## Exercise 2.36.

The procedure accumulate-n is similar to accumulate except that it takes as its third argument a sequence of sequences, which are all assumed to have the same number of elements. It applies the designated accumulation procedure to combine all the first elements of the sequences, all the second elements of the sequences, and so on, and returns a sequence of the results. For instance, if s is a sequence containing four sequences, ((1 2 3) (4 5 6) (7 8 9) (10 11 12)), then the value of (accumulate-n + 0 s) should be the sequence (22 26 30). Fill in the missing expressions in the following definition of accumulate-n:

## Answer.

We saw that accumulate-n combines elements of the same order among the sequences to produce a sequence of the results. It is natural to express this strategy in a recursive way:

- If the first subsequence is the empty list, then the result is just nil
- Otherwise, Accumulate all the first elements of the sequences, and cons the result onto the accumulate-n of all the subsequent elements of the sequences:

One of the auxiliary procedures car-n withdraws all the first elements among the sequences and arranges them in a list in the same order:

The other one cdr-n produces the reduced sequences:

However, it is neither the only way nor the best way to implementing accumulate-n. Looking closely into car-n and cdr-n, we observe that both procedures take a list of items, process them element-by-element and produce a list of the same length to their inputs. This pattern reflects the idea of mapping we saw in section 2.2.1. Therefore, we can use map to obtain these two sequence and implement accumulate-n in a more elegant way:

```
(define (accumulate-n op init seqs)
(if (null? (car seqs))
   nil
   (cons (accumulate op init (map car seqs))
```

<sup>\*.</sup> Creative Commons © 2013, Lawrence X. Amlord (颜世敏, aka 颜序). Email address: informlarry@gmail.com

(accumulate-n op init (map cdr seqs)))))