

Nash fairness solutions for balanced TSP

Minh Hieu NGUYEN, Mourad BAILOU, Viet Hung NGUYEN, Thi Quynh Trang VO

LIMOS - CLERMONT AUVERGNE UNIVERSITY, FRANCE



Contents

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Nash Fairness (NF) solutions for the balanced TSP (BTSP)
- 3 Algorithms for finding extreme NF solutions
- 4 Numerical results
- 5 Conclusion

The Balanced Traveling Salesman Problem (BTSP)

- Introduced by Larusic and Punnen in 2011 (LP2011).
- Undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ with edge cost $c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{R}_+$.
- Finding a Hamiltonian tour minimizing the **max-min distance**: difference between the maximum edge cost and the minimum one in a solution tour.

Threshold heuristic for solving BTSP (LP2011)

- Sorting distinct values of edge cost: $z_1 < \dots < z_p$.
- Finding a pair (z_i, z_j) satisfying
 - 1 a Hamiltonian cycle with edge set $\{(i, j) \in E \mid z_i \leq c_{i,j} \leq z_j\}$.
 - 2 $z_j - z_i$ as small as possible.

MIP formulation for the BTSP

MIP formulation

$$\min t \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{s.t. } \sum_{j \in [n]} x_{j,i} = \sum_{j \in [n]} x_{i,j} = 1 \quad \forall i \in [n] \quad (1b)$$

$$\sum_{i \in H} \sum_{j \neq i, j \in H} x_{i,j} \leq |H| - 1 \quad \forall H \subset V \quad (1c)$$

$$t \geq u - l \quad (1d)$$

$$u \geq c_{i,j} x_{i,j} \quad \forall i, j \in [n] \quad (1e)$$

$$l \leq \sum_{j \in [n]} c_{i,j} x_{i,j} \quad \forall i \in [n] \quad (1f)$$

$$x_{i,j} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i, j \in [n]. \quad (1g)$$

Numerical results for the BTSP (1)

Table 1: Solutions for the BTSP

| Instance | Heuristic(LP2011) | MIP formulation |
|----------|-------------------|-----------------|
| att48 | 192 | 190 |
| gr48 | 48 | 46 |
| berlin52 | 151 | 149 |
| brazil58 | 1125 | 1097 |

- Better solutions in several instances with MIP formulation.

Numerical results for the BTSP (2)

Table 2: Optimal solutions for the TSP and the BTSP

| Instance | TSP | | BTSP | |
|----------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| | Total cost | Max-min | Total cost | Max-min |
| gr21 | 2707 | 328 | 8630 | 119 |
| gr24 | 1272 | 83 | 3886 | 33 |
| fri26 | 937 | 118 | 2458 | 21 |
| bays29 | 2020 | 140 | 6757 | 38 |

- Drawback of optimal solutions for the TSP and the BTSP
 - 1 TSP: efficient total cost but possibly inefficient max-min distance.
 - 2 BTSP: efficient max-min distance but possibly inefficient total cost.

Motivation

- Solution with better trade-off between total cost and max-min distance.
- **Nash Fairness** (NF) solutions achieving a Nash equilibrium between two players in the context of the BTSP.

Proportional fairness (Bertsimas et al - 2011)

Nash equilibrium

- Two players problem: the percentage increase in the utility of one player is greater than the percentage decrease in the utility of the other player.
- Multiple players problem: the **aggregate proportional change** is **not positive**.

Definition 1

$x^{NF} \in U$ be a NF solution for n players problem if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{u_j(x) - u_j(x^{NF})}{u_j(x^{NF})} \leq 0, \forall x \in U,$$

where $u_j(x) > 0, \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \forall x \in U$.

Characterization of NF solutions for the BTSP

- For multiple players problem, we prefer **greater** values of utility functions.
- For the BTSP, we prefer **smaller** values of cost functions (i.e., total cost and max-min distance).
- The aggregate proportional change should be **not negative**.

Definition 2

Let P, Q be the total cost and max-min distance in a feasible solution for the BTSP, then $(P^*, Q^*) \in \mathcal{S}$ is a NF solution if and only if

$$\frac{P - P^*}{P^*} + \frac{Q - Q^*}{Q^*} \geq 0 \iff \frac{P}{P^*} + \frac{Q}{Q^*} \geq 2, \forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S},$$

Remark

If $Q = 0$ then (P, Q) is a NF solution.

Existence of NF solutions

Theorem 1

$(P^*, Q^*) = \operatorname{argmin}_{(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}} PQ$ is a NF solution.

Proof

If $P^* Q^* = 0$ then $Q^* = 0$ and (P^*, Q^*) is a NF solution.

If $P^* Q^* > 0$ then $\forall (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}$ we have $PQ \geq P^* Q^* > 0$. Hence

$$\frac{P}{P^*} + \frac{Q}{Q^*} \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{PQ}{P^* Q^*}} \geq 2,$$

- There always exists a NF solution that minimizes PQ .
- Finding such a solution may be difficult as PQ is a concave function.

Remark

Let (P, Q) and (P', Q') be two different feasible solutions for the BTSP. The two inequalities

$$\frac{P'}{P} + \frac{Q'}{Q} \geq 2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{P}{P'} + \frac{Q}{Q'} \geq 2.$$

may be satisfied simultaneously.

- *Efficient Nash Fairness* (ENF) solution with smallest value of P .
- *Balanced Nash Fairness* (BNF) solution with smallest value of Q .
- The ENF solution as well as BNF solution is unique.

Finding extreme NF solutions

- NF solutions can be obtained by minimizing a linear combination of P and Q

$$\mathcal{P}(\alpha) = \min \alpha P + Q \text{ s.t } (P, Q) \in \mathcal{S},$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ is the coefficient to be determined.

Theorem 2

$(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}$ is a NF solution if and only if (P, Q) is an optimal solution for $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ with $\alpha = \frac{Q}{P}$.

Corollary

If $(P, Q) \in \mathcal{S}$ is an optimal solution for $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ and $T_\alpha := \alpha P - Q = 0$ then (P, Q) is a NF solution.

Algorithm for finding the ENF solution

Algorithm 1

Input: An instance of the BTSP with a MIP formulation for $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$.

Output: A Hamiltonian tour corresponding to the ENF solution.

- 1: $\alpha \leftarrow 1$
- 2: **repeat**
- 3: solve $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ to obtain (P, Q) and solution tour X
- 4: $T \leftarrow \alpha P - Q$
- 5: $\alpha \leftarrow Q/P$
- 6: **until** $T = 0$
- 7: return X .

Algorithm for finding the ENF solution (2)

Convergence of Algorithm 1

- $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ as the sequence constructed by Algorithm 1.
- $\alpha_i \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} \forall i \geq 0$.
- $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1} \iff T_i = 0$.

Number of iterations

- Iterations of Algorithm 1 explore distinct Pareto-optimal solutions.
- Number of distinct Pareto-optimal is bounded by n^4 .
- Algorithm 1 terminates after at most n^4 iterations.

Algorithm for finding the BNF solution

Algorithm 2

Input: An instance of the BTSP with a MIP formulation for $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$.

Output: A Hamiltonian tour corresponding to the BNF solution.

- 1: $\alpha \leftarrow 0$
- 2: **repeat**
- 3: solve $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ to obtain (P, Q) and solution tour X
- 4: $T \leftarrow \alpha P - Q$
- 5: $\alpha \leftarrow Q/P$
- 6: **until** $T = 0$
- 7: return X .

Table 3: Optimal solutions for the TSP, the BTSP and the NFTSP

| Instance | TSP | | ENFTSP | | BTSP | | BNFTSP | |
|-----------|------|------|--------|------|-------|-----|--------|------|
| | P | Q | P | Q | P | Q | P | Q |
| burma14 | 3323 | 472 | 4986 | 134 | 4986 | 134 | 4986 | 134 |
| ulysses16 | 6859 | 1452 | 7047 | 1399 | 14032 | 868 | 13670 | 868 |
| gr17 | 2085 | 311 | 2227 | 234 | 4138 | 119 | 3346 | 139 |
| gr21 | 2707 | 328 | 2989 | 278 | 8630 | 115 | 5945 | 120 |
| ulysses22 | 7013 | 1490 | 7070 | 1471 | 19168 | 868 | 7070 | 1471 |
| gr24 | 1272 | 83 | 1282 | 81 | 3886 | 33 | 3847 | 33 |
| fri26 | 937 | 118 | 980 | 82 | 2458 | 21 | 2447 | 21 |
| bays29 | 2020 | 140 | 3449 | 59 | 6757 | 38 | 4558 | 44 |
| bayg29 | 1610 | 86 | 1817 | 63 | 4252 | 29 | 3246 | 35 |

Numerical results (2)

Table 4: The aggregate proportional change

| Instance | Aggregate proportional change | |
|-----------|-------------------------------|----------------|
| | ENFTSP vs TSP | BNFTSP vs BTSP |
| burma14 | 2.188 | 0.000 |
| ulysses16 | 0.011 | 0.026 |
| gr17 | 0.265 | 0.092 |
| gr21 | 0.085 | 0.409 |
| ulysses22 | 0.004 | 1.130 |
| gr24 | 0.016 | 0.010 |
| fri26 | 0.395 | 0.004 |
| bays29 | 0.958 | 0.346 |
| bayg29 | 0.251 | 0.138 |

Numerical results (3)

Table 5: Running time for solving the TSP, the BTSP and the NFTSP

| Instance | TSP | BTSP | ENFTSP | | BNFTSP | |
|-----------|-------|-------|---------|------|---------|------|
| | Time | Time | Time | Iter | Time | Iter |
| burma14 | 0.10 | 0.15 | 1.40 | 4 | 0.70 | 2 |
| ulysses16 | 0.51 | 0.70 | 4.42 | 3 | 17.56 | 3 |
| gr17 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 5.53 | 3 | 8.63 | 4 |
| gr21 | 0.01 | 0.68 | 1.24 | 3 | 18.60 | 3 |
| ulysses22 | 14.16 | 16.80 | 356.97 | 3 | 651.38 | 4 |
| gr24 | 0.07 | 1.85 | 1.17 | 3 | 49.17 | 3 |
| fri26 | 0.13 | 2.72 | 24.39 | 3 | 55.06 | 3 |
| bays29 | 0.55 | 7.95 | 2033.04 | 5 | 2471.25 | 3 |
| bayg29 | 0.31 | 4.12 | 44.92 | 3 | 2567.73 | 4 |

Conclusion

Our works

- MIP formulation for solving the BTSP.
- Definition of NF solutions for the BTSP.
- Newton-like iterative algorithms for finding extreme NF solutions with polynomial iterations.

Future works

- Improving CPU time for finding extreme NF solutions, e.g find a way for not solving $\mathcal{P}(\alpha)$ from scratch at each iteration.
- Applying for other multi-objective optimization problems with positive objective functions.