

# Simulation

## Assignment 2

Platon  
Korogeoris  
p3180068

① First we need to check if Laplace has an integral equal to 1. If not, we will multiply by  $\frac{1}{c}$  where  $c$  is the value that we will find.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^2 \frac{1}{2b} \cdot e^{\frac{-x}{b}} dx &= \int_{-1}^0 \frac{1}{2b} \cdot e^{\frac{x}{b}} dx + \int_0^2 \frac{1}{2b} \cdot e^{\frac{-x}{b}} dx \\ &= \left[ \frac{e^{\frac{x}{b}}}{2} \right]_{-1}^0 + \left[ -\frac{e^{\frac{-x}{b}}}{2} \right]_0^2 = \underbrace{\left( \frac{e^0}{2} - \frac{e^{-1/b}}{2} \right)}_{C_1} - \underbrace{\left( \frac{e^{-2/b}}{2} + \frac{e^0}{2} \right)}_{C_2} = \\ &= 1 - \left( \frac{e^{-1/b} + e^{-2/b}}{2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$c = \frac{1}{1 - \left( \frac{e^{-1/b} + e^{-2/b}}{2} \right)} \Rightarrow \boxed{C = \frac{2}{2 - e^{-1/b} - e^{-2/b}}} \quad \begin{cases} C_1 = \frac{2}{1 - e^{-1/b}} \\ C_2 = \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2/b}} \end{cases}$$

But for a reason that will be explained later, we will define  $g$  in 2 branches and each will get a chunk of  $C$  ( $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively).

$$g(x) = \left( \frac{2}{1 - e^{-1/b}} \right) \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{x}{b}}}{2b} = \frac{e^{\frac{x}{b}}}{b \cdot (1 - e^{-1/b})}, \quad x \in [-1, 0]$$

$$\left( \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2/b}} \right) \cdot \frac{e^{\frac{-x}{b}}}{2b} = \frac{e^{\frac{-x}{b}}}{b \cdot (1 - e^{-2/b})}, \quad x \in [0, 2]$$

Now we need to multiply  $f$  as well and we will once more create separate branches.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2}{1 - e^{-1/b}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}, & x \in [-1, 0] \\ \left( \frac{2}{1 - e^{-2/b}} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{\frac{-x^2}{2}}, & x \in [0, 2] \end{cases}$$

Moving on, we need to get a sample from  $g$  and we will use the method of inversion. To ~~be~~ cut short:

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{e^{\frac{x}{b}} - e^{-\frac{1}{b}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{1}{b}}}, & x \in [-1, 0] \\ \frac{1 - e^{-\frac{x}{b}}}{1 - e^{-\frac{2}{b}}}, & x \in [0, 2] \end{cases}$$

$$G^{-1}(x) = \begin{cases} b \cdot \ln(e^{-\frac{1}{b}} + x(1 - e^{-\frac{1}{b}})) \\ -b \cdot \ln(1 - x(1 - e^{-\frac{2}{b}})) \end{cases}$$

Now we need to find 'M'. We will maximise  $h(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}$

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{2 \cdot e^{-x/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2b}}}{\frac{e^{-x/b}}{b \cdot (1 - e^{-1/b})}} = \dots = \frac{2 \cdot b \cdot e^{\frac{-bx^2 - 2x}{2b}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & x \in [-1, 0] \\ \frac{\frac{2 \cdot e^{-x/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2b}}}{\frac{e^{-x/b}}{b \cdot (1 - e^{-2/b})}} = \dots = \frac{2 \cdot b \cdot e^{\frac{-bx^2 + 2x}{2b}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}, & x \in [0, 2] \end{cases}$$

After maximising we end up with  $x_1 < -\frac{1}{b}$  and  $x_2 < -\frac{1}{b}$  for each branch respectively. We substitute in the place of  $x$  and the maximum of the  $h_1(b)$ ,  $h_2(b)$  will be  $M$  but first we need to find the best case  $b$ . We want  $M$  to be as little as possible since  $\frac{1}{b}$  which is the acceptance ratio is critical for the speed of the  $M$  algorithm. By plotting  $h(b)$  we end up with  $b=1$  (Python affirms this claim). So finally our  $M$  will be:

$$M = \frac{2 \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$$



Before writing down the final algorithm, the explanation for the 2 branches in every case is that I wanted the whole exercise to have the same solution and the Python code was not working with ~~the same~~ 1 branch. This method has a last trick, since  $f$  is symmetrical in  $[-1, 2]$  we need to find a condition for  $u$  in order to choose the correct branch each time. This is done by creating a 'ratio' variable that calculates the percentage of the total integral in 1 of the 2 cases. So ratio is:

$$\text{ratio} = \frac{1 - e^{-1/6}}{2 - e^{-1/6} - e^{-2/6}} \stackrel{b=1}{=} \frac{1 - e^{-1}}{2 - e^{-1} - e^{-2}} \approx 0.42$$

### Algorithm

1. Generate a  $Y$  from  $g(y)$
2. Generate a  $u \sim U(0, 1)$
3. Generate a  $u_2 \sim U(0, 1)$
4. If  $u_2 > \text{ratio}$ :  
     If  $u_1 < \frac{h_1(Y)}{M}$  then accept; Else go back to (4)

If  $u_2 < \text{ratio}$ :

If  $u < \frac{h_2(Y)}{M}$  then accept; Else go back to (1)

③ This part allows us to choose our own method that accomplishes the best possible ratio. As a method I will use the Cubic Spline which is an interpolation method using  $n$  small polynomials. The splines will be natural which means that we set the second derivative equal to zero.  $\textcircled{B}$

It is impossible to calculate an effective spline with many points but essentially the idea is that we take  $n$  points with an equal distance between them and between every pair we create a polynomial that must pass through every single one of those points.

The ~~ratio~~ rate of this algorithm will be  $\frac{1}{c \cdot n} = \frac{1}{0.81 \cdot 1} = 1.23$

and the reason is that  $n$  will definitely  $\textcircled{B}$  be equal to 1 since cubic splines is a very precise method, but  $c$  is equal to 0.81 since we need to ~~to~~ normalize the integral of  $g \equiv f$  which is 0.81. So whilst doing that we also lose precision.

As for the algorithm, as long as we generate  $g(x)$ , we get a sample via the inversion method and then the rest of the algorithm is the same.

### Algorithm

1. Create a Cubic Spline that mimics  $f$  and make the integral equal to 1.
2. Get a sample  $Y$  from  $f$
3. Generate a  $U \sim U(0,1)$
4. If  $U < f(Y)$ , then accept; Else go back to ①  
 $\bullet g(Y) \cdot M$

Note: The code never runs, I ran out of time