## Exercise 4.25.

Suppose that (in ordinary applicative-order Scheme) we define unless as shown above and then define factorial in terms of unless as

```
(define (factorial n)
  (unless (= n 1)
        (* n (factorial (- n 1)))
        1))
```

What happens if we attempt to evaluate (factorial 5)? Will our definitions work in a normal-order language?

## Answer.

The evaluator drops into an infinite recursion when we attempt to evaluate (factorial 5):

```
(factorial 5)
;Aborting!: maximum recursion depth exceeded
```

We can exploit the rule of substitution to trace the behavior of the evaluator:

In ordinary applicative-order Scheme, all the arguments must be evaluated before the compound procedure is applied. In this case the evaluator must first obtain the value of (\* n (factorial (- n 1))) before get unless applied. But this expression contains another call to factorial and must also be expanded into (unless ...). The interpreter perpetually strips off the expression (\* n (factorial (- n 1))) and make no reduction in this process, even when the predicate (= n 1) holds. This led the computation into the senario of infinite recursion.

This definition will work in a normal-order language, for normal-order languages delay evaluation of procedure arguments until the actual argument values are needed. Additionally, as unless is defined in terms of the special form if, which reduces the expression just in time. Hence, we can successively process the computation of (factorial 5) to the base case and obtain the result:

<sup>\*.</sup> Creative Commons @ 000 2014, Lawrence X. Amlord (颜世敏, aka 颜序). Email address: informlarry@gmail.com