CQF Module 2, Session 4: Martingales I Solutions

CQF

1 Exercise 2.5 Solutions

1. Let's say we want to price a given financial instrument deriving its value $V(t, S_1, S_2, S_3)$ from 3 stochastic processes S_1, S_2, S_3 , where

$$dS_i = f_i(t, S_k, k = 1, \dots, 3)dt$$

+ $g_i(t, S_k, k = 1, \dots, 3)dX_i,$
 $i = 1, \dots, 3$

and where

$$dX_i dX_j = \rho_{ij} dt$$
, $i, j = 1, \dots, 3, i < j$

For simplicity, we will write

$$dS_i = f_i dt + g_i dX_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, 3$$

Let $V(t, S_1(t), S_2(t), S_3(t))$ be a function on [0, T] with $V(0, S_1(0), S_2(0), S_2(0)) = v$. Using Itô, compute the SDE for dV and deduce the stochastic integral for V(T).

Since $dX_i \to t$ in the means square limit, we see that

$$dS_i^2 \rightarrow q_i^2 dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, 3$$

Also, since $dX_i dX_j = \rho_{ij} dt$, we see that

$$dS_i dS_j \rightarrow \rho_{ij} g_i g_j dt, \quad i = 1, \dots, 3$$

By the multivariate version of Itô's Lemma,

$$\begin{split} dV &= \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + f_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_1} + f_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_2} + f_3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_3} + \frac{1}{2} g_1^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_1^2} + \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_2^2} + \frac{1}{2} g_3^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_3^2} \right. \\ &\quad + \rho_{12} g_1 g_1 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_1 S_2} + \rho_{13} g_1 g_3 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_1 S_3} + \rho_{23} g_2 g_3 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S_2 S_3} \right) dt \\ &\quad + g_1 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_1} dX_1 + g_2 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_2} dX_2 + g_3 \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_3} dX_3 \end{split}$$

Integrating over [0,T], we get

$$V_{T} = v + \int_{0}^{T} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + f_{1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{1}} + f_{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{2}} + f_{3} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{3}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{1}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{2}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{2}^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} g_{3}^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{3}^{2}} + \rho_{12} g_{1} g_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{1} S_{2}} + \rho_{13} g_{1} g_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{1} S_{3}} + \rho_{23} g_{2} g_{3} \frac{\partial^{2} V}{\partial S_{2} S_{3}} \right) dt + \int_{0}^{T} g_{1} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{1}} dX_{1} + \int_{0}^{T} g_{2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{2}} dX_{2} + \int_{0}^{T} g_{3} \frac{\partial V}{\partial S_{3}} dX_{3}$$

2. The Heston Model. The Heston Model (1993) is a popular stochastic volatility model used for option valuation. In this model, the stock price dynamics follows a GBM in which the stock variance v is itself stochastic and follows a square root process ¹. The stock price dynamics is:

$$dS_t = \mu S_t dt + \sqrt{v_t} S_t dX_1(t) \tag{1}$$

the dynamics of the stock variance is

$$dv_t = -\lambda(v_t - \bar{v})dt + \eta\sqrt{v_t}dX_2(t)$$
 (2)

and the two processes have correlation ρ correlated, i.e.

$$dX_1(t)dX_2(t) = \rho dt \tag{3}$$

Let $F(t, S_t, v_t)$ be a function on [0, T] with $F(0, S_0, v_0) = f$. Using Itô, compute the SDE for dV and deduce the stochastic integral for F(T).

Since $dX_i \to t$ in the means square limit for i = 1, 2, we see that

$$dS_t^2 \to v_t S_t^2 dt,$$

$$dv_t^2 \to \eta^2 v_t dt,$$

Also, since $dX_1dX_2 = \rho dt$, we see that

$$dS_t dv_r \rightarrow \rho \eta v_t S_t dt$$

By the bivariate version of Itô's Lemma, the SDE for F is given by

$$dF = \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} - \lambda (v_t - \bar{v}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} + \frac{1}{2} v_t S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 v_t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^2} + \rho \eta v_t S_t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v \partial S} \right) dt + \sqrt{v_t} S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} dX_1 + \eta \sqrt{v_t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} dX_2$$

¹In the fixed income world, the square root process is called a Cox-Ingersoll-Ross process and is used to model short-term interest

Integrating over [0, T], we get

$$F(T) = f + \int_0^T \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + \mu S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} - \lambda (v_t - \bar{v}) \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} \right) dt$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2} v_t S_t^2 \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial S^2} + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 v_t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v^2} + \rho \eta v_t S_t \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial v \partial S} dt$$
$$+ \int_0^T \sqrt{v_t} S_t \frac{\partial F}{\partial S} dX_1 + \int_0^T \eta \sqrt{v_t} \frac{\partial F}{\partial v} dX_2$$

3. Let $Y_t = X_t^4$ where X_t is a Brownian motion. Using Itô's lemma, express the SDE for Y_t . Then, deduce the stochastic integral for Y_t over [0,T]. Finally, deduce from the stochastic integral an expression for $\mathbf{E}[Y_t]$.

First, note that $Y_t = f(X_t)$ where $f(x) = x^4$. Hence,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial t} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 4x^3$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 12x^2$$

By Itô's lemma,

$$dY_t = 6X_t^2 dt + 4X_t^3 dX_t$$

Since X_t is a Brownian motion then $X_0 = 0$ and therefore $Y_0 = 0$. Thus, integrating the SDE over [0, T], we get

$$Y_T = 6 \int_0^T X_t^2 dt + 4 \int_0^T X_t^3 dX_t$$

taking the expectation and by linearity of the expectation operator,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_T] = 6\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T X_t^2 dt\right] + 4\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T X_t^3 dX_t\right]$$

Now, the Itô integral $\int_0^T X_t^3 dX_t$ is a martingale and hence $\mathbf{E}\left[\int_0^T X_t^3 dX_t\right] = 0$. Also, by Fubini's Theorem, we can change the order of integration and therefore slide the expectation inside $\int_0^T X_t^2 dt$. Hence,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_T] = 6 \int_0^T \mathbf{E}\left[X_t^2\right] dt$$

Now, $\mathbf{E}[X_t^2] = \mathbf{E}[(X_t - X_0)^2] = t - 0 = t$. Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y_T] = 6 \int_0^T t dt = 3T^2$$

4. Discrete Time Martingale: Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be a sequence of independent random variables such that $\mathbf{E}[Y_i] = 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Let \mathcal{F}_n be the filtration generated by the sequence Y_1, \ldots, Y_n . Consider the random variable $S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$. Prove that S_n is a martingale for all n.

Reminder - proving that a process S_n is a martingale involves proving that $\mathbf{E}[|S_n|] < \infty$ and that $\mathbf{E}[S_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = S_n$

First,

$$\mathbf{E}[|S_n|] = \mathbf{E}[|Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_n|]$$

$$\leq \mathbf{E}[|Y_1| + |Y_2| + \dots + |Y_n|]$$

$$= \mathbf{E}[|Y_1|] + \mathbf{E}[|Y_2|] + \dots + \mathbf{E}[|Y_n|]$$

$$< \infty$$

since we have a finite sum of finite finite numbers.

Second,

$$\mathbf{E}[S_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[S_n + Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$$
$$= \mathbf{E}[S_n|\mathcal{F}_n] + \mathbf{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$$

by linearity of the expectation operator.

Now, since S_n is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable (i.e. if we have the filtration \mathcal{F}_n we know what S_n is), then $\mathbf{E}[S_n|\mathcal{F}_n] = S_n$.

Also, since $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n, Y_{n+1}$ are independent, then Y_{n+1} is independent from \mathcal{F}_n and hence $\mathbf{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[Y_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n]$.

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{E}[S_{n+1}|\mathcal{F}_n] = \mathbf{E}[S_n]$$

and we can conclude that S_n is a martingale for all n.