## 1. My Answer: O(n log(n))

Mv reason:

If we divide input into "M" parts each time in merge sort, complexity will be T(n) = Mn(log(n) to the base M), M is equal to 3 for this question, and usually M = 2 or 3 will be an efficient merge sort. So according Master's Theorem:

$$=> T(n) = 3T(n/3) + O(n) = O(n log_3(n))$$

The Complexity is O(n log(n))

## 2. My Answer: O(n log(n))

My reason:

My reason:

Top level: Even Pivide

$$\begin{aligned}
&T_{e}(n) = \sum_{n} T_{e}(\frac{n}{n}) + O(n) \\
&\text{Alt level: Band Divide} \\
&T_{b}(n) = T_{b}(n-1) + T(1) + O(n)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= T_{b}(n-1) + O(n)$$

$$= T_{b}(n-1) + O(n)$$
Therefore, by master's theorem  $\Rightarrow T_{e}(n) = O(n \log(n))$ 

## 3. My Answer: Insertion sort

My reason:

My reason:

The worst case of Quicksort is 
$$O(n^2)$$
, when sorted, or reverse sorted.

The expected complexity of Quicksort is  $O(n \log n)$ .

The worst case of insertion sort is  $O(n^2)$ .

Alternative complexity mesure for insertion sort is  $O(\# inversions)$ , so could get  $O(n)$  when  $\# inversion$  is  $O(n)$ .

I will choose insertion sort to sort the list by date.

4. My Answer: O(kn)

```
5. My Answer: O(kn &g(k))
My reason
```

Phase |: Merge pairs Work phase |: Merge pairs \( \frac{k}{2} \) n = kn

Phase 2: Merge \( \frac{k}{2} \) Novk phase 2: Merge \( \frac{k}{4} \) pairs cost 4n = kn

work in any phase: kn

# phase = log\_2(k)

Therefore, total work = 0 (Kn log(k))

The complexity of this merge operation is \( \frac{0}{2} \) (kn log(k)) #