

Set 1

Platou
Kourageorgis
73180068

① Prove that for every orthogonal matrix A ($A \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$, $A^{-1} = A^T$)
the following relations are true:

$$\|A\|_2 = 1, \|A\|_1 \geq 1, \|A\|_\infty \geq 1$$

$$\boxed{\|A\|_2 = 1}$$

The second norm comes from the dot product and as we know:

$$A \cdot A^T = I, \text{ because of orthogonality}$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \det(A) \cdot \det(A^T) = \det(I) \Rightarrow \det(A) \cdot \det(A^T) = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow (\det(A))^2 = 1 \Rightarrow |\det(A)| = 1 \pm 1$$

So the determinant is ± 1 and we also know that the rest of the elements are 0's. From these facts we can deduce that norm 2 will be equal to the absolute value of the diagonal, which is 1.

$$\boxed{\|A\|_1 \geq 1}$$

Essentially in this case we must prove that it is impossible for $\|A\|_1 = 0$ to stand. This is obvious since if it was true then every element should have been 0 and then there wouldn't be linear independence and this means that we proved $\|A\|_1 \geq 1$.

$$\boxed{\|A\|_\infty \geq 1}$$

The proof in this case should be similar to the previous one.

② Prove the following relations connecting the spectral radius:

③ Two real, symmetric matrices $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$

$$r(A+B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$$

$$r(AB) \leq r(A) \cdot r(B)$$

④ Two real orthogonal matrices $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$

$$r(P+Q) \leq 2$$

$$r(P \cdot Q) \leq 1$$

a) To begin with, we know that $B = A^T$. Moreover, we can say that $r(A) = r(A^T)$ since the spectral radius is equal to the maximum eigenvalue of the corresponding matrix and A, A^T have the same eigenvalues.

This can be proved:

$$\det(A^T - \lambda I) = \det((A - \lambda I)^T) = \det(A - \lambda I)$$

since I is symmetric.

$$\text{Therefore, } r(A+B) \leq r(A) + r(B)$$

$$r(A+A^T) \leq r(A) + r(A^T)$$

$$r(A+A) \leq r(A) + r(A)$$

(the largest)

which is true since if λ an eigenvalue of A then $A+A$ can't have an eigenvalue bigger than 2λ .

Similarly, we can prove that $r(A \cdot A^T) \leq r(A) \cdot r(A^T) \Rightarrow r(A \cdot A) \leq r(A) \cdot r(A)$. With the same pattern, $A \cdot A$ can't have an eigenvalue bigger than λ^2 .

b) The spectral radius of an orthogonal matrix is equal to the maximum eigenvalue. The eigenvalues of any orthogonal matrix can't surpass 1.

$$Ax = \lambda x \Rightarrow \|Ax\|^2 = \|\lambda x\|^2 \Rightarrow \|Ax\|^2 = |\lambda|^2 \cdot \|x\|^2$$

$$\text{Also, } \|Ax\|^2 = (\bar{A}x)^T \cdot (Ax) = (\bar{x})^T \cdot A^T \cdot A \cdot x = \bar{x}^T \cdot x = \|x\|^2$$

$$\text{So, } \|x\|^2 = |\lambda|^2 \cdot \|x\|^2 \Rightarrow |\lambda| = 1 \text{ and that proves both relations}$$

③ If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{n,n}$ a Hermitian, invertible matrix, prove that the condition number $\kappa(A)$ is given by:

$$\kappa(A) = \frac{\max_i |\lambda_i|}{\min_i |\lambda_i|}, \text{ where } \lambda_i = 1, \dots, n A's \text{ eigenvalues}$$

Since the matrix is Hermitian we can say from theory that the eigenvalues are real.

Also, we have $\|A\| \cdot \|x\| \geq \|Ax\| = |\lambda| \|x\| = |\lambda| \cdot \|x\|$

By keeping the supremum of the λ_i 's we have:

$$\|A\| \leq |\lambda_{\max}|$$

And since A is Hermitian we can say that the equality holds

$$\boxed{\|A\| = |\lambda_{\max}|} \quad (1)$$

Similarly,

$$\|A^{-1}\| \cdot \|A\| \cdot \|y\| \geq \|y\| \Rightarrow \|A^{-1}\| \geq \|A\|^{-1}$$

Again due to A being Hermitian, we get:

$$\boxed{\|A^{-1}\| = \|A\|^{-1}} \quad (2)$$

Finally, it holds that the biggest eigenvalue of A^{-1} is the inverse of A and we get:

$$\boxed{\|A\|^{-1} = \lambda_{\max}(A^{-1}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(A)}} \quad (3)$$

From (1), (2), (3) we conclude:

$$\boxed{\kappa(A) = \frac{\lambda_{\max}}{\lambda_{\min}}} \quad$$

(4) Assume that the height of a rocket was measured 4 times and the following data came up:

$$\text{counted } (t, h) = (1, 135), (2, 265), (3, 385), (4, 485)$$

in seconds and meters. By using the method of the least squares adapt on the data the optimized model $h = a + bt - 4.905 \cdot t^2$ and using that calculate the maximum height that will be reached, as well as the arrival time on earth.

We have $y = a \cdot t + b$. Let's define

$$h^* = c_1 \cdot t + c_2, h^* = h + 4.905 t^2$$

$$\bullet c_1 \cdot 1 + c_2 = h^* \Rightarrow c_1 + c_2 = 135 + C$$

$$\bullet c_1 \cdot 2 + c_2 = h^* \Rightarrow 2c_1 + c_2 = 265 + 4C$$

$$\bullet c_1 \cdot 3 + c_2 = h^* \Rightarrow 3c_1 + c_2 = 385 + 9C$$

$$\bullet c_1 \cdot 4 + c_2 = h^* \Rightarrow 4c_1 + c_2 = 485 + 16C$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & & C \\ \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] & \left[\begin{matrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{matrix} \right] & = \left[\begin{matrix} 135 + C \\ 265 + 4C \\ 385 + 9C \\ 485 + 16C \end{matrix} \right] \end{array}$$

We also have $A^T \cdot A \cdot C = A^T \cdot b$

$$\rightarrow A^T \cdot A = \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] \cdot \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 \\ 3 & 1 \\ 4 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 30 & 10 \\ 10 & 4 \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow A^T \cdot b = \left[\begin{matrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{matrix} \right] \cdot \left[\begin{matrix} 135 + C \\ 265 + 4C \\ 385 + 9C \\ 485 + 16C \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 3760 + 100C \\ 1270 + 30C \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$\rightarrow \left[\begin{matrix} 30 & 10 \\ 10 & 4 \end{matrix} \right] \cdot \left[\begin{matrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} 3760 + 100C \\ 1270 + 30C \end{matrix} \right]$$

$$30c_1 + 10c_2 = 3760 + 100C \quad \Rightarrow \quad c_1 = 141.525$$

$$10c_1 + 4c_2 = 1270 + 30C \quad \underline{\quad c_2 = 0.475 \quad}$$

$$h(t) = 141.525t + 0.475 - 4.905t^2, t \geq 0$$

$$h'(t) = -9.81t + 141.525$$

$$h'(t) = 0 \Rightarrow t = 14.43 \text{ sec}$$

We can see that h is parabolic with a total maximum at $h(14.43) = 1021.34$, which will be the maximum height.

The rocket will return back to earth at $t=t_0$ where

$$h(t_0) = 0 \Rightarrow 141.525 \cdot t_0 + 0.475 - 4.905 \cdot t_0^2 = 0 \text{ so at}$$

$$t = 28.85 \text{ sec}$$

⑤ Assume the points:

$$(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 3), (0, 1, 2), (1, 0, 3), (1, 1, 5), (1, 2, 6)$$

Find $\underline{z} = C_0 + C_1 \cdot x + C_2 \cdot y$ that approaches optimally the points in \mathbb{R}^3 using the second norm.

We have,

- $C_0 + 0 + 0 = 3$
- $C_0 + 0 + C_2 = 2$
- $C_0 + C_1 = 3$
- $C_0 + C_1 + C_2 = 5$
- $C_0 + C_1 + 2C_2 = 6$

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & & \\ & C & \\ & & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & C_0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & C_1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & C_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

Given $A^T A C = A^T b$:

$$A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 3 & 4 \\ 3 & 3 & 3 \\ 4 & 3 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 5 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 13 \\ 14 \\ 19 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$5C_0 + 3C_1 + 4C_2 = 13$$

$$3C_0 + 3C_1 + 3C_2 = 14$$

$$4C_0 + 3C_1 + 6C_2 = 19$$

$$C_0 = 2$$

$$C_1 = -\frac{5}{3}$$

$$C_2 = 1$$

$$\text{So, } \underline{z} = \frac{5}{3}x + y + 2$$

⑥ Find the optimal polynomials of degree 1 and 2 using the 2nd norm for the points $(0,0), (1,3), (2,3), (5,5)$. Compare the 2 methods using their error.

$$\rightarrow y = C_0 + C_1 \cdot x$$

$$\bullet C_0 + 0 = 0$$

$$\bullet C_1 + C_0 = 3$$

$$\bullet 2C_1 + C_0 = 3$$

$$\bullet 5C_1 + C_0 = 6$$

$$\begin{array}{c} A \\ \hline \begin{matrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{matrix} \end{array} \left[\begin{array}{c} C_0 \\ C_1 \end{array} \right] = \begin{array}{c} b \\ \hline \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{matrix} \end{array}$$

$$\rightarrow A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 8 \\ 8 & 30 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow A^T \cdot b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 39 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 8 \\ 8 & 30 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} C_0 \\ C_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 39 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$4C_0 + 8C_1 = 12 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_0 = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$8C_0 + 30C_1 = 39 \quad \Rightarrow \quad C_1 = \frac{15}{14}$$

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(0 - \frac{6}{7} \right)^2 = \frac{36}{49}$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \left(3 - \frac{21}{14} \right)^2 = 0$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = \left(3 - \left(\frac{15}{14} + \frac{12}{14} \right) \right)^2 = \frac{225}{196} \quad \varepsilon_4^2 = \left(6 - \left(\frac{75}{14} + \frac{12}{14} \right) \right)^2 = \frac{9}{196}$$

$$\min \left(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2 + \varepsilon_5^2 \right) = \frac{144 + 3 + 225}{196} = 1.928$$

$$\rightarrow y = C_0 + C_1 \cdot x + C_2 \cdot x^2$$

$$\bullet C_0 + 0 = 0$$

$$\bullet C_1 + C_1 + C_2 = 3$$

$$\bullet C_0 + 2C_1 + 4C_2 = 3$$

$$\bullet C_0 + 5C_1 + 25C_2 = 6$$

$$\text{So we get, } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} C_0 \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

~~diagonal~~

$$A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 5 & 25 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 8 & 30 \\ 8 & 30 & 134 \\ 30 & 134 & 642 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$A^T b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 4 & 25 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 3 \\ 3 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 39 \\ 165 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 8 & 30 \\ 8 & 30 & 134 \\ 30 & 134 & 642 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} C_0 \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 39 \\ 165 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} 4C_0 + 8C_1 + 30C_2 &= 12 \\ 8C_0 + 30C_1 + 134C_2 &= 39 \\ 30C_0 + 134C_1 + 642C_2 &= 165 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{aligned} C_0 &= \frac{3384}{362} \\ C_1 &= \frac{705}{362} \\ C_2 &= -\frac{60}{362} \end{aligned}$$

$$Y = \frac{3384}{362} + \frac{705}{362}x - \frac{60}{362}x^2$$

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(0 - \frac{3384}{362} \right)^2 = 87.33$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = \left(3 - \frac{4025}{362} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{1086 - 4025}{362} \right)^2 = 66.09$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \left(3 - \frac{4551}{362} \right)^2 = 91.78$$

$$\varepsilon_4^2 = \left(6 - \frac{5409}{362} \right)^2 = 79.95$$

$$\min(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2) = 325.17$$

(7) Adapt the data: $\{(0,0), (\frac{1}{6}, 2), (\frac{1}{3}, 0), (\frac{1}{2}, -1), (\frac{2}{3}, 1), (\frac{5}{6}, 1)\}$
to the periodic models:

$$\cdot F_3(t) = C_1 + C_2 \cdot \cos(2\pi t) + C_3 \cdot \sin(2\pi t)$$

$$\cdot F_4(t) = C_1 + C_2 \cdot \cos(2\pi t) + C_3 \cdot \sin(2\pi t) + C_4 \cdot \cos(4\pi t)$$

Find the error levels of the two models

For the first model:

$$\cdot C_1 + C_2 + 0 = 0$$

$$\cdot C_1 + C_2 \cdot (0.5) + C_3 \cdot (0.86) = 2$$

$$\cdot C_1 + C_2 \cdot (-0.5) + C_3 \cdot (0.86) = 0$$

$$\cdot C_1 + 0 + C_3 = -1$$

$$\cdot C_1 + C_2 \cdot (-0.5) + C_3 \cdot (-0.86) = 1$$

$$\cdot C_1 + C_2 \cdot (0.5) + C_3 \cdot (-0.86) = 1$$

$$\cdot A^T A: \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.86 & 0.86 & 1 & -0.86 & -0.86 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0.86 \\ 1 & -0.5 & 0.86 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.5 & -0.86 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.86 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3.96 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cdot A^T b: \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0.86 & 0.86 & 1 & -0.86 & -0.86 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

So we get,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3.96 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} C_0 \\ C_1 \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6C_0 + C_1 + C_2 = 3 \quad | \quad C_0 = 0.52$$

$$C_0 + 2C_1 = 1 \quad | \quad \Rightarrow C_1 = 0.24$$

$$C_0 + 3.96C_2 = -1 \quad | \quad C_2 = -0.385$$

$$F_3(t) = 0.52 + 0.24 \cos(2\pi t) - 0.385 \sin(2\pi t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon_1^2 &= (0 - 0.52)^2 = 0.27 \\ \varepsilon_2^2 &= (2 - (0.52 + 0.24 \cdot 0.5 - 0.385 \cdot 0.86))^2 = (1.69)^2 = 2.85 \\ \varepsilon_3^2 &= (0 - (0.52 + 0.24 \cdot (-0.5) - 0.385 \cdot 0.86))^2 = (0.009)^2 = 0.004 \\ \varepsilon_4^2 &= (-1 - (0.52 + 0.24 \cdot (0) - 0.385 \cdot 1))^2 = (1.135)^2 = 1.29 \\ \varepsilon_5^2 &= (1 - (0.52 + 0.24 \cdot (-0.5) - 0.385 \cdot (-0.86)))^2 = (0.27)^2 = 0.07 \\ \varepsilon_6^2 &= (1 - (0.52 + 0.24 \cdot (0.5) - 0.385 \cdot (-0.86)))^2 = (0.028)^2 = -0.0008\end{aligned}$$

$$\min(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2 + \varepsilon_5^2 + \varepsilon_6^2) \approx 4.484$$

For the second model:

- $C_1 + C_2 + 0 + C_4 = 0$
- $C_1 + C_2(0.5) + C_3(0.86) + C_4(-0.5) = 2$
- $C_1 + C_2(-0.5) + C_3(0.86) + C_4(-0.5) = 0$
- $C_1 + 0 + C_3 + C_4 = -1$
- $C_1 + C_2(-0.5) + C_3(-0.86) + C_4(-0.5) = 1$
- $C_1 + C_2(0.5) + C_3(-0.86) + C_4(-0.5) = 1$

$$\bullet A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.86 & 0.86 & 1 & -0.86 & -0.86 & -0.86 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 & -0.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.5 & 0.86 & -0.5 \\ 1 & -0.5 & 0.86 & -0.5 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -0.5 & -0.86 & -0.5 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.86 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3.96 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\bullet A^T b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0.5 & -0.5 & 0 & -0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.86 & 0.86 & 1 & -0.86 & -0.86 & -0.86 \\ -0.5 & -0.5 & 1 & -0.5 & -0.5 & -0.5 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ -1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3.96 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} C_1 \\ C_2 \\ C_3 \\ C_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ -1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$6C_1 + C_2 + C_3 = 3$$

$$C_1 + 2C_2 + C_4 = 1$$

$$C_1 + 3.96C_3 + C_4 = -1$$

$$C_2 + C_3 + 3C_4 = 3$$

$$C_4 = 0.735$$

$$\Rightarrow C_2 = -0.6$$

$$C_3 = -0.8$$

$$C_1 = 1.47$$

$$F_1(t) = 0.735 - 0.6 \cdot \cos(2\pi t) - 0.8 \sin(2\pi t) + 1.47 \cos(4\pi t)$$

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(0 - (0.735 - 0.6 + 1.47) \right)^2 = 2.57$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = \left(2 - (0.735 - 0.6 \cdot 0.5 - 0.8 \cdot (0.80) + 1.47 \cdot (-0.5)) \right)^2 = 8.93$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \left(0 - (0.735 - 0.6 \cdot (-0.5) - 0.8 \cdot (0.80) + 1.47 \cdot (0.5)) \right)^2 = 0.15$$

$$\varepsilon_4^2 = \left(-1 - (0.735 - 0 - 0.8 + 1.47) \right)^2 = 1.37$$

$$\varepsilon_5^2 = \left(1 - (0.735 - 0.6 \cdot (-0.5) - 0.8 \cdot (-0.80) + 1.47 \cdot (-0.5)) \right)^2 = 0.0001$$

$$\varepsilon_6^2 = \left(1 - (0.735 - 0.6 \cdot (0.5) - 0.8 \cdot (-0.80) + 1.47 \cdot (0.5)) \right)^2 = 0.374$$

$$\text{Min} \left(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2 + \varepsilon_5^2 + \varepsilon_6^2 \right) \approx 13.99$$

So finally, we can clearly see that the first model is better since the error is much smaller.

- ⑧ Adapt the data $\{(-2, 1), (0, 2), (1, 2), (2, 5)\}$ to the exponential model $c_1 \cdot e^{c_2 t}$. Find c_1, c_2 converting it to a least squares problem. For the values found, calculate the error using norm 2, of both models (the exponential and its linear version).

We have $f(t) = c_1 \cdot e^{c_2 t}$ and the linear version is $g(t) = c_1 + c_2 \cdot t$.

For the linear version we have:

- $c_1 + c_2 \cdot (-2) = 1$
- $c_1 + c_2 \cdot (0) = 2$
- $c_1 + c_2 \cdot 1 = 2$
- $c_1 + c_2 \cdot 2 = 5$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cdot A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cdot A^T b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -2 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 2 \\ 5 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 4c_1 + c_2 = 10 \\ c_1 + 9c_2 = 10 \end{array} \Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{array}{l} c_1 = \frac{16}{7} \\ c_2 = \frac{6}{7} \end{array}}$$

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(1 - \left(\frac{16}{7} - \frac{12}{7} \right) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{3}{7} \right)^2 = \frac{9}{49}$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = \left(2 - \left(\frac{16}{7} \right) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{2}{7} \right)^2 = \frac{4}{49}$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = \left(2 - \left(\frac{16}{7} + \frac{6}{7} \right) \right)^2 = \left(\frac{-8}{7} \right)^2 = \frac{64}{49}$$

$$\varepsilon_4^2 = \left(5 - \left(\frac{16}{7} + \frac{12}{7} \right) \right)^2 = 1$$

$$\min \left(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2 \right) = \frac{9+4+64+9}{49} = 2.57$$

For the exponential model:

$$f(t) = c_1 \cdot e^{c_2 \cdot t} \Rightarrow \ln(f(t)) = \ln(c_1) + c_2 \cdot t$$

$$\cdot \ln(c_1) + c_2 = \ln(1) \Rightarrow \ln(c_1) + c_2 = 0$$

$$\cdot \ln(c_1) + 0 = \ln(2) \Rightarrow \ln(c_1) + 0 = 0.693$$

$$\cdot \ln(c_1) + c_2 = \ln(5) \Rightarrow \ln(c_1) + c_2 = 1.609$$

$$\cdot \ln(c_1) + 2c_2 = \ln(3) \Rightarrow \ln(c_1) + 2c_2 = 1.109$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \ln(C_1) \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.693 \\ 0.693 \\ -1.609 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cdot A^T A : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\cdot A^T b : \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0.693 \\ 0.693 \\ -1.609 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.995 \\ 3.911 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 4 \\ 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \ln(C_1) \\ C_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2.995 \\ 3.911 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{array}{l} 4 \ln(C_1) + 4C_2 = 2.995 \\ 4 \ln(C_1) + 6C_2 = 3.911 \end{array} \Rightarrow \boxed{\begin{array}{l} C_1 = 1.336 \\ C_2 = 0.458 \end{array}}$$

$$g(t) = 1.336 \cdot e^{0.458 \cdot t}$$

$$\varepsilon_1^2 = \left(1 - (1.336 \cdot e^{-0.316}) \right)^2 = (1 - 0.53)^2 = 0.22$$

$$\varepsilon_2^2 = (2 - (1.336 \cdot e^0))^2 = (0.664)^2 = 0.44$$

$$\varepsilon_3^2 = (2 - (1.336 \cdot e^{0.458}))^2 = (0.11)^2 = 0.01$$

$$\varepsilon_4^2 = (5 - (1.336 \cdot e^{0.916}))^2 = (1.66)^2 = 2.75$$

$$\min(\varepsilon_1^2 + \varepsilon_2^2 + \varepsilon_3^2 + \varepsilon_4^2) = 3.42$$

So finally we conclude that the linear version is a bit more precise.