Exercise 2.39.

Complete the following definitions of reverse (exercise 2.18) in terms of fold-right and fold-left from exercise 2.38:

```
(define (reverse sequence)
  (fold-right (lambda (x y) <??>) nil sequence))
(define (reverse sequence)
  (fold-left (lambda (x y) <??>) nil sequence))
```

Answer.

Recall that fold-right composes the first element of the sequence with the fold-right of all the rest elements. How these elements are composed into the result is specified by the parameter op.

On the other hand, we can reverse a sequence by swap the car of the sequence with the reverse of the cdr of the sequence. And this can be done by appending the reverse of the cdr of the sequence by a list which merely contains the car of the sequence:

Now consider the problem of reversing a sequence by means of left-folding. We begin by establishing an empty sequence, then extend it with the first element of the original sequence adding to the head of it. Also notice that head-insertion is in fact consing, this reveals another implementation of reverse:

- *. Creative Commons © 2013, Lawrence X. Amlord (颜世敏, aka 颜序). Email address: informlarry@gmail.com
- 1. Someone might come up with an idea of consing the reversion of the cdr of the sequence onto the car of the sequence (e1 e2 e3 ... en). Unfortunately, this strategy fails by producing the reversed sequence in a strange nested way:

```
(cons (cons (cons (... (cons nil en) ...)
e3)
e2)
e1)
```

Another hasty practice would be listing the reversion of the cdr of the sequence with the car subsequent to it. Similarily, trying this plan also generates a nested sequence, though the elements are in a reversed order:

```
(list (list (list (... (list nil en) ...)
e3)
e2)
e1)
```