

Homotopy Physics and Derivation of Homotopy Maps

by Sven Nilsen, 2021

In this paper I show why homotopy maps are sufficient for the Path Integral Formulation.

A homotopy^[1] between two continuous functions f and g from a topological space X to a topological space Y is defined to be a continuous function:

$$H : X \times I \rightarrow Y$$

$$I \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{R} \wedge (> 0) \wedge (<= 1)$$

such that:

$$H(0) \Leftrightarrow f \qquad H(1) \Leftrightarrow g$$

In practice, one might work with homotopy maps of some type T :

$$H : I^N \rightarrow T$$

$$N : \text{nat}$$

This is because the products of the unit interval I^N satisfies many relevant properties of homotopies.

In the Path Integral Formulation^[2], one is specifically interested in homotopy maps of type:

$$H : I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$$

$$M : \text{nat}$$

Assume f is a continuous function of time to space and g maps space-time to complex numbers:

$$f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \qquad g : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

Using a as start time parameter and b as end time parameter, one can construct a homotopy map:

$$H := \lambda(x : I) = g(x, f(a + (b - a) \cdot x))$$

Which has the type $I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and satisfies the properties needed to calculate probabilities.
For cases where multiple complex numbers are needed per point, it suffices to use $I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$.

In Path Integral Formulation of Homotopy Physics^[3], one generalises this to homotopy maps of type:

$$H : I^N \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^M$$

References:

- [1] “Homotopy”
Wikipedia
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Homotopy>

- [2] “Path integral formulation”
Wikipedia
https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Path_integral_formulation

- [3] “Homotopy Physics and Path Integral Formulation”
Wikipedia
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip2/homotopy-physics-and-path-integral-formulation.pdf