

# Adversarial Paths

by Sven Nilsen, 2018

*Based on ideas from a discussion with Adam Nemecek, in this paper I formalize what it means to make a choice  $A \sim 0$  in path semantics, without being able to remember how one ended up in  $A \sim 1$ . The notation is designed to easily work with higher order dependencies between choices.*

A choice is a type  $A$  with an associated function  $A::f : A \rightarrow B$ .

An adversarial path is a higher order equivalence path such that:

$$A \sim 0 : T \rightarrow A \sim 1$$

$$A \sim 1 := \lambda(g : B \rightarrow \text{bool}) = g \subseteq \bigcup x : T \{ (A::f \sim 1)'(x) \}$$

Where  $A \sim 0$  consumes  $A$  as a resource, and  $A \sim 1$  produces a new resource.

The rest of this paper explains the notation above.

I will derive it from the notation used in the paper “Equivalence Paths”: An equivalence path is a function  $\sim f$  created from some function  $f$ . The  $\sim$  unary operator is called the “universal equivalence path”. One can think of  $\sim f$  as crossing out all input-output pairs that intersect, such that for all outputs, there exist a unique input. To access all equivalence paths of a function, the transformation is controlled by manipulating the input domain constraint  $\sim f\{\forall f\}$ . A higher order trivial path means that  $\sim f'$  depends on some quantified variable. This means that:

$$\forall f : A \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

Is replaced by:

$$\forall f' : T \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Since the trivial path  $f \sim 0$  of the equivalence path  $\sim f\{\forall f\}$  is defined by:

$$f \sim 0 \iff \forall \sim f\{\forall f\}$$

It follows that the higher order trivial path  $(f \sim 0)'$  of the higher order equivalence path  $\sim f\{\forall f'\}$ :

$$(f \sim 0)' \iff \forall \sim f\{\forall f'\}$$

$$(f \sim 0)' : T \rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool}$$

Since the existential path of  $f$  constrained to  $f \sim 0$  determines  $f \sim 1$ :

$$\exists f \{f \sim 0\} \Leftrightarrow f \sim 1$$

It follows that the higher order existential path of  $f$  constrained to  $(f \sim 0)'$  determines  $(f \sim 1)'$ :

$$\exists f \{(f \sim 0)'\} \Leftrightarrow (f \sim 1)'$$

In Adversarial Path Semantics, one exploits the following properties:

$$\exists f \{(f \sim 0)'\} \Leftrightarrow (f \sim 1)'$$

$$\begin{aligned} (f \sim 0)' : T &\rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool} \\ (f \sim 1)' : T &\rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{bool} \\ f : A &\rightarrow B \end{aligned}$$

Instead of defining an  $f$  for every  $A$ , it is associated with  $A$ , such that:

$$\exists A :: f \{(A :: f \sim 0)'\} \Leftrightarrow (A :: f \sim 1)'$$

$$\begin{aligned} (A :: f \sim 0)' : T &\rightarrow A \rightarrow \text{bool} \\ (A :: f \sim 1)' : T &\rightarrow B \rightarrow \text{bool} \\ A :: f : A &\rightarrow B \end{aligned}$$

A type  $A$  with an associated function  $A :: f$  is called a “choice”.

An adversarial choice  $A$  has the following abstract judgemental properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x \{ (A :: f \sim 0)'(x) : \text{unknown} \} \\ \forall x \{ (A :: f \sim 1)'(x) : \text{known} \} \end{aligned}$$

This is meant as  $A \sim 0$  consumes  $A$  as a resource.

The higher order existential path  $(\exists A :: f \{(A :: f \sim 0)'\})(x) \Leftrightarrow (A :: f \sim 1)'(x)$  has a sub-type  $A \sim 1$ :

$$\begin{aligned} A \sim 1 &:= \lambda(g : B \rightarrow \text{bool}) = g \subseteq \cup x : T \{ (A :: f \sim 1)'(x) \} \\ A \sim 1 : (B &\rightarrow \text{bool}) \rightarrow \text{bool} \end{aligned}$$

Because  $(A :: f \sim 0)'$  is unknown for all inputs, this frees up the syntax  $A \sim 0$  to mean something else:

$$A \sim 0 : T \rightarrow A \sim 1$$

Since  $A$  is consumed by  $A \sim 0$ , one can interpret it as consuming  $A$  and producing  $A \sim 1$ . This notation is designed to easily work with higher order dependencies between choices.

$A \sim 0$  is interpreted as making the choice itself, then feeding in some  $x : T$  to obtain  $A \sim 1$ . Notice that this can be thought of as “going through  $A \sim 0$  into  $A \sim 1$ ”. One can also think of  $A \sim 0$  as committing to making a choice, even though, the concrete choice is delayed until the next step.