Theorem	Тнеогем
Alternative Formula for Expected Value	Transformation of Random Variable
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	Тнеопем
Sample Mean and Variance	Delta Method
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	Тнеопем
Multivariate Delta Method	Geometric Series
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Тнеопем	Тнеопем
Gaussian Tail Inequality	Markov's Inequality
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
THEOREM	Theorem
Chebyshev's Inequality	Hoeffding's Inequality
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics

Let X be a random variable and define Y=g(X), where g must be a monotonic function. Then Y has pdf

$$p_Y(y) = p_X \left( g^{-1}(y) \right) \left| \frac{dg^{-1}(y)}{dy} \right|$$

Let X be a non-negative continuous random variable.

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \int_0^\infty P(X > t) dt.$$

Analogously, if X is a discrete non-negative random variable, we have

$$\mathbb{E}(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X \ge k).$$

if  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and Y = g(X) with  $\sigma^2$  small, we have

$$Y \approx N\left(g(\mu), \sigma^2(g'(\mu))^2\right)$$

Proof: Start with Taylor Expansion of g(X) around  $\mu$ .

Given a random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , the following statements are true:

• 
$$\bar{X} \sim N\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right)$$

$$\bullet \ \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$$

•  $\bar{X}$  and  $S^2$  are independent

For 
$$r \in (0, 1)$$
,

$$a + ar + ar^2 + \ldots = \frac{a}{1 - r}.$$

A partial geometric series  $a + ar + ar^2 + \ldots + ar^{n-1}$  sums up to  $\frac{a(1-r^n)}{1-r}$ 

Suppose that  $Y_n = (Y_{n1}, \dots, Y_{nk})$  is a sequence of random variables such that

$$\sqrt{n}(Y_n - \mu) \rightsquigarrow N(0, \Sigma).$$

For a function  $g: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}$  and the gradient of g with respect to y be  $\nabla g(y) = \left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_k}\right)^{\mathsf{T}}$ . Denoting with  $\nabla_{\mu}$  the gradient evaluated at  $y = \mu$  where all elements are assumed to be non-zero, we have

$$\sqrt{n} \left( g(Y_n) - g(\mu) \right) \leadsto N \left( 0, \nabla_{\mu}^{\mathsf{T}} \Sigma \nabla_{\mu} \right).$$

If X is a non-negative random variable with existing expectation  $\mathbb{E}(X)$ , then

$$P(X > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}(X)}{\varepsilon}$$

Proof: Trivially, the inequality  $\varepsilon \mathbb{1}(X > \varepsilon) \leq X$  holds. Taking the expectation on both sides and rearranging yields Markov's inequality.

If  $X \sim N(0,1)$ , then

$$P(|X| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{2}{\varepsilon} e^{-\varepsilon^2/2}.$$

In general, for a sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  where  $X_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , we have

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{2\sigma}{\varepsilon\sqrt{n}} \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent observations such that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = \mu$  and  $a \leq X_i \leq b \quad \forall i$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$P(|\bar{X}_n - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le 2 \exp\left(\frac{-2n\varepsilon^2}{(b-a)^2}\right)$$

Let X be a random variable with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then

$$P(|X - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon}$$

Proof: Define  $Z=(X-\mu)^2$ . By Markvo's Inequality, we have  $P(Z>\varepsilon^2)\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(Z)}{\varepsilon^2}$  which is equivalent to

$$P(|X - \mu| > \varepsilon) \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\left(X - \mu\right)^2\right]}{\varepsilon^2} = \frac{\sigma^2}{\varepsilon^2}$$

Theorem	Тнеогем
Bernstein's Inequality	McDiarmid's Inequality
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
THEOREM	Theorem
Jensen's Inequality	Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Definition	DEFINITION
$\mathbf{Little}\ o$	$\mathbf{Big}\ O$
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	DEFINITION
$\textbf{Little}o_p$	$\mathbf{Big}o_p$
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	Theorem
Consistent Estimator	Consistency Conditions
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent random variables. Suppose that

$$\sup_{x_1,\dots,x_n,x_i'} |g(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},x_i,x_{i+1},\dots,x_n) - g(x_1,\dots,x_{i-1},x_i',x_{i+1},\dots,x_n)| \le c_i \quad (1)$$
for  $i = 1,\dots,n$ . Then

$$P\left(g\left(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n}\right)-\mathbb{E}\left[g\left(X_{1},\ldots,X_{n}\right)\right]\geq\varepsilon\right)\leq\exp-\frac{2\varepsilon^{2}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n}c_{i}^{2}}.$$

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be independent observations such that  $\mathbb{E}[X_i] = 0$ ,  $|X_i| \leq M$ , and  $\mathbb{V}(X_i) \leq \sigma^2$ . Then, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$P(|\bar{X}_n| \ge \varepsilon) \le 2 \exp\left(-\frac{n\varepsilon^2}{2\sigma^2 + \frac{2}{3}M\varepsilon}\right).$$

Let X and Y be two random variables with finite variance. Then

$$\mathbb{E}\left|XY\right| \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}(X^2)\mathbb{E}(Y^2)}.$$

Let X be a random variable and g a convex function. Then  $\mathbb{E}g(X) \geq g\left(\mathbb{E}(X)\right)$ . On the other hand, if g is concave, we have  $\mathbb{E}g(X) \leq g\left(\mathbb{E}(X)\right)$ . Example: From Jensen's inequality, it follows that  $\mathbb{E}(X^2) \geq \mathbb{E}(X)^2$ , since  $g(x) = x^2$  is convex.

 $a_n = O(b_n)$  if for large  $n > n_0$ , there exists some constant C > 0 such that  $a_n < Cb_n$ 

 $a_n = o(b_n)$  means that  $\forall C$  and  $n > n_0$ ,

$$a_n < Cb_n$$

 $(a_n \text{ is bounded from above by } b_n)$ 

 $Y_n$  is  $O_p(1)$  if, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists some finite constant C > 0 such that

$$P(|Y_n| > C) < \varepsilon$$

for all n (stochastically bounded from above).  $Y_n = O_p(a_n)$  means that  $\frac{Y_n}{a_n} = O_p(1)$ .

 $Y_n$  is  $o_p(1)$  if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$P(|Y_n| > \varepsilon) \to 0$$

or equivalently

$$P(|Y_n| < \varepsilon) \to 1.$$

 $Y_n = o_p(a_n)$  means that  $\frac{Y_n}{a_n} = o_p(1)$ .

Let  $\theta_n$  be a sequence of estimators of parameter  $\theta$  satisfying

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{V}\left(\hat{\theta}_n\right) = 0$$

and Then  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is a consistent sequence of estimators of  $\theta$ .

A sequence of estimators  $\theta_n$  is consistent of the parameter  $\theta$  if, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and every  $\theta \in \Theta$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\theta} (|\theta_n - \theta| < \epsilon) = 1$$

or equivalently

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P_{\theta} (|\theta_n - \theta| \ge \epsilon) = 0,$$

i.e.  $\theta_n$  converges in probability to  $\theta$ .

Theorem	DEFINITION
Consistency of MLE	Shattering
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Definition	DEFINITION
Shatter Coefficient	VC Dimension
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	DEFINITION
Uniform Distribution	Multinomial Distribution
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	DEFINITION
${f Statistic}$	Almost Sure Convergence
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	DEFINITION
Convergence in Probability	Convergence in Quadratic Mean
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a class of sets and F be a finite set  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\}$ . Let G be some subset of F.  $\mathcal{A}$  picks out G if  $A \cap F = G$  for some  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ . The set F is shattered if  $s(\mathcal{A}, F) = 2^k$ , i.e. if all subsets can be picked out by  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE of  $\theta$  and let  $\tau(\theta)$  be a continuous function of  $\theta$ . Under regularity conditions, for every  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} P_{\theta} \left( \left| \tau(\hat{\theta}) - \tau(\theta) \right| \ge \epsilon \right) = 0,$$

i.e.  $\tau(\hat{\theta})$  is a consistent estimator of  $\theta$ . The conditions are a) an iid random sample, b) identifiability of the parameter, c) common support and differentiability of the density and d) a parameter space which contains an open set of which the true parameter is an interior point.

The Vapnik-Chervonenkis (VC) Dimension is defined as

 $d = d(\mathcal{A}) = \text{largest k such that } s_k(\mathcal{A}) = 2^k.$ 

This means that d is the size of the largest set that can be shattered.

The shatter coefficient is defined as

$$s_k(\mathcal{A}) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}_k} s(\mathcal{A}, F),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_k$  denotes all finite sets with k elements. Fact:  $s_k(\mathcal{A}) \leq 2^k$ .

Multivariate version of Binomial. Draw all from urn with balls colored in k different colors.  $p=(p_1,\ldots,p_k)$  where  $\sum_j p_j=1$  and  $p_j$  is probability of drawing color j. Draw n balls from the urn with replacement and let  $X=(X_1,\ldots,X_n)$  be the count of the number of balls of each color. Then X has a Multinomial distribution with pdf

$$p(x) = \begin{pmatrix} n \\ x_1 \dots x_k \end{pmatrix} p_1^{x_1} \dots p_k^{x_k}.$$

A continuous random variable X has a  $\mathrm{Uniform}(a,b)$  distribution if its pdf is

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{for } x \in [a,b] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and CDF

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & \text{for } x \in [a,b) \ . \\ 1 & \text{for } x \ge b \end{cases}$$

The mean of X is  $\frac{1}{2}(a+b)$  and the variance  $\frac{1}{12}(b-a)^2$ .

 $X_n$  converges almost surely to X, written  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ , if, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$P\left(\lim_{n\to\infty}|X_n-X|<\varepsilon\right)=1.$$

Almost sure convergence of  $X_n$  to X is equivalent to

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : \lim_{n \to \infty} P\left(\sup_{m > n} |X_m - X| \le \varepsilon\right) = 1.$$

A statistic T is any function of the data  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ , i.e.  $T = g(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ .

A sequence of random variables  $X_n$  converges to X in quadratic mean ( $L_2$  convergence) if

$$\mathbb{E}(X_n - X)^2 \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . We write  $X_n \stackrel{q.m.}{\longrightarrow} X$ .

Xn converges to X in probability  $(X_n \xrightarrow{P} X)$ , if

$$\forall \varepsilon > 0 : P(|X_n - X| > \varepsilon) \to 0$$

as  $n \to \infty$  (notice that we thus have  $X_n - X = o_P(1)$ ).

DEFINITION	Тнеопем
Convergence in Distribution	Convergence Relationships
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	Тнеопем
Continuous Mapping Theorem	Slutsky's Theorem
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	Theorem
The Weak Law of Large Numbers (WLLN)	The Strong Law of Large Numbers (SLLN)
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Тнеокем	Тнеопем
The Central Limit Theorem (CLT)	Estimate $\sigma$ in CLT
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
THEOREM	DEFINITION
Multivariate Central Limit Theorem	Loss Function
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics

Between the different convergence definitions, the following relationships hold:

- $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$  implies that  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .
- $X_n \xrightarrow{q.m.} X$  implies that  $X_n \xrightarrow{P} X$ .
- $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$  implies that  $X_n \leadsto x$ .
- If  $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$  and if X has a point mass distribution, i.e. P(X = c) = 1 for some c, then  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ .

 $X_n$  converges to X in distribution if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} F_n(t) = F(t)$$

at all t for which F is continuous. We write  $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$ .

Let  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  be sequences of random variables and let X be a simple random variable and c a constant. We have

- If  $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$  and  $Y_n \rightsquigarrow c$ , then  $X_n + Y_n \rightsquigarrow X + c$ .
- If  $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$  and  $Y_n \rightsquigarrow c$ , then  $X_n Y_n \rightsquigarrow c X$ .

In general,  $X_n \leadsto X$  and  $Y_n \leadsto Y$  does not imply that  $X_n + Y_n \leadsto X + Y.$ 

Let  $X_n$  and  $Y_n$  be sequences of random variables. Also, let X and Y be simple random variables. For a continuous function g, we have

- 1. If  $X_n \stackrel{P}{\to} X$ , then  $g(X_n) \stackrel{P}{\to} g(X)$ .
- 2. If  $X_n \rightsquigarrow X$ , then  $g(X_n) \rightsquigarrow g(X)$ .

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be iid with mean  $\mu$ . Then we have  $\bar{X}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu$ .

Given a random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  iid, the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n$  converges in probability to  $\mu$ . Therefore,  $\bar{X}_n - \mu = o_p(1)$ .

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an iid sample where  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{V}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . Let  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Denote the sample variance with  $S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left( X_i - \bar{X}_n \right)^2$ . Then

$$T_n = \frac{\sqrt{n} \left( \bar{X}_n - \mu \right)}{S_n} \leadsto N(0, 1).$$

Proof: We have that  $T_n = Z_n W_n$ , where  $Z_n = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_n - \mu)}{\sigma} \rightsquigarrow N(0, 1)$  and  $W_n = \frac{\sigma}{\bar{S}_n} \stackrel{P}{\to} 1$ . The result then follows from Slutsky's Theorem.

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be an iid sample where  $\mathbb{E}(X_i) = \mu$  and  $\mathbb{V}(X_i) = \sigma^2$ . Let  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ . Then

$$Z_{n} \equiv \frac{\bar{X}_{n} - \mu}{\sqrt{\mathbb{V}\left(\bar{X}_{n}\right)}} = \frac{\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{X}_{n} - \mu\right)}{\sigma} \rightsquigarrow Z,$$

where  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$ .

A loss function  $L\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\right):\Theta^2\to[0,\infty)$  measures the cost associated with the value of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  not being equal to the true parameter  $\theta$ . Common loss functions are

- 1. Squared Loss
- 2. Absolute Loss
- 3. Zero-One Loss

Let  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  be a sample of iid random vectors where  $X_i = (X_{1i}, \ldots, X_{ki})^{\mathsf{T}}$  with mean  $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k)^{\mathsf{T}}$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Let  $\bar{X} = (\bar{X}_1, \ldots, \bar{X}_k)^{\mathsf{T}}$  where  $\bar{X}_j = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ji}$ . Then,

$$\sqrt{n}\left(\bar{X}-\mu\right) \leadsto N(0,\Sigma)$$

DEFINITION	DEFINITION
Risk of an Estimator	Minimax Risk
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Definition	DEFINITION
Bayes Risk	Posterior Risk
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	Тнеопем
Bayes Risk (in terms of posterior risk)	Common Bayes Estimators
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Тнеопем	Theorem
Minimax of Bayes Estimator	Bayes Estimator with Constant Risk
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
THEOREM	DEFINITION
p-value	Likeliood Function
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics

The minimax risk is defined as

$$R_n = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta} R\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}\right).$$

It is the risk of the estimator whose maximal risk is lowest among all competing estimators  $\hat{\theta}$ . It follows that an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is minimax if

$$\sup_{\theta} R\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}\right) = \inf_{\hat{\theta}} \sup_{\theta} R\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}\right).$$

The risk of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  is the expected value of the associated loss function, where the expectation is taken over all sample variables:

$$R\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\right) = \mathbb{E}\left(L\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\right)\right) = \int L\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\left(x^{n}\right)\right)p(x^{n};\theta)dx^{n}$$

Under squared error loss, the risk is equal to the mean squared error.

The posterior risk of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}(x^n)$  is

$$r(\hat{\theta}|x^n) = \int L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(x^n)) \pi(\hat{\theta}|x^n) d\theta$$

The Bayes risk of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  with prior distribution  $\pi$  is

$$B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) = \int R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \pi(\theta) d\theta.$$

Notice that the remaining uncertainty of the risk lies in different values for  $\theta$ : The risk already has dealt with uncertainty in the data, as

$$R\left(\theta, \hat{\theta}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}\left(L(\theta, \hat{\theta})\right)$$

Estimators which minimize the Bayes risk are called Bayes estimators.

- Under squared error loss, the Bayes estimator is the poseterior mean  $\mathbb{E}(\theta|X=x^n)$ .
- Under absolute loss, the Bayes estimator is the posterior median  $F_{\theta|X}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ .
- Under 0-1-loss, the Bayes estimator is the posterior mode of  $\pi(\theta|x^n)$ .

The Bayes risk  $B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})$  can also be expressed as

$$B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) = \int r(\hat{\theta}|x^n) m(x^n) dx^n,$$

where  $m(x^n)$  is the marginal distribution of the data (sometimes called the *evidence*). An estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  which minimizes the posterior risk is therefore a Bayes estimator since the integrand in  $B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})$  will be minimal at all x.

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the Bayes estimator under some prior distribution  $\pi$ . If the risk is constant (with respect to  $\theta$ ) then this estimator is minimax. Proof: We have that  $R\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\right)=c$ , where c is some constant. It follows that  $B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})=\int r(\hat{\theta}|x^n)m(x^n)dx^n=c$  as well and hence  $R\left(\theta,\hat{\theta}\right)\leq B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta})$  holds for all  $\theta$ . By the "Minimax of Bayes Estimator" Theorem, this implies that the estimator is minimax.

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the Bayes estimator under some prior  $\pi$ . If its risk is always smaller than the Bayes risk, i.e. if

$$R(\theta, \hat{\theta}) \le B_{\pi}(\hat{\theta}) \quad \forall \theta,$$

then  $\hat{\theta}$  is the minimax estimator and  $\pi$  is called a least favorable prior. Proof: By contradiction. Assume that  $\hat{\theta}$  was not minimax. Then show that this would imply that the estimator did not minimize the Bayes risk in the first place (Hint: The average of a function is always less than or equal to its maximum).

Let  $X^n = (X_1, ..., X_n)$  have joint density  $p(x^n; \theta)$  where  $\theta \in \Theta$ . The likelihood function  $L : \Theta \to [0, \infty)$  is the joint density regarded as a function of parameter  $\theta$ , i.e.

$$L(\theta) = p(x^n; \theta).$$

The likelihood is not a pdf and defined only up to a constant of proportionality.

Suppose we have a test of the form: reject when  $W(X^n) > c$ . Then the p-value when  $X^n = x^n$  is

$$p(x^n) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} P_{\theta} (W(X^n) \ge W(x^n))$$

Theorem	Theorem
Equivariance Property of MLE	Mean Squared Error (MSE)
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
THEOREM	DEFINITION
Rao-Blackwell Theorem	Sufficiency
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Тнеопем	DEFINITION
Factorization Theorem	Minimal Sufficiency
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
Theorem	DEFINITION
Find Minimal Sufficient Statistic	Empirical CDF
Intermediate Statistics	Intermediate Statistics
DEFINITION	
Kernel Density Estimator	
Intermediate Statistics	

The mean squared error (MSE) is

$$MSE = \mathbb{E}_{\theta} \left[ (\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2 \right] = \int (\hat{\theta}(x^n) - \theta)^2 p(x^n; \theta) dx^n.$$

The MSE can be decomposed into variance and bias squared, i.e.

$$MSE = \mathbb{V}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) + Bias^2$$

where 
$$Bias = \mathbb{E}_{\theta}(\hat{\theta}) - \theta$$
.

Let  $\hat{\theta}$  be the MLE. If  $\eta=g(\theta)$ , then the MLE of  $\eta$  is  $\hat{\eta}=g(\hat{\theta})$ . Proof: Suppose g is invertible so  $\eta=g(\theta)$  and  $\theta=g^{-1}(\eta)$ . Define  $L^{\star}(\eta)=L(\theta)$  where  $\theta=g^{-1}(\eta)$ . Hence,

$$L^{\star}(\hat{\eta}) = L(\hat{\theta}) \ge L(\theta) = L^{\star}(\eta)$$

and thus  $\hat{\eta}$  maximizes  $L^{\star}(\eta).$  For non-invertible functions, this still holds if we define

$$L^{\star}(\eta) = \sup_{\theta: \tau(\theta) = \eta} L(\theta).$$

Suppose that we have a random sample  $X_1, \ldots, X_n \sim p(x; \theta)$ . An estimator T is sufficient for  $\theta$  if the conditional distribution of  $X_1, \ldots, X_n | T$  does not depend on  $\theta$ . Thus  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_n | t, \theta) = p(x_1, \ldots, x_n | t)$ .

Let W be an unbiased estimator of  $\tau(\theta)$  and let T be a sufficient statistic. Define  $W' = \mathbb{E}(W|T)$ . Then W' is unbiased with variance  $\mathbb{V}_{\theta}(W') \leq \mathbb{V}_{\theta}(W) \quad \forall \theta$ .

T is a minimal sufficient statistic for  $\theta$  if it is sufficient and if it is a function of any other sufficient statistic U, i.e. T=g(U) for some function g.

An estimator  $T(X^n)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$  if the joint pdf of  $X^n$  can be factored as

$$p(x^n|\theta) = h(x^n)g(T(x^n);\theta).$$

The empirical cumulative distribution function (ECDF) puts mass  $\frac{1}{n}$  at each data point. It is defined as

$$\hat{F}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}(X_i \le x).$$

Notice that  $\hat{F}_n(x) \sim \text{Bernoulli}(F_X(x))$ . We also have that

$$P\left(\sup_{x}|\hat{F}(x) - F(x)| > \varepsilon\right) \le 2e^{-2n\varepsilon^2},$$

that is 
$$\sup_{x} |\hat{F}(x) - F(x)| \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} 0$$
.

An estimator T is minimal sufficient if and only if it has the following property:

$$T(y^n) = T(x^n) \leftrightarrow \frac{p(y^n; \theta)}{p(x^n; \theta)}$$
 does not depend on  $\theta$ 

The kernel density estimator is a non-parametric estimator of the density function. It is defined a

$$\hat{p}_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{h} K\left(\frac{x - X_i}{h}\right),$$

where h > 0 is the bandwidth and K, the kernel, is a symmetric density with mean zero.