## Exercise 2.60.

We specified that a set would be represented as a list with no duplicates. Now suppose we allow duplicates. For instance, the set  $\{1,2,3\}$  could be represented as the list  $(2\ 3\ 2\ 1\ 3\ 2\ 2)$ . Design procedures element-of-set?, adjoin-set, union-set, and intersection-set that operate on this representation. How does the efficiency of each compare with the corresponding procedure for the non-duplicate representation? Are there applications for which you would use this representation in preference to the non-duplicate one?

## Answer.

In this representation, element-of-set? is pretty the same as the non-duplicate one:

Using this representation, we can implement adjoin-set by simply adding the element at the head of an existing set:

```
(define (adjoin-set x set)
(cons x set))
```

Just as element-of-set?, the intersection-set operation remain the same even though we allow duplicates:

Things become easy when we perform the union-set operation in this duplicate representation, all we have to do is to roughly combine two existing set into one:

```
(define (union-set set1 set2)
(append set1 set2))
```

Now let's come to investigate the efficiency of these operations. Notice that both the predicate the element-of-set? and the intersection-set operation remain the same no matter whether the set allow duplicate or not. Hence, their efficiencies stay invariant with an order of growth as  $\Theta$  (n) and  $\Theta$   $(n^2)$  respectfully. The adjoin-set operation, obviously, can be accomplish by one step—just add that particular element in the front of a designated set. Thus, the number of steps required grows as  $\Theta$  (1). Since the operation union-set relies on another operation append, which has an order of growth of  $\Theta$  (n). Hence, the number of steps it required also grows as  $\Theta$  (n).

Although, this duplicate representation might seem awkward to us, there are still many application in perference to it. For example, when we try to store the raw data on statistics, representation of set that allow duplicate will therefore becomes a better choice.