

Analysis: Funniest Word Search

Funniest Word Search: Analysis

Small dataset

As we approach the problem, we want to decide on what we can feasibly calculate. Since the upper bounds for **R** and **C** are reasonably low, we know that we can iterate through all combinations of $(i1, j1, i2, j2)$ where $(i1, j1)$ is the top left cell of a subgrid and $(i2, j2)$ is the bottom right cell; however, recalculating the total length of words found for every subgrid will still take too long. Note that since the length of each valid word is 1 in the Small dataset, the total length from finding a single instance of a word is 4. One solution is to calculate prefix sums $S(i, j)$ and then calculate $\text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j2] = S(i2, j2) - S(i1 - 1, j2) - S(i2, j1 - 1) + S(i1 - 1, j1 - 1)$.

The prefix sum solution is simpler, but we will examine a different algorithm that extends more readily to the Large dataset. For every $i1$, create an array $\text{column_sum}[j]$ which, when we iterate through values for $i2$, will represent the total length of words found in the column bounded by $(i1, j, i2, j)$. For every $i2 \geq i1$, scan through row $i2$ to update $\text{column_sum}[j]$ by adding 8 if $(i2, j)$ is a word. After doing so, for every $j1$, start with $\text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j1] = \text{column_sum}[j1]$, and for every $j2 > j1$, update $\text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j2] = \text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j2 - 1] + \text{column_sum}[j2]$. As we calculate $\text{totals}[]$, keep track of the best *fun size* and how many times it occurs. As a side-note, it is not necessary to store the entire $\text{totals}[]$ array, since we only use one previous calculation. This algorithm takes $O(R^2C^2)$ time.

Large dataset

Knowing that all words are of length 1 is very beneficial in the Small dataset for quickly updating our column sums. Luckily, with a bit of precomputation, updating can be just as easy for the Large dataset. As long as our precomputations are not slower than $O(R^2C^2)$ time, we should not have any problems.

Before running our algorithm, we can add the reverse of every word to our dictionary, so we only need to scan for words going from left to right (now referred to as going right) and top to bottom (going down).

We can observe that the length of a word limits where it can begin. Using this property, we can reduce the number of words to search for at each location. As a result, we want to create a lookup table $\text{word_lookup}[i]$ which has a list of words of length i at each respective index. Now let us use two more lookup tables $\text{right}[i, j, k]$ and $\text{down}[i, j, k]$ which will keep track of how many words start at cell (i, j) and have length $\leq k$ going right and down, respectively. We can populate the tables in the following manner: for every (i, j) start with length $k = 1$. While k is a valid length for a word going right starting at (i, j) with respect to the entire grid, check every word in $\text{word_lookup}[k]$ to see if it can be found going right starting at (i, j) . Record $\text{right}[i, j, k] = (k * \text{number of words found}) + \text{right}[i, j, k - 1]$. Increment k until it is not a valid length for a word starting at (i, j) . Repeat for words going down instead of going right.

Now, we can use an algorithm which is very similar to the one we used on the Small dataset. Let us just focus on words going right. We will still use $\text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j2]$ for the same purpose. For every $i1$, have a table $\text{right_column_sums}[j, k]$ which, when we iterate through values for $i2$, will represent the total length of words going right with length $\leq k$ which start at the column bounded by $(i1, j, i2, j)$. For every $i2 \geq i1$, scan through row $i2$ and update $\text{right_column_sums}[j, k]$,

$k] = \text{right_column_sums}[j, k] + \text{right}[i2, j, k]$ for all valid values of k (remember that a word must be short enough to start at column j). Then, we will work left to right. For every $j2$, with $j2$ starting at $\mathbf{C} - 1$ and $\text{totals}[i1, j2, i2, j2] = \text{right_column_sums}[j2, 1]$, and for every $j1 < j2$, update $\text{totals}[i1, j1, i2, j2] = \text{totals}[i1, j1 + 1, i2, j2] + \text{right_column_sums}[j1, j2 - j1 + 1]$. The process for words going down is analogous, but with the rows and columns switched, and starting our iteration on columns instead of rows. As $\text{totals}[]$ is finalized for words going both right and down, keep track of the best *fun size* and how many times it occurs. It is also possible to not store the entirety of $\text{totals}[]$ in the Large dataset solution and have a space complexity of $O((\mathbf{R} + \mathbf{C})^3)$ by solving for words going both right and down in the same loop, but doing so requires a slightly different precomputation step.

We still need to analyze the time complexity of the Large dataset solution. Creating $\text{word_lookup}[]$ takes $O(\mathbf{W})$ time. Creating $\text{right}[]$ or $\text{down}[]$ takes $O(\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{W})$ time. Creating all $\text{right_column_sums}[]$ takes $O(\mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{C})$ time. Populating $\text{totals}[]$ still has a longer runtime than any of our previously mentioned steps, resulting in our solution taking $O(\mathbf{R}^2 \mathbf{C}^2)$ time.