Exercise 1.6. Alyssa P. Hacker doesn't see why if needs to be provided as a special form. "Why can't I just define it as an ordinary procedure in terms of cond?" she asks. Alyssa's friend Eva Lu Ator claims this can indeed be done, and she defines a new version of if:

Eva demonstrates the program for Alyssa:

```
(new-if (= 2 3) 0 5) 5
(new-if (= 1 1) 0 5)
```

Delighted, Alyssa uses new-if to rewrite the square-root program:

What happens when Alyssa attempts to use this to compute square roots? Explain.

Answer. The interpreter turns out to never terminate without any result outut when Alyssa attempts to compute square root in this way.

Note that we have been informed the application process for compound procedures in 1.1.5. That is, to apply a compound procedure to arguments, evaluate the body of the procedure with each formal parameter replaced by the corresponding argument.

After receiving the combination (sqrt-iter guess x), the interpreter extracts it into:

Obvious, in order to get the compound procedure new-if applied, all the arguments in the latter expression above have to be evaluated. That is, the (good-enough? guess x), guess and (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x). To evaluate (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x), the interpreter has to call new-if again. Continue in this process, we can see that the argument (sqrt-iter (improve guess x) x) would be evaluated recursively with new-if being called infinitely. Thus, a borderless recursion was formed and the interpreter would never terminate with nothing output.

^{*.} Creative Commons © 000 2013, Lawrence R. Amlord(颜世敏).