Exercise 1.6.

Alyssa P. Hacker doesn't see why if needs to be provided as a special form. "Why can't I just define it as an ordinary procedure in terms of cond?" she asks. Alyssa's friend Eva Lu Ator claims this can indeed be done, and she defines a new version of if:

Eva demonstrates the program for Alyssa:

```
(new-if (= 2 3) 0 5)
5
(new-if (= 1 1) 0 5)
```

Delighted, Alyssa uses new-if to rewrite the square-root program:

What happens when Alyssa attempts to use this to compute square roots? Explain.

Answer.

When Alyssa attempts to use this to compute square roots, say (sqrt 2) for example, the interpreter drops into an infinite recursion:

```
(sqrt 2)
;Aborting!: maximum recursion depth exceeded
```

We can exploit the rule of substitution to trace the behavior of the evaluator:

In section 1.1.5 we learnt that Scheme uses applicative-order evaluation, namely, that all the arguments to Scheme procedures are evaluated when the procedure is applied. Hence, the evaluator must obtain the value of (sqrt-iter (improve 1.0 2) 2) before get the compound procedure new-if applied. This arouse an infinite recursion and therefore exhausted the interpreter.

^{*.} Creative Commons © 2013, Lawrence X. Amlord (颜世敏, aka 颜序). Email address: informlarry@gmail.com