

Martin Heidegger vs Alan Watts

by Sven Nilsen, 2022

In this paper I show that the existential philosophies of Martin Heidegger and Alan Watts are related, by using a combination of Joker Calculus and Naive Zen Logic.

Martin Heidegger^[1]:

(Being ? Being Thinker) ? Thinker Joker Thinker

Alan Watts^[2]:

(Being ? Being Joker Being) ? Thinker Being

Here, “Being” and “Thinker” are the two sides of Descartes’^[3] Cogito “I think, therefore I am”^[4]. These two sides are taken as Seshatism^[5] (Being) and Platonism^[6] (Thinker) in Joker Calculus^[7]. What these two philosophies have in common is the following grammar in Naive Zen Logic^[8]:

(X ? !x) ? x

Naive Zen Logic does not have a negation operator `!` for agents, but one can use this operator from Joker Calculus, since it normalizes to a dual agent. Here, the variant Closed Joker Calculus is used.

Notice that the `?` operator in Naive Zen Logic reflects the joker operator `?` in Joker Calculus. The `X ? !x` part is something that `x` is thinking about, which is at the surface vs depth level. In Joker Calculus, `?x = (x, !x)` , so the `!x` aligns the surface level with what is thought about.

One might write the grammar as a form of application:

(?x)(X)

So, Joker Calculus might be viewed as a point-free^[9] syntax for Naive Zen Logic.

Some intuitions about the two philosophies:

- Heidegger thinks about being before thinking
- Traditional Heideggarians opposes Alan Watts’ “Being Joker Being” in relation to “Being”, because they view the “being before thinking” as authentic, that is “Being Thinker”
- Alan Watts views “being before thinking” as inauthentic, that is “Being Joker Being”, because he thinks of himself as authentic, which is primarily a form of being, but as a side note is a thinker, therefore “Thinker Being”

It follows that there are two alternative philosophies with same grammar:

(Being ? Thinker Joker Thinker) ? Being Thinker
(Being ? Thinker Being) ? Being Joker Being

Flip side of Heidegger
Flip side of Alan Watts

Alan Watts might view himself as flipping back and forth^[10], but traditional Heideggarians might find this harder due to the emphasis on authenticity of “being before thinking”^[11].

References:

- [1] “Martin Heidegger”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Martin_Heidegger
- [2] “Alan Watts”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alan_Watts
- [3] “René Descartes”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ren%C3%A9_Descartes
- [4] “Cogito, ergo sum”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cogito%2C_ergo_sum
- [5] “Seshatism”
Sven Nilsen, 2021-2022
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip2/seshatism.pdf
- [6] “Platonism in Metaphysics”
Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy
<https://plato.stanford.edu/entries/platonism/>
- [7] “Joker Calculus”
Daniel Fischer, William Alexander Morris, Sven Nilsen, 2021
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip2/joker-calculus.pdf
- [8] “Naive Zen Logic”
Sven Nilsen, 2018
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip/naive-zen-logic.pdf
- [9] “Pointless topology”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pointless_topology
- [10] “The Joker”
Alan Watts
<https://archive.org/details/joker-alan-watts-org-official>
- [11] “Being and Time”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Being_and_Time