

Text Data Samples for Redaction

Sample 1:

i feek the super sewew will have a detremebtal affect on my childs school.which is located directly behind tue proposed site ..the noise heavy lorry traffic and disruption to their school days are far greater than the need for this super sewer...i would like for you to take my views on this matter into account when considering the plans for the super sewer...which is going to have a major impact in grangetown school ,its pupils,parents and the surrounding community.thank yiu james watss parent to christine watts-hugh..pupil at riversude primary school ..

Sample 2:

I object to Drax's application to add carbon capture technology to two of its wood-burning units. I object because I believe the proposal is not a sustainable development as defined in the National Planning Policy Framework (<http://smith.biz/>) , since it is not compatible with increasing productivity, supporting communities' health, protecting our natural environment or improving biodiversity. According to Drax's planning document, carbon capture will reduce the net efficiency of the biomass boilers to just 28.49% because 28% of the energy generated by each unit will be needed to capture and compress CO₂. The real figure could potentially be even higher. By decreasing electricity generation, there is a high chance that this will cause more fossil gas to be burned in other power stations. This is contrary to the Overarching National Policy Statement for Energy's (<http://www.smith.com>) commitment to reduce energy from fossil fuels. I am also very concerned about the potential harm to human health from the amine chemicals which Drax is planning to use to separate the CO₂ from the other flue gases. These amines can form other compounds when they are emitted, including nitrosamines and nitramines which are possible carcinogens (<https://tinyurl.cohttp://www.duke-brown.org>). Yorkshire and Humberside already have high levels of air pollution (<http://www.bates-gibson.info/>) and there is a lack of research into the impacts of these chemicals on public health. Moreover, Drax's Ecology Report (<https://powers.com>) for the project states that this development will lead to the degradation and destruction of a number of internationally, nationally and locally important habitats where ecological surveys found rare and protected species, including orchids, water voles, otters, Great Crested Newts and many species of birds. The government classes energy from burning trees as 'low-carbon' and argues that it can help 'tackle climate change'. I strongly disagree with this, as do hundreds of scientists (<http://mendoza.com>) and environmental NGOs around the world (John Dunn) who highlight that burning wood is as bad as fossil fuels and that Drax's claims that BECCS can achieve "negative

emissions” are based on the false assumption that logging, transporting and burning trees in power stations can be “carbon neutral.” (<https://tinyurl.com/>) I urge you to take note of these concerns and refuse permission for Drax’s BECCS application.

Sample 3:

I own the property situated at 14 Halcyon Wharf, 208 High Street, London PH17 1HD, and which I believe will or might be affected by the application.

Sample 4:

Dear Sir/Madam, Please take what we all have to say on board about developing Swanscombe Marshes into a amusement park. I am 75 years old, woman who is very passionate about the environment, nature and biodiversity on Earth. It honestly cuts deep into me when I hear about every single section of land that is destroyed for housing estates, transport, nuclear power stations and now amusement parks. I am so concerned about how we treat our planet because no-body seems to care about anyone but themselves. Were are not the only organisms on this planet, we share it with SO MANY other organisms that have the SAME RIGHTS to be here as us, but yet we keep on taking and taking and TAKING habitats and ecosystems away for our own selfish reasons. We are not going to have any wildlife left at the speed we are destroying everything and if there is no wildlife then there will be no humans. How can you be ok with completely destroying Swanscombe Marshes when you know full well it's rich breeding bird assemblage includes 15 red-listed Birds of Conservation Concern and 12 Species of Principal Importance- including Marsh harriers, Bearded tit, Nightingales and Black redstart. Furthermore, it is home to the critically endangered jumping spider. Why can you not develop this amusement park somewhere that DOESN'T provide our wonderful and extremely important wildlife with homes. Would you like a bulldozer taken to your house? How about having to watch your kids be killed by mindless people who have no idea what destruction they have caused? Because that's what the wildlife will have to live through if Swanscombe Marshes is destroyed. I am trying to do my bit for climate change and to help create a safe haven for our incredible wildlife. I really hope you do too, by listening to each and everyone of us that sends these letters to you. We need to protect and preserve the amazing biodiversity on our planet, and these Marshes have so much biodiversity within them. We cannot afford to loose these as it will cause so much damage to our ecosystem. I am literally begging you to do the right thing and change this project to work with nature, not against it. Thank you for taking your time to read this, I really hope you take it into consideration.

Sample 5:

Mr Jamie Daniels is the owner of Old Farm on the north side of the A1. From the farm is also run Rock Club owned by Jamie Daniels sand operates a large number of HGV's from the site, including the site office and compound. The traffic movements

from the farm are significant. We are concerned that the access to and from the property will be constrained and require confirmation that a suitable access to and from the public carriageway will be installed to enable safe passage for HGV's. we are concerned about the speed of traffic coming along the old A1 from Alnwick if the road is straightened out and the conflict with HGV's crossing over and taking access to Old Farm. We are concerned about the increase noise, dust and vibration from the additional carriageways and the busier road from Alnwick to South Charlton with the traffic taking a new access to the A14 at Charlton Mires. We are concerned about the loss of agricultural land from the farm business. We are concerned about the lack of details surrounding field drainage. The farmland is already low lying and we have real concerns that the new carriageway will mean the flooding of the farmland. We will require proper field drainage to be installed and the culvert under the A14 to be maintained by HE in the future to allow the passage of water to the east which is the natural flow. We are concerned about the lack of screening and loss of hedges that our client currently enjoys to protect the impact of the A1 on their property.

Sample 6:

I have lived in Nether Brandon Haas for 35years with my husband who was raised in the village and my 3 children. The village is a thriving community, we have a fire station, doctors/pharmacy,school 5yrs to 11 yrs age, butchers, post office/store/tea shop 2 other shops, 3 pubs, 2 hairdressers. village hall, church hall, children's centre, numerous bed and breakfast, Coleridge Cottage owned by National Trust, I could keep going on naming the plus points of my home. The church however is on the other side of the A39 as is the local Dairy and farm shop which employs many local people, to cross the road is very dangerous because the only straight length of the A39 between Bridgwater and Williton is on one of the two junctions to the village. I am not against the development as I can see that it will bring employment to the village, my concern is the junction. I can see that drivers who have become frustrated with traffic flow through Bridgwater and Cannington will be trying to get past the large lorries that frequent this route daily and our bit of the A39 will be the overtaking place as it is now but with added traffic will only be exacerbated. We have asked the highways for help but this has been halted due to lack of funds, would it be possible for EDF to work in conjunction with the highways and perhaps make the junction safe. Although the junction is a staggered crossroads the drivers do not slow down there are accidents on a regular basis and near misses most days. Because we as a village are not 'involved' we feel any requests for help to improve this junction are being ignored. Why should another person be killed or seriously injured when a comparatively small change could make this junction safe to use as a driver and as a pedestrian. I have just this Christmas laid flowers in memory of my friend who was killed at this very junction 19 years ago, please help with my plea so as I will not have to lay flowers for anyone else. Many thanks for allowing me to make my request. Edwin Robinson

Sample 7:

CenturyLink and its incumbent companies, own live assets in the area of the development, on both the public highway and Network Rail land/infrastructure. Whilst we do not object to the works please note that we require unhindered access to our asset at all times. Should our asset on the public highway require moving a formal C3/C4 request should be submitted to our appointed agent **Instalcom** at collins.lisa@example.net. Should our asset on Network Rail or TOC owned land, within the Network Rail infrastructure/boundary require moving a request should be sent to eric03@example.net. Any works that are in the immediate vicinity of our asset, but no contact will be made with our asset (exposing of ducts or excavation works in the public highway or works in trough route or excavation works next to trough route in Network rail land) then please advise mooremichelle@level3.com so a HAZCON can be raised

Sample 8:

I am a Director of Mechtek Engineering Ltd. We occupy Unit K9 Industrial Estate, Lower Road, Northfleet. Manchester. CF95 4PE under a leasehold interest. Our property is within the boundary of the London Resort Development Consent Order. If development consent is granted, our property will be subject to compulsory acquisition. Our business is Mechanical Fabrication & Mechanical site services and we employ 6 staff. We need to remain within the Ebbsfleet and Gravesend area in order to retain both customers and staff. We are concerned that finding a suitable and affordable replacement property will be extremely difficult. The trend over the last five years or so, of the compulsorily acquisition and redevelopment of industrial property for high-density residential purposes, and in this case for leisure purposes, has resulted in a reduction in the supply of affordable industrial property in East London, Essex and Kent. This situation has been exacerbated by an increase in demand for logistics property due to the rise of internet shopping and more recently due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Because of this, industrial values have increased significantly. There is also a diminishing amount of property that is suitable for the businesses that will be displaced if development consent is granted for the London Resort. It seems that little thought has been given to where the many businesses affected by these schemes, and in particular by the London Resort development, will operate from after their premises have been acquired and redeveloped.

Sample 9:

I object to the expansion due to the desiccation of a natural nature environment, the animals that roam the fields and the plant life, I have cancer as does my brother and the fumes affect me when the wind changes and the smell is even stronger, I work in a special needs school that is close by and am shocked at the overpowering smells

that drift into the playground which is not good for the health of the children as they could develop **asthma**, the roads are poorly maintained and cannot cope with the potential increase of traffic , it's a main road with children walking along it to four schools in close proximity so there's the increased risk of accidents.

Sample 10:

The extremely poor quality and complete Lack of detail in all of the hand outs with maps that are impossible to read. When information has been requested no response or no answer given. The habitat and wildlife that will be lost as no one with local knowledge has been asked to show national highways just what is living on some of the land they are going to use some one to come and look and listen would have been nice. I apologise for my spelling as I have migraine and find very hard to write down what I'm trying to express.

Sample 11:

I am the owner of 72 Longcross Rd. The development of area behind my house will impact the view from my house and I am very concerned that there will be noise and flashing lights which will make it difficult or impossible to live in my house. The area behind my house is currently a quiet peaceful haven for wildlife. Also I am concerned about the amount of traffic that the development will attract to the area. The traffic is already very heavy and it is almost impossible to park along Stanhope Rd, this development will virtually make the road unusable. It will be a nightmare for the residents of Stanhope Rd to get to and from their homes with the amount of traffic that will be created during the development and when the resort opens.

Sample 12:

I live in Herne Bay directly under the extreme east to west proposed flight path. I object to the application because:- The applicants intentions regarding night flights is not clear, worst case scenario is said to be eight flights a night, so why is the night noise quota count so big? Why is there no numerical cap on night flights? This would severely affect the sleep quality in my household. Will the Inspector get clarity on those matters please? The applicants estimate of additional HGV movements generated seem very low (64,906 by year 2020). Compare that with East Midlands Airport which currently generates 182,500 HGV movements, yet by year 2020 Manston is forecast to exceed East Midlands freight tonnage. Indeed Sir James Moore stated on the BBC in July 2015 that Manston's location and roads were unsuitable for a possible relief of operation stack and the idea was "completely insane". What has changed in the last 3 years to suggest the roads are now suitable for HGVs? This would cause untold extra pollution travelling down the A299 past my house. Affecting my asthma and quality of life. Will the Inspector please probe the accuracy of the applicants forecast, as the implications for the local road network are significant? Also there is the question of fuel tanker movements per day. The