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{q}_{1} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{1} + \langle \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{q}_{2} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{2} + \ldots + \langle \mathbf{u}_{n}, \mathbf{q}_{n} \rangle \mathbf{q}_{n}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textbf{u}_1 & = & \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \\ \textbf{u}_2 & = & \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \\ & \vdots \\ \textbf{u}_n & = & \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \textbf{u}_1 & = & \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_1, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \\ \textbf{u}_2 & = & \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_2, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \\ & \vdots \\ \textbf{u}_n & = & \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_1 \rangle \textbf{q}_1 + \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_2 \rangle \textbf{q}_2 + \ldots + \langle \textbf{u}_n, \textbf{q}_n \rangle \textbf{q}_n \end{array}$$

or, in the matrix form,

$$A = [\mathbf{u}_1| \dots | \mathbf{u}_n] = [\mathbf{q}_1| \dots | \mathbf{q}_n] \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = QR.$$

What can we say about the matrix R?

From Gram-Schmidt, for each  $j \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j-1}$ . Hence R is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

What can we say about the matrix R?

From Gram-Schmidt, for each  $j \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j-1}$ . Hence R is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Gram-Schmidt,  $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle > 0.$ 

What can we say about the matrix R?

From Gram-Schmidt, for each  $j \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbf{q}_j$  is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{j-1}$ . Hence R is

$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{u}_n, \mathbf{q}_n \rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

From Gram-Schmidt,  $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q}_i \rangle = \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \frac{1}{||\mathbf{v}_i||} \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle > 0.$ 

#### Theorem (QR Decomposition)

If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent column vectors, then it can be factored as

$$A = QR$$

where Q has orthonormal columns and R is an invertible upper triangular matrix.

#### Theorem (QR Decomposition)

If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent column vectors, then it can be factored as

$$A = QR$$

where Q has orthonormal columns and R is an invertible upper triangular matrix.

#### Theorem (QR Decomposition)

If A is an  $m \times n$  matrix with linearly independent column vectors, then it can be factored as

$$A = QR$$

where Q has orthonormal columns and R is an invertible upper triangular matrix.

For m = n, this theorem says that every invertible matrix has a QR-decomposition.

## Example 17.1 QR decomposition

Let 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
, find a QR decomposition of  $A$ .

**Step 1.** From Ex 16.2 we have:  $\mathbf{q}_1 = (\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}), \ \mathbf{q}_2 = (-\frac{2}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}), \ \mathbf{q}_3 = (0, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}})$ 

Step 2. Compute 
$$\begin{pmatrix} \langle \mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{q}_1 \rangle \\ 0 & \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{q}_2 \rangle \\ 0 & 0 & \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{q}_3 \rangle \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 3. 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{-2}{\sqrt{6}} & 0\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}}\\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{3} & \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\\ 0 & \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

#### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- Wrapping Things Up

### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- Wrapping Things Up

Let  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n]$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

Let  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n]$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

The column space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  and defined as

$$C(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{x_1\mathbf{u}_1 + x_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{u}_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

Let  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n]$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

The column space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  and defined as

$$C(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{x_1\mathbf{u}_1 + x_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{u}_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

which we can re-write in matrix notation as

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{ [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n] \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

Let  $A = [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n]$  be an  $m \times n$  matrix with column vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ .

The column space of A is a subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  and defined as

$$C(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{x_1\mathbf{u}_1 + x_2\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + x_n\mathbf{u}_n \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

which we can re-write in matrix notation as

$$\mathcal{C}(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{ [\mathbf{u}_1 | \mathbf{u}_2 | \dots | \mathbf{u}_n] \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \mid \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n \}$$

and hence also as

$$C(A) = span(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}.$$

- Assume that we have an inconsistent linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- Can we find a vector that comes as close as possible to a being a solution?

- Assume that we have an inconsistent linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- Can we find a vector that comes as close as possible to a being a solution?

### Definition (Least Squares Problem)

Given a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  with m equations and n variables, find a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  that minimises  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  (w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ).

We call such a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  a least squares solution to the system, the vector  $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$  is the least squares error vector, and the number  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  is the least squares error.

- Assume that we have an inconsistent linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- Can we find a vector that comes as close as possible to a being a solution?

### Definition (Least Squares Problem)

Given a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  with m equations and n variables, find a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  that minimises  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  (w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ).

We call such a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  a least squares solution to the system, the vector  $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$  is the least squares error vector, and the number  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  is the least squares error.

"Least squares" - because the norm is the (square root of the) sum of squares:

if 
$$Ax = a$$
 then  $||\mathbf{b} - Ax|| = ||\mathbf{b} - a|| = \sqrt{(b_1 - a_1)^2 + \ldots + (b_m - a_m)^2}$ .

- Assume that we have an inconsistent linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- Can we find a vector that comes as close as possible to a being a solution?

### Definition (Least Squares Problem)

Given a linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  with m equations and n variables, find a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  that minimises  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  (w.r.t. the Euclidean inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ).

We call such a vector  $\mathbf{x}$  a least squares solution to the system, the vector  $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$  is the least squares error vector, and the number  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$  is the least squares error.

"Least squares" - because the norm is the (square root of the) sum of squares:

if 
$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a}$$
 then  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}|| = ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{a}|| = \sqrt{(b_1 - a_1)^2 + \ldots + (b_m - a_m)^2}$ .

If we trust different measurements/equations differently, we can use the weighted Euclidean inner product to compute the norm and get the weighted least squares.

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

For any vector 
$$\mathbf{w} \in W$$
, write  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}\right)}_{\text{in } W^{\perp}} + \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}\right)}_{\text{in } W}$ .

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

For any vector 
$$\mathbf{w} \in W$$
, write  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}\right)}_{\mathbf{i} = W} + \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}\right)}_{\mathbf{i} = W}$ .

By Pythagoras' theorem (if 
$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$$
 then  $||\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}||^2 = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}||^2$ ),

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

For any vector 
$$\mathbf{w} \in W$$
, write  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}\right)}_{\text{in } W^\perp} + \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}\right)}_{\text{in } W}.$  By Pythagoras' theorem (if  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  then  $||\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}||^2 = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}||^2$ ), we have  $||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2 = ||\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}||^2 + ||\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2$ 

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

For any vector 
$$\mathbf{w} \in W$$
, write  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}\right)}_{\text{in } W^{\perp}} + \underbrace{\left(\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}\right)}_{\text{in } W}.$  By Pythagoras' theorem (if  $\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$  then  $||\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}||^2 = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}||^2$ ), we have  $||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2 = ||\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}||^2 + ||\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2 \ge ||\mathbf{b} - \mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}||^2$ .

#### Theorem

If W is a finite-dimensional subspace in an inner product space V and  $\mathbf{b} \in V$  then  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W in the sense that

$$||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}|| \le ||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||$$

for each vector  $\mathbf{w} \in W$ , and the inequality is strict for all  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

#### Proof.

For any vector 
$$\mathbf{w} \in W$$
, write  $\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w} = \underbrace{\left(\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}\right)}_{\text{in } W^{\perp}} + \underbrace{\left(\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}\right)}_{\text{in } W}$ .

By Pythagoras' theorem (if 
$$\langle \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \rangle = 0$$
 then  $||\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}||^2 = ||\mathbf{u}||^2 + ||\mathbf{v}||^2$ ), we have

$$||\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2 = ||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbf{b}||^2 + ||\operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{w}||^2 \ge ||\mathbf{b} - \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbf{b}||^2.$$

Moreover, the inequality is strict whenever  $\mathbf{w} \neq \operatorname{proj}_{\mathcal{W}} \mathbf{b}$ .

#### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- 4 Wrapping Things Up

- Let  $W = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the column space of A.
- Since  $\operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W, least squares solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  (i.e., vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  minimising  $||\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}||$ ) are exactly solutions to

$$A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_{W}\mathbf{b}.$$

• We can compute  $\operatorname{proj}_{W}\mathbf{b}$  and solve the system, but there's a more useful way.

- Let  $W = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the column space of A.
- Since  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W, least squares solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  (i.e., vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  minimising  $||\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}||$ ) are exactly solutions to

$$A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}.$$

- ullet We can compute  $\mathrm{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  and solve the system, but there's a more useful way.
- The representation  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$  where  $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W^{\perp}$  is unique, so the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is equivalent to the condition  $\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$ .

- Let  $W = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the column space of A.
- Since  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W, least squares solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  (i.e., vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  minimising  $||\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}||$ ) are exactly solutions to

$$A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_{W}\mathbf{b}.$$

- We can compute  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  and solve the system, but there's a more useful way.
- The representation  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$  where  $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W^{\perp}$  is unique, so the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is equivalent to the condition  $\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$ .
- The columns of A are the rows of  $A^T$ , so the condition  $\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$  is equivalent to  $A^T(\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ ,

- Let  $W = \{A\mathbf{x} \mid \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$  be the column space of A.
- Since  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is the best approximation to  $\mathbf{b}$  from W, least squares solutions to  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  (i.e., vectors  $\mathbf{x}$  minimising  $||\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}||$ ) are exactly solutions to

$$A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_{W} \mathbf{b}.$$

- We can compute  $\operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  and solve the system, but there's a more useful way.
- The representation  $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{w}_1 + \mathbf{w}_2$  where  $\mathbf{w}_1 \in W$  and  $\mathbf{w}_2 \in W^{\perp}$  is unique, so the equation  $A\mathbf{x} = \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$  is equivalent to the condition  $\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$ .
- The columns of A are the rows of  $A^T$ , so the condition  $\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x} \in W^{\perp}$  is equivalent to  $A^T(\mathbf{b} A\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{0}$ , which we can re-write as

$$A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$$
.

• This is the normal equation (or normal system) associated with  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .

On the previous slide, we proved the following.

#### Theorem

- For every linear system  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ , the associated normal system  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$  is consistent, and its solutions are exactly least square solutions of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ .
- **2** Moreover, if W is the column space of A and  $\mathbf{x}_0$  is any least squares solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  then  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \operatorname{proj}_W \mathbf{b}$ .

## Example 17.2: Computing Least Squares Solutions

Find least squares solutions for the linear system, using the euclidean dot product.

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x_1-x_2&=&4\\ 3x_1+2x_2&=&1\\ -2x_1+4x_2&=&3 \end{array} \quad A\mathbf{x}=\left(\begin{array}{cc} 1&-1\\ 3&2\\ -2&4 \end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{c} x_1\\ x_2 \end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c} 4\\ 1\\ 3 \end{array}\right)=\mathbf{b}.$$

The associated normal system  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = A^T \mathbf{b}$  is

$$\left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & -1 \\ 3 & 2 \\ -2 & 4 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{rrr} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 3 & -2 \\ -1 & 2 & 4 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{rrr} 4 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{array}\right).$$

Computing the matrix products, we get

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 14 & -3 \\ -3 & 21 \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 10 \end{array}\right).$$

Solving this yields a unique least squares solution  $x_1 = 17/95$  and  $x_2 = 143/285$ .

(If needed, can now easily compute the error vector  $\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}$  and error  $||\mathbf{b} - A\mathbf{x}||$ .)

Karl Southern (Durham University)

### Outline

- Plan for Today
- QR Decomposition of Matrices
- 3 Least Squares Solutions of Inconsistent Linear Systems
  - Setting Things Up
  - Actually Finding a Least Squares Solution
- Wrapping Things Up

### Example exam question

(b) Give the QR decomposition of 
$$A=\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2\\ 2 & 2\\ 2 & 1\\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 .

[10 Marks]

## Wrapping Things Up

### Today:

- QR decomposition
- Least squares fitting to data

#### Next time:

• Linear regression

The End

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

### Proof.

• The columns of A are linearly indep iff  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

#### Proof.

- The columns of A are linearly indep iff  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
  - $A^TA$  is square, so it is invertible iff  $A^TAx = 0$  has only the trivial solution.

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

#### Proof.

- The columns of A are linearly indep iff  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- $A^TA$  is square, so it is invertible iff  $A^TAx = 0$  has only the trivial solution.
- Each solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is a solution of  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

#### Proof.

- The columns of A are linearly indep iff  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- $A^TA$  is square, so it is invertible iff  $A^TA\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- Each solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is a solution of  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a solution of  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $A^T A \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

Then  $A\mathbf{x}_0$  is both in the column space of A and in the null space of  $A^T$  (which are orthogonal complements of each other). Hence,  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .

#### Theorem

For any  $m \times n$  matrix A, A has linearly independent columns iff  $A^TA$  is invertible.

#### Proof.

- The columns of A are linearly indep iff  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution.
- $A^TA$  is square, so it is invertible iff  $A^TAx = 0$  has only the trivial solution.
- Each solution of  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  is a solution of  $A^T A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{x}_0$  be a solution of  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ , i.e.,  $A^T A \mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .
  - Then  $A\mathbf{x}_0$  is both in the column space of A and in the null space of  $A^T$  (which are orthogonal complements of each other). Hence,  $A\mathbf{x}_0 = \mathbf{0}$ .
- Thus  $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$  has only the trivial solution iff the same is true for  $A^T A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ .