

Implicit Theorems

by Sven Nilsen, 2020

In this paper I present three implicit transport theorems found in Path Semantical Logic.

Similar to Normal^[1], Abstract^[2] and Constrained^[3] Implication Theorems, there are three Implicit Theorems, which are proofs in Path Semantical Logic^[4]:

(a, b, c) (A, B):	Normal Implicit Theorem
$a(A), b(B), c \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B$	

(a, b, c) (A, B):	Abstract Implicit Theorem
$a(A)=b(B), c \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B$	

(a, b, c) (A, B):	Constrained Implicit Theorem
$a(A) \Rightarrow b(B), c \Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow b) \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow B$	

Here, the tuple `(a, b, c)` has level 1 and the tuple `(A, B)` has level 0.
The notation `a(A)` means `a=>A` where `A` is at a lower level.

There are many more general version of these theorems, that uses even more implicit conditions.
Instead of `c`, one can use e.g. `contr(c, d, e, f)` that is true only when `c, d, e, f` are all `true` or all `false`^[5]. Or, one can use e.g. `c=(d=>(e=f))`. Or, one can use e.g. `c v d`.

References:

- [1] “Implication Theorem”
Sven Nilsen, 2020
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip/implication-theorem.pdf
- [2] “Abstract Implication Theorem”
Sven Nilsen, 2020
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip/abstract-implication-theorem.pdf
- [3] “Constrained Implication Theorem”
Sven Nilsen, 2020
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip/constrained-implication-theorem.pdf
- [4] “Path Semantical Logic”
AdvancedResearch, reading sequence on Path Semantics
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/sequences.md#path-semantical-logic
- [5] “Contractible Types”
Sven Nilsen, 2020
https://github.com/advancedresearch/path_semantics/blob/master/papers-wip/contractible-types.pdf