

Kick Start 2016 - Round E

Sorting Array

Problem

We are in the process of creating a somehow esoteric sorting algorithm to sort an array A of all integers between 1 and N . The integers in A can start in an arbitrary order. Besides the input order, the algorithm depends on two integers P (which would be at most 3) and K . Here is how the algorithms works:

1. Partition A into K disjoint non-empty subarrays A_1, A_2, \dots, A_K such that concatenating them in order $A_1 A_2 \dots A_K$ produces A .
2. Sort each subarray individually.
3. Choose up to P of the subarrays, and swap any two of them any number of times.

For example, consider $A = [1\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2]$ and $P = 2$. A possible partition into $K = 4$ disjoint subarrays is:

```
A1 = [1]
A2 = [5]
A3 = [4]
A4 = [3 2]
```

After Sorting Each Subarray:

```
A1 = [1]
A2 = [5]
A3 = [4]
A4 = [2 3]
```

After swapping A_4 and A_2 :

```
A1 = [1]
A2 = [2 3]
A3 = [4]
A4 = [5]
```

We want to show the algorithm is good for distributed environments by finding, for a fixed input and value of P , the maximum number of partitions K such that, choosing the partitions and swaps wisely, we can achieve a sorting of the original order. Can you help us to calculate that K ?

Input

The first line of the input gives the number of test cases, T .

T test cases follow. Each test case consists of two lines. The first line contains two integers N and P , as described above.

The second line of the test case contains N integers X_1, X_2, \dots, X_N representing array A .

Output

For each test case, output one line containing `Case #x: y`, where x is the test case number (starting from 1) and y is the maximum possible value for the parameter K .

Limits

$1 \leq T \leq 100$.

Time limit: 40 seconds per test set.

Memory limit: 1GB.

$1 \leq N \leq 5000$.

$1 \leq X_i \leq N$, for all i .

$X_i \neq X_j$ for all $i \neq j$.

Small dataset (Test set 1 - Visible)

$P = 2$.

Large dataset (Test set 2 - Hidden)

$P = 3$.

Sample

Sample Input

```
5
5 2
1 5 4 3 2
5 2
4 5 1 2 3
6 2
6 3 5 2 4 1
5 3
4 5 1 2 3
6 3
1 2 6 4 5 3
```

Sample Output

```
Case #1: 4
Case #2: 2
Case #3: 3
Case #4: 3
Case #5: 6
```

Case #1:

Same as walk through in the statement.

Case #2:

[4 5] [1 2 3]

Swap the 2 blocks: [1 2 3] [4 5]

Case #3:

[6] [3 5 2 4] [1]

Sort [3 5 2 4], then swap [6] and [1], we get: [1] [2 3 4 5] [6]

Case #4:

[4 5] [1] [2 3]

Swap [4 5] and [1], then swap [2 3] and [4 5]: [1] [2 3] [4 5]

Case #5:

[1] [2] [6] [4] [5] [3]

Swap [6] and [3]: [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] [6]

Note: First 3 sample cases would not appear in the Large dataset and the last 2 sample cases would not appear in the Small dataset.