**Identification of studies via databases and registers**

Records removed *before screening*:

Records without a doi (n=10036)

Duplicate records within search returns (n = 417)

Records identified from\*:

Databases (n = 22865)

* CrossRef (n=4000)
* Google Scholar (n=11968)
* PubMed (n=4497)
* Scopus (n=4000)

**Identification**

Records excluded\*\*

Non journal articles (n = 3939)

Missing journal or article names (n=16)

Truncated journal names (n=118)

Duplicate records when merged (n=2779)

Records screened

(n = 12412)

Abstracts retrieved with record (n=2962)

Abstracts sought for retrieval

(n = 2598)

Abstracts not retrieved

(n = 1721)

**Screening**

Reports excluded:

Non-English abstracts (n = 31)

Course Material (n = 1)

Reason 3 (n = )

etc.

Reports assessed for eligibility

(n = 3839)

Studies included in review

(n = 3807)

Reports of included studies

(n = )

**Included**

\*Consider, if feasible to do so, reporting the number of records identified from each database or register searched (rather than the total number across all databases/registers).

\*\*If automation tools were used, indicate how many records were excluded by a human and how many were excluded by automation tools.

*From:*  Page MJ, McKenzie JE, Bossuyt PM, Boutron I, Hoffmann TC, Mulrow CD, et al. The PRISMA 2020 statement: an updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. BMJ 2021;372:n71. doi: 10.1136/bmj.n71
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