

Quantum Non-Determinism

by Sven Nilsen, 2020

In this paper, I show that quantum non-determinism corresponds to partial observations where the sum over probabilities are over complex numbers, with an extra step that obfuscates learning distributions.

In the paper “Partial Observations”, I showed that probability distributions can be learned through partial observations. For example, a non-deterministic function $f : () \rightarrow \text{bool}^n$:

00	01	10	11
0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4

A partial observation $g : \text{bool}^n \rightarrow \text{bool}^m$ where $m < n$ can learn parts of f when:

$|[g] b| == 1$ for some b

$g \cdot f : () \rightarrow \text{bool}^m$

Quantum non-deterministic functions uses complex numbers for probabilities instead of real numbers:

00	01	10	11
$0.2 + 0.4i$	$0.3 - 0.1i$	$0.1 + 0.3i$	$-0.4 - 0.2i$

Like before, the partial observation “sums over” the complex probability amplitudes:

0	1		
$0.5 + 0.3i$	$-0.3 + 0.1i$	first bit	
$0.3 + 0.7i$	$-0.1 + -0.3i$	second bit	
$0.6 + 0.6i$	$-0.4 - 0.2i$	logical AND	learns $f() == 11$
$0.2 + 0.4i$	$0 + 0i$	logical OR	learns $f() == 00$

If one could measure the complex probability amplitude directly, then one could use partial observations to learn the probability distribution of f . However, to observe a quantum system requires an extra step. To measure e.g. $|0\rangle$ one takes the norm squared divided by the sum of norms squared:

$|p_0|^2 / (|p_0|^2 + |p_1|^2) \iff p_0 p_0^* / (p_0 p_0^* + p_1 p_1^*)$ p^* replaces i with $-i$.

Which produces the following probabilities:

0	1		
0.773	0.227	first bit	
0.853	0.147	second bit	
0.783	0.217	logical AND	no longer learns $f() == 11$
1	0	logical OR	only observes $f() == 00$

The destructive interference of complex amplitudes obfuscates the learning through partial observation.