

NAME: Piyush Mahalkar.

NET ID: PKM170230.

CS 6363-004 Algorithms

Programming Project:

Solution:-

\* Recurrence:-

$$\text{Profits}_{(i,g)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i=0 \\ \text{Profits}_{(i-1,0)} - \min(f_i * n_i, C_i) & \text{if } g=0 \\ \text{Max} & \text{if } n_i > g \\ q * P_i + \text{profits}_{(i-1, g - q * w_i)} - \min(f_i * (n_i - q), C_i) & 0 < q \leq n_i \\ \text{else} & \\ q * P_i + \text{profits}_{(i-1, g - (q * w_i))} & \end{cases}$$

\* Conversion to Dynamic Program:

- ① for  $i=1$  to  $n$ , do
- ②     for  $j=1$  to  $G$  do
- ③          $\text{profits}[i][j] = -\infty$
- ④         for  $q=1$  to  $\text{items}[i].\text{max}$
- ⑤              $\text{Profit} = 0$

- ⑥ if  $q < \text{items}[i].\text{min}$
- ⑦ 
$$\text{Profit} = q \cdot P_i + \text{Profits}[i-1][j - q * w_i] - \min [f_i * (n_i - q_i), c_i]$$
- ⑧ else
- ⑨ 
$$\text{profit} = q \cdot P_i + \text{profits}[i-1][j - q * w_i]$$
- ⑩  $\text{Profits}[i][j] = \text{Profit}.$
- ⑪ end for  $j$
- ⑫ end for  $i$
- ⑬ end.

### \* Proof of Correctness:

Feasibility: There is a solution  $\text{profits}(n, G)$  when  $G$  units of gold is used to make items that yields maximum profit.

→ case: Base case:

$$G=0 \text{ and } i=0$$

$\text{Profits}[i][j] = 0$ , true and valid.

Proof by induction :-

Let us assume it is valid for  $k$  items, with  $G + n > 0$



Case 1:

~~if~~  $n_i > q$ .

$$\text{profits}(k, g) = \max \left[ q \cdot P_k + \text{profits}(k-1, g - q \cdot w_k) \right. \\ \left. - \min \left( f_k \cdot (n_k - q), c_i \right) \right]$$

there is a solution.

Case 2:  $q > n_i$

$$\text{Profits}(i, k) = \text{Max} \left[ q \cdot P_k + \text{profits}(k-1, g - (q \cdot w_k)) \right]$$

Solution is there, exists.

Case 3:

if  $G = 0$

$$\text{profits}(k, g) = \text{profits}(k-1, 0) - \min(f_i \cdot n_i, c_i)$$

$\therefore$  There is a solution which gives a solution.

$\therefore$  It is feasible.

\* Optimality:

let  $\text{Opt}(i, G)$  be an optimal solution  
 $G \rightarrow$  gold units  $i \rightarrow$  items.

we say:  $\text{Opt}(i, G) \leq \text{Profits}(i, G)$ .

Proof by induction:-

base case:  $G \& i = 0$  i.e.  $G+i=0$

$$\text{opt}(0,0) = \text{Profits}(0,0).$$

Step 1:  $i + G > 0$ ,

case 1:  $q < n_i$

$$\text{Opt}(i, G) = \overset{q \cdot p_i}{\text{Opt}(i-1, G - q \cdot w_i)} - \min(f_i(n_i - q), c_i)$$

$$\text{Profits}(i, G) \geq \overset{q \cdot p_i}{\text{Profits}(i-1, G - q \cdot w_i)} - \min(f_i(n_i - q), c_i)$$

$\therefore$  by induction,  $\text{Profits}(i, G) \geq \text{opt}(i, G)$ .

Case 2:  $q \geq n_i$

$$\text{Opt}(i, G) = \text{opt}(i-1, G - q \cdot w_i) + \min(q \cdot p_i, c_i)$$

$$\text{Profits}(i, G) \geq \text{Profits}(i-1, G - q \cdot w_i) + q \cdot p_i$$

as,  $(G - q \cdot w_i, i-1) < i + G$

$\therefore$  we can say by IH

$$\text{Profits}(i, G) \geq \text{opt}(i, G)$$

$\therefore$  Proved by IH.



## \* Runtime Complexity and Analysis:

Loop at line (1)  $\rightarrow n$  times

Loop at line (2)  $\rightarrow G$  times

Loop at line (4)  $\rightarrow \text{items}[i^{\text{th}}] \cdot \max$ .

$$RT = O(n \cdot G \cdot \text{items} \cdot \max)$$