Exercise 1.22. Most Lisp implementations include a primitive called runtime that returns an integer that specifies the amount of time the system has been running (measured, for example, in microseconds). The following timed-prime-test procedure, when called with an integer n, prints n and checks to see if n is prime. If n is prime, the procedure prints three asterisks followed by the amount of time used in performing the test.

Using this procedure, write a procedure search-for-primes that checks the primality of consecutive odd integers in a specified range. Use your procedure to find the three smallest primes larger than 1000; larger than 10,000; larger than 1,000,000. Note the time needed to test each prime. Since the testing algorithm has order of growth of $\Theta(n)$, you should expect that testing for primes around 10,000 should take about 10 times as long as testing for primes around 1000. Do your timing data bear this out? How well do the data for 100,000 and 1,000,000 support the \sqrt{n} prediction? Is your result compatible with the notion that programs on your machine run in time proportional to the number of steps required for the computation?

Answer. 1 Given the procedure timed-prime-test in the problem, it takes us a little bit of inginuity to come up with the following search-for-primes procedure:

Now, using our search-for-primes procedure defined aboved, let's try to find out the three smallest primes larger than 1000; larger than 10,000; larger than 10,000; larger than 1,000,000²:

```
(search-for-primes 1000 1019)
1009 *** 0.
1013 *** 0.
1019 *** 0.
(search-for-primes 10000 10037)
10007 *** 0.
10009 *** 0.
10037 *** 0.
(search-for-primes 100000 100043)
100003 *** 0.
100019 *** 0.
100043 *** 0.
(search-for-primes 1000000 1000037)
1000003 *** 0.
1000033 *** 0.
1000037 *** 0.
```

^{*.} Creative Commons 2013, Lawrence R. Amlord(颜世敏).

^{1.} Solution for this exercise was implemented and tested in MIT/GNU Scheme (Release 9.1.1) on a MacBook Pro running OS X 10.8.3.

^{2.} Note that here I've leave out the trivial output of composite numbers for clarity. To see the actural output given by the interpreter, please refer to the file "Test_for_Exercise_1.22.scm". So does the following output for the same reason

Nowadays, computers have become too fast to appreciate the accuracy of testing such relatively small numbers given by the problems (the tests above really bear this out). So, in order to obtain more acceptable results, we are supposed to enlarge our testing data by a magnitude of, for example, 10^6 .

```
(search-for-primes 1000000000 1000000021)
1000000007 *** .05000000000000071
1000000009 *** .039999999999915
1000000021 *** 4.0000000000000924e-2
(search-for-primes 10000000000 10000000061)
1000000019 *** .1300000000000078
1000000033 *** .119999999999922
10000000061 *** .1300000000000078
(search-for-primes 10000000000 10000000057)
10000000003 *** .39999999999986
10000000019 *** .410000000000014
10000000057 *** .410000000000014
(search-for-primes 100000000000 1000000000063)
100000000039 *** 1.27999999999994
100000000061 *** 1.29999999999972
100000000063 *** 1.290000000000027
```

Given the timing data by the interpreter, we can immediately form a table to check the pridiction of the order of growth, as is shown in Table 1.

Magnitude	Prime	Time (s)	Average Time (s)	Ratio
10 ⁹	1000000007	0.05000000000000071	0.043333333333333	-
	1000000009	0.039999999999915		
	1000000021	4.0000000000000924e-2		
10^{10}	10000000019	0.13000000000000078	0.12666666666666	2.92307692307691
	10000000033	0.1199999999999922		
	10000000061	0.13000000000000078		
10^{11}	100000000003	0.39999999999986	0.406666666666666	3.21052631578946
	100000000019	0.4100000000000014		
	10000000057	0.4100000000000014		
10^{12}	1000000000039	1.279999999999994	1.29	3.17213114754099
	1000000000061	1.29999999999972		
	1000000000063	1.2900000000000027		

Table 1. Time Required to Find the First 3 Prime Number in Different Magnitude

Well, the last comlumn in Table 1 indicates that the ratio of the average time for finding the first 3 prime numbers between adjcent magnitude varies around $\sqrt{10}$, which is approximately 3.162. Therefore, our result compatible with the notion that programs on your machine run in time proportional to the number of steps required for the computation.