

Exclusive Theorem

by Sven Nilsen, 2020

In this paper I present an exclusive theorem found in Path Semantical Logic.

The Exclusive Theorem is a proof in Path Semantical Logic^[1]:

$(tr, fa) (B, C):$
 $tr(B), B \Rightarrow (tr \vee fa), B \neg C \Rightarrow tr \vee fa$

Where the tuple `(tr, fa)` has level 1 and the tuple `(B, C)` has level 0.
The notation `tr(B)` means `tr=>B` where `B` is at a lower level.

The propositions `tr` and `fa` are used with Boolean intuition, where `true` and `false` are excluded.

Thing that are not provable:

- $fa(B)$
- B
- $\neg(tr \Rightarrow C)$
- $\neg(fa \Rightarrow C)$

One can prove `C=>fa`.

If `fa(B)` is added as assumption, then one can prove `C=>tr` and therefore `¬C` and `B`.

One can prove ` $fa(B) \vee fa(C)$ `, but not ` $fa(B) \vee fa(C)$ `.
Similarly, one can prove ` $tr(B) \vee tr(C)$ ` (trivial, since `tr(B)`), but not ` $tr(B) \vee tr(C)$ `.

One can prove:

$fa(B) \wedge tr(B) \neg= fa(C) \wedge tr(C)$

Here is a table of the possible cases:

B	C
tr	tr, fa
tr, fa	tr
tr, fa	fa

Notice that there is no case where `C` is uninhabited.

References:

- [1] “Path Semantical Logic”
AdvancedResearch, reading sequence on Path Semantics
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/sequences.md#path-semantical-logic